# Table of Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alvaro Alvarez</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel of Memory: Interpreting Neuroscience to Design in a Memorable Guest Experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherif Amor, Ph.D., EDRA, EDEC, IDA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Michael W. Orwig, Ph.D. ; Demetri Paliu, Ph.D., FIA, EDEC, LEED AP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroscience Informing Design: Impact of Fluorescent CCT on Neurodiverse Populations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Georges Aoun</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Design in Architecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Augustin, Ph.D.</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture, Neuroscience, and Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Augustin, Ph.D.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Marsh, Assoc. AIA, Kristin Mueler, Savia Regland, Causie Hacxel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Work, In View: The Cognitive Science of Working in Spaces with Transparent Boundaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Baldwin</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architects without Buildings: Philip Thiel and the Systematizing Impulse in Modern Spatial Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16 Hay Grant Fund Awardees: Moshe Bar, Ph.D., Iya Palti</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curiosity as a Design Tool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julian Bermeo-see, Ph.D. (Faculty)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiated Panel: Adam Chavrie, Ph.D., Susan Magas, Ed Conner, Ph.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuroAesthetics Initiative at John Hopkins Brain Science Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ute C. Beissheeker, Ph.D.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Kukul, Ph.D., Zachary Pearson, Alexa Way, Ph.D., John D. Bullough, Ph.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploring Visual and Affective Qualities of Equivalent Colors Under Architectural-scale, Full-field Exposure Conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedro Borges de Abadío</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Principles to Locality: Bridging the Gap from Neurosciences to Architecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshua Broadway, Frederik Heuser, Kelsey Mcelramp, Joshua Ralls</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Condon, AIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Real Meaning of Architecture: Dr. How to Make Architecture Make Sense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invited Speaker: Sheldon Brown</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating Human Imagination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Freeman Calabrese, AIA, Stephen R. Kellert, Ph.D.</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen R. Kellert, Ph.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Urban Grid, the Hippocampus, Savannahs and Real Property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Calles, Assoc. AIA</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brains Create Natural Environments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sushil Chandran</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurvinder Shemwal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Perspective Ameliorates Way Finding in a Complex Shopping Building Having Some Architectural Flaws</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Chapman</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Michael Nelson, Warren Kelly, Ph.D., Chris Tucker, Frederick Roman Walker, Professor Sue Anne Ware</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture and Recovery: Undertaking the Role of Domestic Residential Spaces in Assisting and Enhancing Stroke Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lara Chow</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enriched Environments: Enhancing Psychiatric Care Facilities for Transitional Youth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George C. Christopulos</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam C. Roberts, Chi-Kong Soh, Ellen Lee, Josh Gao, Kim Woon Koh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground and Windowless Spaces: A Neuroscience Approach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Condon, AIA, Architect, Professor of Architecture</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied Neuroscience for Architects: (An Architect’s Primer)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitator: Ge Cooke, FAIA</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invited Panelists: Tom Fisher, Eduardo Malaga, Ph.D., Mark Maulea, FAIA, Bob Condon, Meredith Banks, Grace C. Lee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education at the intersection of Architecture &amp; Neuroscience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gailen Craig, Ph.D.</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Rosen, Chelsea Rushton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using Neuroscience and Experiential Anatomy in Architectural Design: Recent findings regarding organic and rational drawings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Da Piede Corrêa, Ph.D., Andreas Kretzer</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Human Corporis Fabrica – Fabricating and Measuring Emotions through Architecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Daile, FAIA, Claire Gallagher, Ph.D., Margaret Tambip, Ph.D., David Zandy, Ph.D.</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural-Architecture: Incorporating Clinical Expertise in Brain-based Design Principles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea de Pava</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuro-architecture &amp; Workplace Design: How space can affect performance and well-being</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eve A. Edelson, M Arch, Ph.D. (Neuroscience), EDAC, Assoc. AIA, F-AAA</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural-Architecture: Incorporating Clinical Expertise in Brain-based Design Principles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Melissa Farling, Fred H. Gage, Ph.D.</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invited Panelists: Richard Werner, Ph.D., Terry Kuper, M.D., MSP, John A. McAllister, Jeff Fasbien, Ph.D., FAIA, Elizabeth Falcon, Ph.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary Confinement: Mental Health, Neuroscience, and the Physical Environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lars Briordson Fick</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Åsa Hansen, Mattias Wahlberg, Anne Helen Grae, Laura Petrin, Peter Jonsson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can the Design of Space Alter Stress Responses?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Tom Fisher, Thomas Albright, Ph.D.</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invited Panelists: Steven Hall, Eric Kandel, Ph.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mies, Brain, and the Art of Architecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alessandro Gattara, M Arch, Ph.D., Vittorio Gallesio, M.D., Ph.D.</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathic response in office space: The notion of embodied simulation in corporate interiors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergei Geipert, Ph.D.</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014 Hay Grant Fund Awardean geometry of Visual Experience in Large Spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Academy of Neuroscience for Architecture

Shabareh Ghambari Azarnezhad
Seyed-Abbas Agha Yazdikhan, Seyed-Bagher Hosseini, Mohammad Reza Dalari
The Perception of Symmetry in Architecture: An Eye Movement Study

Michael Gonzales
Mehrdav Roshan Interactive Architecture and BCI: Expanding the Relationship Between User and Space

Abyath Goyal
Pankaj Prabhune, Ph.D., Jnaneshwar R., Ph.D., Shyamal S., Ph.D.
2015-16 AV Grant Fund Awarded: Behavioral Response of Autistic Children to Design Interventions

Rebecca Hartung, Madeleine Simon AIA
Designing Happiness: Nature, Light, and Surprise

Jonna—Mara Helinmum
Average is Irrelevant: Work and Educational Space Design Needs to Be Derived from Different Neurological Workings of the Brain

Mark Alan Hewitt, FAIA
Idea Sketches as Traces of Cognitive Styles: The Case of Alvar Aalto

Steven Holl, Keynote Speaker
Architecture Activating the Brain

Kurt Hunker, FAIA, Eve A. Edelson, M.Arch, Ph.D. (Neuroscience)
Towards a Critical Neuroaesthetics

Andrew Huys, Avon Bulli
Dental Craft in Architecture

Andrea Jeul, Ph.D.
Gianmar Vecchini, Ph.D.
Experience of Space/Time in Tadao Ando and Carlo Scarpa’s Narrative Architecture: The Embodied-Enactive View

Terry L. Jerkins, Ph.D.
Thinking About Effects of the Environment on the Developing Mind and Brain: The ABCD Study

Keynote Speaker: Eric Knapp, Ph.D.
The Quest to Understand the Unconscious in Art, Mind, and Brain

Martin Knoll, Ph.D.
Environmental Factors Related to Perceived Stress in Open Public Spaces

Vasuji Kondivy, Mehul Bhatt
Transparency as an Environmental Factor that Influences Cognitive Visual-Locomotive Experience in Large-Scale Buildings

Christoph Korner
Thomas Willems
Perception Based Architecture for Contemporary Health Care Design

The Sound of Creativity: Correlating Brainwave & Psychometric Changes with Workplace Acoustics

Fallon Lebedwitz, Gia Chang, AIA, EDAC
ASL – Architecture for Sensory Integration; Architectural Intervention in Occupational Therapy

Laura Healey Malin, Ph.D., AIA, EDAC
Agneszka (Aga) Burzycka, Ph.D.
Age-related Cognitive Decline and Enriched Environments: Examining Real-time Psychospatial Dynamics Using Virtual Reality

Joe Manganelly, Associate AIA, LEED AP BD+C, Ph.D.
Designing for Complex, Interactive Architectural Ecosystems: Developing the Ecological Niche Construction Design Checklist

Joe Manganelly, Associate AIA, LEED AP BD+C, Ph.D.
Rein Fabb, James Brooks, Ph.D., Lee Gigerer, Ph.D.
Effect of Experience on Whiteness in a Large, Complex Environment

Ilaria Mazzeoli
The Making of a Place – The Courtyard: An Architectural Typology that Simultaneously Enables Safe Social Aggregation and Reconnection to Nature

Hasti Mirka
Mark S. C. Nelson, Amir Asad, Ph.D.
The Impact of Biomorph Design on the Memorability of Interior Environments: A Preliminary Study

Hanna Mornetto
Hille Wil, Ph.D., R.N., Col. (U.S.), Architect
Atmosphere, Wellbeing, and Health in Residential Architecture: Linkages to Neuroscience?

Praising Nagesh Rao
Jambalal Shyamkool, Harno Shervani, Kourosh Mansoori, Reza Lasgar
Facades of Building Significantly Modulate EEG Signals of Brain Cortical Lobes

Urban Namas, Ph.D., Jon Bailey
Jennifer Kelling, Heather Maw
HXS: A Journey of an Architecture Firm to Create a Human Experience Lab in the Hub of Architectural Practice

Hanna Negami
Colin Elling, Ph.D.
Aging-Inducing Interior Space: Architectural Causes and Cognitive Effects

K. Rhett Nichols
Charlize Lewin, Ari Sussman, AIA, Wella Collius, Gerard Van der Laaye
Eye Tracking Architecture: A Pilot Study of Buildings in Boston

Betsy Nolen, Associate AIA (Speaker)
Madeleine Simon, AIA
(Architecture Without Vision): Challenging the Societal Dependence Upon Vision in Perception

Konstantinos-Alexis Oikonomou
Antonis Katsanos, Stavros Georgallides, Anna Kletides, Marwaify-Ebra Klaebeth, Anastasia Katsiou, Georgios Lykos
Designing Multisensory Therapeutic Environments: Invention in the General Hospital of Chania | Greece

Stephanie Park
Neuroscience Research of Design Features in Learning Space
I. ABSTRACT

Our hippocampus is extremely important in spatial memory - any time we enter a new place, our brain interprets our environment and takes physical cues to assemble a mental field map. This map remains archived in the deep recesses of our mind and is retrieved when, if ever, we enter the same space again. It makes perfect sense to test this notion in a hospitality setting, where guests are encouraged to remember their lodging experience for years, often with only a single-night stay.

This thesis challenges architecture to learn from spatial memory and navigatory relationships to design with more careful, corporeal considerations. The hotel operates as a testing field for memory since guests often get a limited time to become familiar with their environments, pressuring design to offer an experience that will remain in the user’s mind long-term. Through the graphic abstraction of architectural information present in the Queensboro Bridge in New York and Statler Hotel in Ithaca, this embodied hotel design engages guests with the site through experiential, both physical and mental, cues.

After analyzing the hippocampal research relevant to memory, I concluded that there are five physical cues that can make a space more memorable: Depth, Complexity, Landmark, Symmetry & Repetition. Architecturally, based on the strong relationship between memory and navigation, I identified a hotel guest’s purest sequence of circulation: Entrance, Lobby, Elevator, Hallway & Guestroom. I used these ten concepts as an index to derive design. To translate neurological information into an architectural language, it is crucial I push the hotel design process through many mediums of representation: photography, hand-drawings, physical models, and 2D & 3D modeling software. The final building proposal is a result of integrating site (Roosevelt Island in New York City) and program (a hotel servicing the new Cornell Tech Campus), with physical concepts meant to enhance the guest’s memory.

2. BIOGRAPHY

Alvaro Alvarez is an architectural designer with the Rockwell Group in New York City, responsible for hospitality, residential, and interior projects. Originally from the border region of San Diego, California and Tijuana, Mexico, he graduated from Cornell University with a Bachelor of Architecture in May 2015.

Please visit AlvaroAlvarez.com for full project details and bibliography.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

1. METHODOLOGY / PROCEDURE

A purposive sampling was used to generate twenty subjects, taking into account gender, age, ethnicity, brain lateralization, as well as the exclusion of color blindness. The participants underwent 1) an anatomical scan and 2) a functional scan, using Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging fMRI technology, while a random sequence of three types of illustrations from the aforementioned categories were projected by a computer controlled visual presentation system. Each image category included 6 images for a total of 18 images that every participant evaluates. Concomitantly, the participants were asked to respond to each image by fiber optic button devise, rating each image on a seven-point Likert satisfaction scale of 1=very dissatisfied and 7=very satisfied. Behavioral data was analyzed using t-test factor analysis and one-way analysis of variance, while the neural data maps were analyzed using FSL Neuroimaging Software.

1.2. OUTCOME / DISCUSSION

Findings suggest that contrary to precedents (seeing color activates the ventral occipital and fusiform), the Warm White color temperature (2800K) did not show activation of the occipital cortex. This may indicate disinterest or dissatisfaction with the warm spectrum. Important to note that, under the Cool White spectrum (4100K) the activation of the Superior Temporal Gyrus implicated in critical structure of social interaction; the Middle Frontal Gyrus implicated in semantic and analytical tasks; and the activation of the Angular Gyrus implicated in memory retrieval, areas associated with brain cognitive functions, have been activated. Furthermore, under the daylight color spectrum, the cerebellum—emerging neuroscience indicates that is involved in cognitive brain processes—has been activated. These neural findings, in support of behavioral findings, suggest a higher satisfaction with cool white and daylight full spectrum than with the warm spectrum.

REFERENCES

Emotional Design in Architecture

I. ABSTRACT

1.1. BACKGROUND
Architectural and Neuroscience were two separate disciplines, until it was found that the brain is constantly adapted to the environments we are living in. Focusing on healthy environments, a well-designed built habitat with principles of neuroscience, reduces patient stay for example, and even plays part in treatment such as relieving old memory and brain stimuli. Neuronists study behavior and brain. In addition, they study sensation and perception, how the brain influences decision making, emotion. For example how we interact with our environment and how we navigate through it, how we hear, taste, and even smell things, how we store the information received and how we can recall the same information, how we react to various situations for example fear and how we evaluate the results of our actions. As seen all these are affected by environmental designs. This therefore requires Architects to use these neuroscience principles to input them in their designs. Learning how our brain works with perception will lead to new developments on behalf of users in design, and more specifically Architecture. Our Environment explains the different experiences that we receive, for example, people in rural settlements have certain mindfulness that people in urban areas do not possess. It is therefore of paramount importance for designers to understand the effect various designs have on our emotions. For example, new treats combine with architecture approaches give individuals a pleasant stay, shortens the healing.

1.2. METHODOLOGY / PROCEDURE
Over the past years, several studies have been done focusing their attention on the impacts of architecture on emotions. This research focuses on experiences done in Architectural and Urban settings in the city of Barcelona. In this experiment, two subjects essentially brain mapped by use of portable EEG neuro-headset connected to its software on the laptop, which analyzed brainwaves in the brain, so that we could detect different degrees of emotions. In addition, this machine has a capability of measuring the affect of the environment on emotions. Therefore, it has become more accessible to measure the architectural impacts on emotions. The paper aims at explaining different effects of Architectural Elements on special Emotions. It also targets in conclusion to be able to design our emotions, through architectural elements.

The choosing in the methodology used designs that activate distinctive emotional expressions that can be felt through changeable architectural elements. The various elements trigger certain emotions. The elements used include Water, Ceiling Height, Natural Light, Colors and Styles. These design elements provoke emotions of Engagement, Excitement, Interest, Relaxation and Stress.

2. REFERENCES
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Does our culture influence how we process sensory information and the sorts of spaces where we live our best lives? Neuroscientists have shown that it does, and designers can increase user wellbeing by applying what these researchers have learned about culture and place-based experiences.

Studies have linked how the physical environment is experienced to parameters of national culture identified by Hofstede, Hofstede, and Minkov (individualist or collectivist, accepting of power distance or not, masculine or feminine, tolerant of uncertainty or not, long- or short-term orientation, indulgent or restrained) (2010). Scientists have also tied national culture to preferred physical environments. Neuroscience indicates that curvilinear elements are significantly more likely to be preferred by people from more collectivistic cultures, while people from more individualistic ones find angular shapes significantly more attractive than people from collectivistic ones do, for example (Zhang, Feick, and Price, 2006). Designing for preferences is important because when the space we’re in aligns with them, our mood is more likely to be positive (Vetech, 2012), which has beneficial implications for problem solving, creativity, socializing with others, and health, for example (Fredrickson and Branigan, 2005; Isen, 2001; Isen et al. 1985; Segerstrom and Sephton, 2010). In addition, neuroscientists, including Park and Huang (2010), have linked neural function and culture: “there is limited evidence that cultural experiences affect brain structure and considerably more evidence that neural function is affected by culture, particularly activations in the ventral visual cortex – areas associated with perceptual processing.”

The design of public spaces that are iconic among particular populations will be examined in a case study format to illustrate how place form should recognize and respond to users’ national cultures. Structures in Finland, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Italy, the United States, Japan, China, and Turkey will be featured. Applying neuroscience research to inform the selection of specific, culturally-appropriate design elements will be the focus of the presentation.
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1. ABSTRACT

Open floor plans and transparent walls are regularly used in corporate offices and at co-working sites to symbolically communicate organizational transparency, encourage interpersonal coordination and communication, transmit natural light throughout a space, and provide views throughout the workspace and outside the building.

Previous research has identified differing cognitive and emotional consequences of working in environments where people can see/hear or be seen by/heard by others. It can be distracting (Vetch, 2012, for example).

However, prospect over the nearby area increases awareness of colleagues’ activities and shared, amenity-rich common spaces encourage interactions that have been linked to the development of positive inter-personal bonds and creative/innovative solutions (Allen and Henn, 2007). Biophilic–designed spaces facilitate focused thinking and creativity; one of their attributes is a view out over the nearby area from a location that seems secure because the person in it is mainly shielded from view (Heerwagen and Gregory, 2008).

Social facilitation is tied to working in view of others (Myers, 1996), as are worker modifications of their behavior in visually open environments (Bernstein, 2014).

This study examined the self-reported performance-related repercussions of working in open spaces and in areas bordered by transparent glass walls, with insights derived leading to a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of working in view, and often the acoustic range, of others. The co-working site at which data were collected is unique because almost all interior transparent glass walls, with insights derived leading to a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of working in view, and often the acoustic range, of others. The co-working site at which data were collected is unique because almost all interior transparent glass walls.

People working in spaces bordered by transparent glass walls or no walls at all felt more energized than relaxed while working and participants were somewhat more likely to find it distracting to work in transparent-walled or no-wall spaces than in other spaces. Awareness of others and their activities was higher in more open spaces and this awareness did have positive professional ramifications. Visually open environments were perceived to enhance professional performance by people working at young firms competing in challenging markets, the most prevalent sort of organizations renting workspaces at the co-working site where data were collected.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Architects speak constantly of “space.” Yet we lack a single effective, objective method to describe spatial configuration. Words lack visual immediacy and precision. Architectural drawings enable the abstraction and organization of space, but ignore human visual experience. Images create a semblance of experience, but only from the subjective gaze of the camera, and the comprehensive physical detail they capture makes it hard to isolate purely spatial qualities.

This paper examines attempts to visually quantify space outside of the design process. Its focus is Philip Thiel, an architect and academic active in the second half of the 20th century. While the frameworks for spatial documentation developed by Thiel and others drew interest and attention in their time, none were widely adopted by neuroscientists or designers.

Interdisciplinary collaboration between the neuroscience and design fields requires a set of agreed-upon understandings about the built environment. These early attempts at visual codification are a compelling case study in the potentials and pitfalls of quantifying spatial perception.

“Space” is a recent addition to architectural discourse. In 1863, Gottfried Semper wrote that enclosure of space, not material, was the fundamental quality of architecture. 3D years later, two other German theorists articulated the modern interpretation of space that would hold sway to the present. Adolf Hildebrand saw an “internally animated” continuum of solids and voids where the outer bounds of each object defined external space as much as the object itself. August Schmarsow argued that spatial understanding was empathetic, a mental projection derived from embodied experience. For Schmarsow, humans’ innate sense of space preceded the actual built space, making architecture the outcome of “spatial imagination.”

The idea of space as an embodied, experiential construct paired with, but separate from, the object itself. August Schmarsow argued that spatial understanding was empathetic, a mental projection derived from embodied experience. For Schmarsow, humans’ innate sense of space preceded the actual built space, making architecture the outcome of “spatial imagination.”

The Image of the City was a watershed. It abandoned the coherent fabric of the European city for the relative chaos of Los Angeles, the enclosure of the medieval city made for richer urban experience than the new boulevards and grand buildings of the Ringstrasse.

In the aftermath of World War II, urban planning largely ignored these ideas in favor of isolated object-buildings on cleared sites. Gordon Cullen’s “townscape,” first published in the late 1940s, reclaimed the use of variety, sequence, and passage as an urban-design technique and corrective to indifferent Modernism. Cullen’s compelling analysis of old towns, often arranged into “sensal vision” sequences simulating movement through an area, formed an operative theory of the urban picturesque. Cullen’s sketch vignettes visualized not only spatial arrangements but the impression-forming miscellany Rasmussen had written about, such as street lamps, pavement textures, and signage.

MIT professor Kevin Lynch published The Image of the City in 1960. It became well known for its classification of urban components, which are still widely used in design education today. Edges, Paths, Nodes, Landmarks and Districts. For Lynch, the “manageability” of these components was only secondarily spatial. Nodes, for example, had to be distinct places first, host an intensity of use second, with “coherent spatial form” (by which he meant simply enclosed, well-defined areas) the finishing touch.

The Image of the City was a watershed. It abandoned the coherent fabric of the European city for the relative chaos of Los Angeles. It considered the role of traffic and high-speed movement through cities enabled by new Federally-funded highways. It adopted social-sciences research techniques, using interviews with residents of the three cities, to create mental-map overlays of “major” and “minor” urban elements. The opinions of these residents about their cities formed a quasi-empirical data set (what we would today call crowdsourcing) that Lynch drew on to strengthen his arguments.

Lynch collaborated with MIT professor Gyorgy Kepes on the Perceptual Form of the City project, which began in 1953 and culminated in The Image of the City. In that role, Lynch exchanged letters with James J. Gibson, author of The Perception of the Visual World, and summaries of Gibson’s concepts (including the visual field/visual world dichotomy) were included in the project’s research papers.

In 1952, a naval architect turned architecture student, Philip Thiel, completed his Bachelor’s thesis at MIT. It proposed a series of urban landscape interventions to visually unify the pedestrian experience in a central part of Boston, not unlike the Freedom Trail, which debuted around the same time. Thiel returned to MIT in 1956 for a researcher for Lynch. He traced over image sequences in an attempt to edit and clarify how the hierarchy of visual content changed as one moved through urban space.

In 1956, a naval architect turned architecture student, Philip Thiel, completed his Bachelor’s thesis at MIT. It proposed a series of urban landscape interventions to visually unify the pedestrian experience in a central part of Boston, not unlike the Freedom Trail, which debuted around the same time. Thiel returned to MIT in 1956 for a researcher for Lynch. He traced over image sequences in an attempt to edit and clarify how the hierarchy of visual content changed as one moved through urban space.

After taking a position at Berkeley, Thiel continued his research with a study of precedents in sequential- and movement-notation systems, citing the work of Gibson, Serge Eisenstein’s cinematographic diagrams, and Rudolph Laban’s “labanotation” choreography. In the next two years, Thiel produced a conceptual framework positing an “Anatomy of Space” comprised of space, surface, and volume, followed by a case study of a four-block area of San Francisco, where he experimented with notational diagrams based on plan, axonometric and perspective views. Soon after, he drew up a prototype system relating different views and spatial conditions to a diagram set using a central crosshair to mark the center of vision.

Thiel would develop this system through a 15-month stay in Japan, and published the results in a series of journal articles in 1961 and 1962. The system became even more sophisticated, much more flexible, and much more esoteric. The bullseye graphic was joined by a vertical track indicating movement, as well as numbers indicating height and positioning, and references to opaque categories and types of space beyond the original three-part anatomy. Though the system was improved over the four articles Thiel published, it remained impossible to read without close attention to explanations and photographs.

Before taking a position at Berkeley, Thiel continued his research with a study of precedents in sequential- and movement-notation systems, citing the work of Gibson, Serge Eisenstein’s cinematographic diagrams, and Rudolph Laban’s “labanotation” choreography. In the next two years, Thiel produced a conceptual framework positing an “Anatomy of Space” comprised of space, surface, and volume, followed by a case study of a four-block area of San Francisco, where he experimented with notational diagrams based on plan, axonometric and perspective views. Soon after, he drew up a prototype system relating different views and spatial conditions to a diagram set using a central crosshair to mark the center of vision.

Thiel continued his work on space notation through his decades teaching at The University of Washington. It took until the publication of Thiel’s magnum opus, in 1977, to collect his research and experimentation on spatial representation in one volume (where it is spread over about 100 pages). This diverse collection of approaches is a compelling case study in the potentials and pitfalls of virtual 3D environments on screens widely available. We still await a full conceptual framework to explore the utility of graphic representation to spatial experience.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

1.1. Research Goals
Curiosity is a basic element of our cognition and a powerful drive influencing human exploration. Our research proposes to harness design heuristics for the purpose of amplifying curiosity in the general population with the ultimate goal of developing designs promoting curiosity to improve human cognitive and general well-being. Studies have highlighted that people are more curious towards information they are more curious towards, as well as learning incidental information while in curious states.3 This research program is aimed at potentiating cognitive improvement in users via architectural designs informed by neuroscience. Specifically, our study will evaluate methods of employing curiosity to open a cognitive reception window, laying the groundwork for its use towards enhancing cognition.

1.2. Methodology
A methodology for designing with curiosity in mind should lead to further research as to the possible benefits of curiosity evoking environments. The implied potential in an architectural context is the development of Evidence Based Design methods to improve spaces such as learning facilities. The study aims to provide an easily replicable approach for future research that can yield empirical results from which to extract implications for design. In order to evaluate the ability of design elements to arouse curiosity, we will use methodological paradigms, as well as eye tracking (ET) and wireless electroencephalography (EEG) to directly correlate the visual focus and neuronal activity of a subject.

1.3. Interim Results
We measured the Response Time (RT) to a series of simple binary decisions regarding the shape characteristics of letters in the center of a screen, whilst visual distractors (shapes and images of objects) appeared in the peripheral vision. RT for each letter presented was recorded and associated to the specific accompanying distractor (if one appeared). The extent to which a subject has decided to allocate visual attention to the distractor at the cost of success in the task is represented by RT. On this premise, distractors were expected to produce different response times.

Our preliminary results show differences in average response times, which suggest that some distractors are more curiosity-evoking than others and that we can isolate this phenomenon in order to evaluate the eye movements and neural activity associated with heightened curiosity. A further analysis of RT will inform the nature of future sets of distractors in order to test the designability of curiosity-evoking visuals.

1.4. Future Steps
Identifying the hallmarks of curiosity through ET and EEG: Eye movements have been shown to indicate epistemic curiosity states.4 Our next step is to employ ET to confirm that response time variations associated with heightened curiosity correlate with saccades from task to distractors. Additionally, we will use EEG with the “most curious” and the “least curious” distractors in order to identify specific components/patterns of brain activity hallmarking curiosity in the brain.

1.1. Project Impact
Outcomes from our study will facilitate the development of curiosity metrics and their application in the design of built environments, creating neuroscientifically informed architecture. Such an approach can be adopted with immense benefits for society by improving learning in schools, memory and cognitive enhancement in retirement communities, and rehabilitation facilities.
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Susan Magsamen, Johns Hopkins University
An Introduction: The Neuro Aesthetics Initiative at the Johns Hopkins Brain Science Institute

Over the last five years, the Brain Science Institute at Johns Hopkins University has conducted a series of basic research programs in touch, sight, music, and creativity, including a Science of the Arts Symposium aimed at an interdisciplinary research to practice outcome. This work has culminated in the development of a program in neuroaesthetics that brings together international leaders in science and practice to create real-world solutions informed by neuroscience and implemented in health, wellness, education, and other areas of our lives.

Anjan Chatterjee, The University of Pennsylvania
On Building a Neuroscience of Architecture: A View from Neuroaesthetics

The neuroscience of architecture, as a field of inquiry, is early in its development. I will ruminate on opportunities and challenges for this nascent field by comparing it to recent theoretical and empirical developments in neuroaesthetics.

Julio Bermudez, The Catholic University of America
Contemplative Neuroaesthetics

Contemplative neuroscience is developing a growing body of knowledge with little attention to aesthetics. Yet, meditative experiences generated by aesthetic objects and spaces have been the hallmarks of many spiritual and artistic traditions. Neuroaesthetics may cast light on this matter by considering the insights of non-modern aesthetics vis-à-vis recent neuroscience studies of sacred/contemplative architecture, embodied phenomenology, and aesthetic experiences.

Ed Connor, Johns Hopkins University
Quantitative Neuroaesthetics

Making neuroaesthetics into a real science will require quantifying aesthetic properties as well as psychological and neural responses to those properties. I will show how this has been done for sculptural aesthetics and how it could be done for architectural aesthetics.
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Exploring visual and affective qualities of equivalent colors under architectural-scale, full-field exposure conditions
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

I.1. BACKGROUND
Color used in architectural settings impacts our perception of form, space, and ambiance (Laganiere and van der Pol 2011). The increased availability of light-emitting diodes (LEDs) eases the implementation of luminous color into the built environment. This is evident in urban light and color festivals (Besenecker 2015), as well as architectural projects (Bahamón and Alvarez 2010, CoopHimmelblau 2012).

LED lighting technology introduces the necessity and opportunity to mix and match luminous colors in various ways. Using color matching protocols (chromaticity), nearly-identical-appearing colors (so-called metarmers) can be created that have different spectral compositions depending upon the specific technologies used to create them (Fairchild 2013, Boyce 2003). We set out to explore how individuals may respond to metarmeric colors in a spatial environment that are produced using different technologies.

Current research in visual perception demonstrates that, when viewed with the full field-of-view, the hue, saturation and brightness of these colors do not necessarily match (Besenecker and Bullough 2016, Gerlach 2003, Horiguchi et al. 2015). In addition, health related sciences suggest that different spectras can have distinct physiological, neurological and psychological effects; this is especially true for spectral compositions with varying melanopsin stimulation (Lockley et al. 2005, Lucas et al. 2014, Vandewalle et al. 2010). We used mixed research methods to conduct architectural-scale studies to explore possible differences in visual, affective and physiological qualities for nearly equivalent stimuli, matched very closely for chromaticity and light level (Besenecker and Krueger 2015).

I.2. METHODOLOGY / PROCEDURE

Two different color series were tested, amber and cyan. For each, there were seven different ways to produce near-metameric color conditions: 1) color filtered tungsten, 2) distinct narrowband LED, 3) RGB source LED, 4) 7-color source LED, 5) video projector, 6) colored paint illuminated by white tungsten, and 7) colored paint illuminated by white LED. The conditions illuminated semicircular “tubicles” (14’ height x 9’ diameter) that were located in a 50’ x 60’ x 32’ black box studio space (see Figure I). A qualitative study was performed first (Study I), with 17 participants who were free to comment on the conditions close-up (immersed) and from afar in comparison to a reference. Based on the responses we received, we conducted a quantitative follow-up study (Study II) with 12 participants that used a fixed viewing location. All subjects also participated in sessions where heart rate and blood pressure were measured. About half of all the participant groups had professional experience working with light and/or color.

I.3. OUTCOME / DISCUSSION

Results from both Study I and 2 suggested that there were reliable differences between the seven near metamic conditions for the perceived visual perceptions of brightness and saturation as well as the affective qualities of emotional and spatial qualities. Furthermore, we were interested in the possible relationships between visual, affective and physiological measures. We assessed whether there were statistically significant correlations between the dependent variables of visual (brightness, saturation, affective (emotional, spatial quality) and physiological (heart rate, blood pressure) measures in Study I and 2. Figure I depicts these significant correlations (p<0.05).

In addition, as we noticed that variations between individuals’ responses were substantial, we have started to more closely examine the influences of factors such as, age, sex/gender, and color experience. Future work will continue to examine these relationships and extend this work by assessing consistency with using different colors and spatial set-ups.
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From principles to locality: Bridging the gap from neuroscience to architecture
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1. ABSTRACT
A project – both conceptual as process – grounds, bounds, and frames the design process as such, representing our best present knowledge within a given framework, not an absolute knowledge as it is typically distributed in a system of agents in a community. How to strengthen the bonds between architects and neuroscientists? I must first stress that architecture and the neurosciences have always shared the domain of animal human agency. This shared domain should be sufficient to suggest cross research, regardless of their different cultures, their diverse methodological principles and practices, and diverse technical and technological constraints.

Science moves from particulars to general principles, while architects operate on a local context – "place building" – and within a large spectrum of social, cultural political constraints. This gap is not always consciously internalized by the arts and the humanities. Architects and neuroscientists must find a common operative ground – animal human agency – which could encourage mutual contributions.

From "natural" inception, we are gradually constrained by culturally driven commonalities. Ingrained neural activity can be observed on both the agents' behaviour and on their built environment. From this standpoint, architecture and neurosciences have interweaving histories, even if this is not always evident. Architecture and neurosciences should continue strengthening these threads. Analytical research should reveal the hidden or yet unseen bonds between animal human and their environment, and this needs to focus on both the agents' behaviour and on their contingent environment. As a culture-bound practice, it must operate consistently by verifying its principles, and find the path from principles to locality. Architecture and design must gain a fuller insight of the local rules, principles and constraints – e.g. traditions, arts, rituals, whatsoever relevant. To this purpose, architecture and design must use also big data, modelling, information flow and communication. Thus both ethics and aesthetics engage on a rhetoric dialogue – persuasive from ethical, logical and emotionally empathic grounds – that emerges as "as-if-theories" or "folk-theoretic frameworks" which an agent feels correlating - internal/external, agent/environment - either as engage on a rhetoric dialogue - persuasive from ethical, logical and emotionally empathic grounds - that emerges as "as-if-theories" or "folk-theoretic frameworks" which an agent feels correlating - internal/external, agent/environment - either as pleasure or pain. When designing for pleasure we try - that's what architects do - attain a foundational desire for survival.

From my personal experience resilient design and designing for resilience conjointly appeal for a methodological approach concerning agency. Thus embracing the architect's embeddedness on communities' projects within which he operates, and observing its agency level as a maieutic architectural practice and its practitioners as catalysts through the design process. The environment, including other agents, and as integral of this process, sets properly the fundamental local constraints. Context-dependent vs. context-free design, as my school of thought – some at Porto School of my generation, e.g. – rightly claims. This theoretical framework gave rise to my architectural studio practice as well as my research and teaching guidelines.

To illustrate it, the images included in this presentation relate work carried out by my architectural studio over a long period of time and activity under the project's conceptual framework of the design process I want to share with all of you.

Grounded on my architectural works – although not exclusively – and within the theoretical work in progress – both as architect practitioner/teacher – my Research Program is a follow up of the PhD Research Project, and nowadays intended as particularly focused on strengthening ties with neuroscience's researchers in order to make explicit the linkages that suggest the insidious assumption I've taken, and particularly keen to present and discuss links - theoretical and practical - which fit the argument's goal to consolidate these relations.
The Real Meaning of Architecture: Or How to Make Architecture Mean Something

I. ABSTRACT

Architects ask, “How does Architecture mean something?” Recent developments in neuroscience and architecture bring this question to a new level. Our proposition is that architecture is understood in an instant, much as we realize a “first impression.” Through the medium of an atmosphere, by way of embodiment, in the manner of affordances.

Architecture is like a first impression. The etymology of “impression” is, “the first and immediate effect of an experience or perception upon the mind,” and “an image in the mind caused by something external.”8 A first impression, more than being a mere impression, is a psychological schema. A phenomenon of composite signals emitted by a new stimulus that is viewed, heard, or felt immediately.2 Such snap-judgments emerge as a survival skill in an eat-or-be-eaten world, however, first impressions are not solely an animalistic survival aptitude. Culturally and socially we are proficient to judge others through archetypes, stereotypes, consciously, or otherwise.4 Studies in first impressions reveal that in a tenth of a second, humans make characteristic assumptions of a stranger’s face.7 To see a building is similar to perceiving human faces and the connotation of façade. In a study by Chalup et al., facial recognition was first seen to detect human faces, then, the same software was overlaid onto building façades.9 Facial perception is formed in the brain as empathy or embodied simulation, which not only connects people to other people, but also with objects. The brain pre-reflectively scans a room like a face, with similar accuracy in speed, judgment, empathy, and nuance. Our knowledge of space, a product of brain biology, is understood immediately pre-reflectively, the product of two million years of evolution.

Atmosphere—more palpable than coordinate space—is commonly understood as a romantic, candid dinner. Yet, like an altered state of cognition (ASC), atmosphere is an elusive yet profound consequence of architecture. To convince scientists and architects of its weight requires tools more precise than language. It requires Arnold Ludwig and EEG studies of the brain. Dr. Ludwig explains ASCs as deviations of normative, patterned, human cognition, like the mind shift of viewing a movie, listening to music, sexual arousal during foreplay, or architecture, when building intending an aesthetic order. These internal properties are catalyzed by extraneous external stimuli: environmental, chemical, emotional, among others.1

1 Their consequences include deviations in perception, dissolution of internal and external boundary, altered measures of time, and elastic understanding of consciousness. The science of ASCs is condensed into the oscillations of brainwaves, layered with degrees of relaxation and stimuli reception. Four primary waves exist, Beta, Alpha, Theta and Delta, each with measured range in frequency and amplitude.6

2 Nearest to the ear, waves activate in conscious and body projection (empathy). These waves are monitored through EEG mapping, precisely recording amplitude and frequency of electricity in the brain. Potential for this mental mapping seems certain. Without discrediting atmosphere’s poetic champions, we recognize the potential of modern instruments (EEG and fMRI), and developments in psychology endow us with the potential, to measure, at least in part, the consequences of atmospheres.

As atmosphere gives aesthetic medium to space, embodied metaphors give it value. “Embodied” infers embodied simulation10 whereas “metaphor” originates from the Greek metaphorain—‘to transfer,’11 indicating the transfer of ideas from one item to another, whether animate or inanimate. The most fundamental of embodied metaphors are harmonies of kinetics. The Greeks implanted the body, as geometry, into architecture and building intentions an aesthetic order, these lessons were recorded by the Vitruvian body, an abstraction of the human body with a renewed interest in the human body and the revealed divinity of harmonics. Equally, current neuroscience insists that symmetry and proportion are hardwired into pre-reflective judgments of beauty and action.12 In “Neuroaesthetics: A Review,” Cinzia, Gallese, and Di Dio13 give a testimony. One can refer specifically Di Dio et al’s study of Greek statues,14 some original and others graphically altered in proportion. Proportion are hardwired into pre-reflective judgments of beauty and action. In “Neuroaesthetics: A Review,” Cinzia, Gallese, and Di Dio13 give a testimony. One can refer specifically Di Dio et al’s study of Greek statues,14 some original and others graphically altered in proportion. In “Neuroaesthetics: A Review,” Cinzia, Gallese, and Di Dio13 give a testimony. One can refer specifically Di Dio et al’s study of Greek statues,14 some original and others graphically altered in proportion. They find that the subjects could tell the difference without recognition. As architecture frames aesthetic participation through empathy, geometry and proportion affords us a presence of architecture and is seen with higher gravitas as when resonating with harmonics. Geometry in architecture is musical, with rhythm and consonance being the metaphorical equivalent of proportion and perfect geometry. Architecture, in compliment to embodied simulation, is comprised of a body, the order of projection of our senses. The body is acknowledged and embodied in its simplest metaphor as pure geometric projection.

Embodied metaphors are standing in architecture of minor affordances as manners. Standing between scientists and architects, philosopher Mark Johnson, by way of minor mechanisms, advises that in addition to our need for habitation is our need for meaning. In other words, although we are animals evolved for fitness, we are just as much animals with a deep desire for meaning as part of our attempt to grow and flourish.16 Meaning in architecture arises in two ways. First, as a felt qualitative unity that sets up a world (i.e., aesthetic experience), second, as a building’s specific affordances offer the possibility of meaningful engagements related to the site context.7 Two theories of Gibson’s affordance give due credit to architecture as phenomena. affordances—The actions our body can create with objects and situations—are only meaningful in relation to their context. For instance, room not only affords us the ability to house our personal objects, but to have meaningful encounters with them. The affordances of a room also extend to social and cultural functions.7 Since embodied simulation (empathy) is responsible for the social understanding among humans, we are naturally able to intuit the meaning of different scenes, such as personal study or a romantic dinner. The room also affords personal and past experiences. Memory and spatiality are inseparably linked. While navigation is a multi-modal task requiring memory, spatial representation is necessary to recall specific memories15 A simple room is a fraction of that which I have Palladianism aims architecture may accomplish. Neuroscience provides a foundation for architects to create potential places of meaning.

To confirm our several notions we need to discover how faces and architecture are similar in the brain. For architects atmosphere is thick with mood, but how do we measure it? That we embody architecture is well understood, the aesthetic implications of such simulation is an open research area. How architecture can be a stimulus. Relatively, the meaning of architecture is what it affords us, yet can a design theory be one result of this clear thinking? We seek intimate partnerships between architect and scientist, harking back to age when both were one, with the same obligation as servants of society.
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1. ABSTRACT
Our imagination is the underpinning of our cultural development. Yet, what is “imagination”? Is there a singular basis of imagination that
develops into a number of different phenomena, or do we use the word imagination to group together a number of aspects of behavior and
cognition into a common category? If we can better understand imagination, we might be able to find ways of directly engaging it in order
to accelerate its operation. What use might we put this accelerated imagination to? These questions are being asked by the Arthur C. Clarke
Center for Human Imagination. I’ll describe how we are pursuing the understanding of imagination, how we are accelerating it, and how we
are thinking about the best ways of arming an enhanced human imagination. In particular, I will focus on how we are looking to augment
human imagination through a variety of computational techniques ranging from genetic algorithms to neural network processes, and how
this methodology provokes insight into the ways in which new ideas come into existence.
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I. ABSTRACT

This paper provides a framework for the understanding and application of biophilic design. It begins by briefly explaining the concept of biophilia as an inherent inclination to affiliate with nature (the nonhuman environment) essential to human health, fitness and well-being. The paper describes how the prevailing paradigm of design of the modern built environment has encouraged environmental degradation and separation from nature. Biophilic design is the attempt to incorporate understandings of biophilia into the built environment. The paper describes the principles and practices of biophilic design. Five basic principles are identified as essential to the successful application of biophilic design. The practice of biophilic design involves the application of 3 basic categories of direct and indirect experience of nature, and the experience of space and place, and some 24 associated attributes, which are briefly described. The paper concludes with the consideration of the ecological and ethical imperative of biophilic design as a remedial response to the breach of modern society from the natural world.

I. What is Biophilia and Biophilic Design?
II. The Principles and Benefits of Biophilic Design
III. The Application of Biophilic Design
IV. The Ecological and Ethical Imperative

2. REFERENCES

REFERENCES


2. AUTHOR BIOS

Stephen R. Kellert, PhD, Tweedy Ordway Professor Emeritus at Yale University, School of Forestry and Environmental Studies
Kellert helped develop both the theory of biophilia and its application in the built environment, biophilic design. He co-edited with Professor E.O. Wilson the first scientific examination of the theory of biophilia in 1993, "The Biophilia Hypothesis" (Island Press 1993). Dr. Kellert also published the first books on biophilic design — "Building for Life" (Island Press 2005) and "Biophilic Design" (John Wiley 2008), and the only film on the subject, "Biophilic Design: The Architecture of Life" (2011)

Elizabeth Freeman Calabrese, AIA, LEED AP, NCARB, Calabrese Architects, Inc.
Elizabeth has been the principal architect for Calabrese Architects for over 20 years. Her projects are located throughout the US and Kenya and focus on creating built environments that connect people to nature and each other to promote human and environmental health and well-being.

Elizabeth recently published an on-line monograph with Stephen Kellert, "The Practice of Biophilic Design" (www.biophilic-design.com)

I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Digital tools and toys have become quickly integrated into our professional and personal lives. What is this doing to the "human being"? (Gadamer, 12)? We must examine how the digital environment affects our physical, mental and emotional health and welfare.

Our understanding of brain injuries and positive recovery could help define the healthy use of future digital environments. Neurocognitive tests and ocular movement document the normal brain and recovery path for brain injuries (Samadani). A concussed person is advised to minimize stimuli. Excessive use of digital screens exacerbate symptoms and prolong brain healing. Concussion specialist Dr. Valentine recommended artistic tasks, such as drawing, to his patient, Leah Simmons, who suffered over six months from a concussion she received while playing roller derby. Simmons found drawing challenging, but did not aggravate symptoms. The task became a predominant role in her recovery.

Even with minimal use of digital reality, we are forced to adapt as technology is streamlined, and ergonomics requires a broader definition. Inventions such as glasses with computer screen lenses could solve the physical harm our bodies suffer while looking down at a phone or tablet. However, our brains might not easily assimilate to a world with constant 3D environments, which is where technology is moving, especially in architecture.

3D or digital environments, such as 3D movies, computer modeling, tablet or phone use, can trigger nausea and dizziness for some people. Motion Sickness is a common term, however, the sickness we feel from digital environments is not: Cybersickness, Simulator Sickness, Visually Induced Motion Sickness, etc., are some terms to describe it.

"Cyriel Diels from Coventry University’s Centre for Mobility and Transport, England explained that cybersickness is a basic dilemma that has sort of been swept under the rug in the technology sector. ‘It’s a natural response to an unnatural environment,’ he said” (Tech Times).

The unnatural environments of the 21st century are affecting our health beyond our comprehension (Gadamer). Technology is here to stay, and we need to think beyond limiting computer and television time because our brains crave natural environments.
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This study aims at empirically analysing pedestrian movements to investigate architectural flaws with the assistance of some robust cognitive principles associated with an individual’s brain in order to facilitate wayfinding of a stranger/tourist in a complex shopping building. A complex building having one main entrance and six secondary entrances were taken as the centre of observation. A total of 42 subjects were closely observed without their knowledge. This was essentially a blind randomised study, and the data collectors were themselves unaware of the objectives of the task. Data was collected during weekdays when maximum crowd was expected. The experimenter selected the subjects who only roamed around the building with no immediate goal of purchasing anything. One subject was followed for a maximum of ten minutes, and if he/she got out before then the time was noted. Entry gate was taken as the independent variable, while exit gate, total time taken, total distance covered and number of pauses were taken as dependent variables. Pearson correlation was calculated between variables. Result showed that there was least entry from gate number 6 which was due to poor building design. People found it difficult to identify the location, because of smaller dimensions of the gate and since the larger portion was covered with the wall. Another finding was that people covered large distance to take an exit from gate 6, and reason was that their head bearing led to longest corridor as entry opened in that corridor. Application of cognitive principles can facilitate wayfinding such as provision of a survey view of shopping complex at each entry point can improve orientation of person.

Keywords: Cognitive principles, Wayfinding, Architectural flaw.

I. ABSTRACT

I.1. BACKGROUND

Wayfinding in a complex building requires a lot of cognitive abilities like learning, memory, decision making etc. Other way to identify routes and make trail from entry gate to exit is to either follow a map or rely on the given instructions. People in an unfamiliar environment often requires assistance. Moreover, cognitive science offers help to ease wayfinding in an unfamiliar environment. Application of cognitive principles implicated that it would be better to install signboards to indicate the entry gate (Passini, 1984a). Another finding was that people covered large distance to take an exit from gate 6, and reason was that their head bearing led to longest corridor as entry opened in that corridor. Reflection can be applied for people to calculate the distance from exit or another kind of exploration which would reduce the probability of getting lost. In addition to this, provision of a survey view of shopping complex at each entry point can facilitate wayfinding (Bovy & Stem, 2012; Thorndyke & Hayes-Roth, 1982). Future scope of this study can be identified in the form of application such as understanding the applied cognitive principles can improve performance besides just constructing a mental map. Also, in the low-intensity conflict scenarios, where at the time of any kind of disorder inside the building, applying cognitive principles would make the mission a successful operation with minimum casualties under virtual reality training.

I.2. METHODOLOGY / PROCEDURE

Participants: This was essentially a blind randomised study, and the data collectors were themselves unaware of the objectives of the task. Forty two subjects were closely observed without their knowledge.

Apparatus: A complex building having one main entrance and six secondary entrances were taken as the centre of observation. Exit can be made with those entrances, also. Data was collected during weekdays when maximum crowd was expected. Experimenter had pedometer and GPS enabled system which could measure variables like steps taken, distance covered etc. Experiment did not count the subjects who took entry and exit from the same gate.

Procedure: The experimenter selected the subjects who only roamed around the building with no immediate goal of purchasing anything. One subject was followed for a maximum of ten minutes, and if he/she got out before then the time was noted.

Analysis: Pearson correlation was applied on the obtained variables in the R software. Entry gate was taken as the independent variable, while exit gate, total time taken, total distance covered, and number of pauses were taken as dependent variables.

Correlation analysis revealed strong relationship for ‘average distance covered’ and ‘average time taken’, r = 0.358, p < 0.05, and ‘average time taken’ and ‘total number of pauses’, r = 0.351, p < 0.01 as shown in Fig. 1. Similarly scatter plots were drawn to identify patterns between these variables (Fig. 2 and 3).

Result showed that there was least entry from gate number 6 which was due to poor building design. People found it difficult to identify the location, because of smaller dimensions of the gate and since the larger portion was covered with the wall. Application of cognitive principles implicated that it would be better to install signboards to indicate the entry gate (Passini, 1984a). Another finding was that people covered large distance to take an exit from gate 6, and reason was that their head bearing led to longest corridor as entry opened in that corridor. Reflection can be applied for people to calculate the distance from exit or another kind of exploration which would reduce the probability of getting lost. In addition to this, provision of a survey view of shopping complex at each entry point can facilitate wayfinding (Bovy & Stem, 2012; Thorndyke & Hayes-Roth, 1982). Future scope of this study can be identified in the form of application such as understanding the applied cognitive principles can improve performance besides just constructing a mental map. Also, in the low-intensity conflict scenarios, where at the time of any kind of disorder inside the building, applying cognitive principles would make the mission a successful operation with minimum casualties under virtual reality training.

2. REFERENCES


Architecture and Recovery: Understanding the role of domestic residential spaces in assisting and enhancing stroke recovery

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR MICHAEL CHAPMAN
School of Architecture and Built Environment, University of Newcastle, Australia

PROFESSOR MICHAEL NILSSON, Dr. WARREN ROLLY, CHris TOODER, ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR FREDERIK ROWIN WAGNER, PROFESSOR SUE ANN WARE
Director of HRM, Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Newcastle Australia
Head of Discipline (Architecture), School of Architecture and Built Environment, University of Newcastle Australia
Senior Research Fellow, School of Biomedical Sciences and Pharmacy, University of Newcastle Australia
Head of School, School of Architecture and Built Environment, University of Newcastle Australia

1. ABSTRACT
One person every 10 minutes suffers from stroke in Australia. Occurring without warning stroke involves blood vessels within the brain becoming blocked causing significant death of brain tissue. As a result patients that have suffered from stroke are often left with significant disability and their relationship to the built environment becomes radically altered. This project recognises that patients recovering from stroke need to be stimulated, enriched, and challenged by their spatial environment and that there is an absence of current research into how architecture and design-related fields can better contribute to this, outside of traditional institutional health settings. This paper brings together a team of neuroscientists, architects, landscape architects and designers in order to better understand the role of architecture and the built environment in assisting and facilitating stroke recovery. There is already an emerging field of architectural research into the positive relationship between architecture and neuroscience (Cohen, 2000, Ednie-Brown, 2002, Robinson, 2015), but this has, to date, not directly addressed the potential of design to play a leading role in recovery. General themes that have emerged from research within the field of neuroscience have demonstrated that view (Ulrich, 1984), the proximity to a garden (Cooper Marcus, 1995), access to light, colour (Holzman, 2010) and also organisational layout (Ulrich, 2004; Ellison, 2004) can all have a significant and tangible impact on patient recovery times, pain reduction and general well-being. This research has, for the most part, however, focused on design within an institutional context, and rarely, if at all, considered the broader role of domestic or everyday residential or urban environments on health care and recovery.

The current paper addresses this important role of domestic residential, landscape and urban environments in enhancing patient recovery after the acute and sub-acute periods. The research will bring together key themes from the existing studies in the field of neuroscience, to better understand the role that architecture can play as an active, rather than passive component of recovery. Currently, nearly all of the recovery process for survivors of stroke occurs within the residential environment. This, however, is problematic as these environments have been designed around able-bodied individuals with a completely different need set compared to stroke survivors. The vast amount of research that has been undertaken in this field has focussed on the architecture of institutional environments, and this project will explore how aspects of care and recovery can be extended to urban environments, outside of a hospital setting, or the immediate residential environment. This is critical because only a small proportion of the recovery period from a stroke can take place within an institutional environment, and the broader social and cultural aspects of recovery have not been addressed in the current scholarship.

Using a design research methodology, supported by empirical and theoretical knowledge of sub-acute stroke recovery, the project will identify existing urban and social environments where criteria essential to stroke recovery can be enhanced and stimulated, and provide a coherent strategy for implementation. Expanding the domain of research to include non-institutional environments, and the broader social space of the city has the potential to dramatically reshape the current knowledge in this field. Drawing from a detailed spatial analysis of the cultural and social conditions of existing residential recovery environments, and accessing a broad-range of statistical data, the paper will summarise the key criteria for residential spaces of recovery, before framing the brief for a cross-disciplinary design research project which aims to actively engage architecture, technology and neuroscience to enhance the recovery process. Central to this multi-disciplinary approach is the role of integrated technology in the development of “smart” houses, which track activity and behaviour as a way of monitoring recovery and well-being.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
The number of transitional youth affected by mental illness is growing at an alarming rate. This group, between ages fifteen and twenty-five, often undergo personal, interpersonal, and social trauma that result in chronic stress and anxiety. Tragically, transitional youth often fall through the cracks of the mental health care system. We argue that this is due in large part to a conceptual gap between the architecture of existing facilities and the methods of treatment. In this paper, we will discuss a reformulation of psychiatric facilities for transitional youth through an examination of 'enriched environments'. In the context of our discussion, an enriched environment is understood as an architecture that nurtures both the physiological and the psychological aspects of being — creating a neurological union of body and mind.

This understanding of enriched environments will be presented through a case study developed as a part of a professional M.Arch thesis. Beginning with a synopsis of current literature concerned with healing and neuro-architecture, we will discuss how research in the neurosciences can inform the design of psychiatric facilities for transitional youth. This discussion will be presented through a speculative architectural project. Recognizing that the causes for psychiatric disorders are the result of complex relationships between environmental, psychological, and physiological factors — making the condition of each patient essentially unique — an understanding of how the brain functions and reacts to given stimuli within the built environment is taken as a starting point for design. The project is not understood as a general proposition to 'cure' mental illness, but a specific exploration of how architecture could play an important — even crucial — role in the treatment and recovery of transitional youth.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

The proliferation of Mega-Cities, especially in Asia, has an effect on the available space. Because of lack of space, an increasing number of workers spend a significant portion of their time in windowless environments (WLE). In addition, underground spaces (UGS) have been promoted as viable solutions for places that space is at premium. However, there has yet to be a unified, systematic and holistic examination of the interaction of human psychology and health with WLE/UGS spaces and this may affect the public’s acceptance to the idea of potentially working underground in future.

Here, we present the key elements of, probably the only currently running, inter-disciplinary, systematic research program aiming to examine the relationship between the design, environmental and architectural characteristics of WLE/UGS with critical aspects of human behaviour and well-being. Specifically, we examine how (i) working in WLE/UGS influences (positively or negatively) human psychology, cognition, performance and well-being (ii) critical aspects of human health are affected by such environments (such as circadian rhythms, including core body temperature) and (iii) general attitudes and lay beliefs towards working underground. The research outcomes will eventually be combined and summarised by employing a Risk Analysis approach, to translate the results to practitioners. In addition, assessment tools, recommendations, solutions, case studies and standards will be developed and disseminated to assist the industry, policy makers, researchers and the public to reach well-informed decisions.

The main aim of this presentation is to introduce the research program and invite interested stakeholders for a possible global research effort to better understand the effects of working at WLE/UGS on the population. To that end, we invite ANFA members to actively contribute to this extraordinary research effort.

This material is based on research work supported by the Land and Liveability National Innovation Challenge (L2.NIC) Award No L2 NICFF2015-2018-2 (PI: Chee-Kiong Soh).
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ANFA 2015 CONFERENCE - 42 -
Architecture is an applied science, so for architects design begins where science ends. This is true for neuroscience as it is for strengths of materials, heating and air conditioning systems, sustainable practices, lighting, acoustics, geometry, etc. What can the biology of the brain teach us about designing architectural space? Much. Sorting from the many consequences that neuroscience has for the practice of architecture, I suggest three substantial claims from science for an architect’s primer. 1. Immediate engagement with architecture is pre-reflexive and meaningful. 2. The experience of architecture is kinesthetic and emotive, hence perceived through enactments with one’s own body. 3) The duality of vision, from the structure of the eye, supports a polar yet interlaced experience of architectural space object meaning and atmosphere.

Architecture is pre-reflexive, meaning the whole body-brain receives sensory stimuli to which it responds emotively, before intellectual processes reflect. In simple analogy, confronted by a speeding taxi as you step off the curb, your senses and adrenal take over and you react defensively stepping backwards. Afterwards, cognition kicks in and you examine your feelings (Oh my, I was nearly killed – fear, anxiety, accelerated heart rate, etc.). Emotion (meaning motion and internal chemistry) is first. It is involuntary. At least since the Greeks, architects have recognized similar involuntary sensory responses to built spaces as fundamental to the profession: an expert Peter Zumthor compares to a first impression. Such corporeal knowing or snap judgments are evolved from the African savanna. Like a first impression, a room is an own kind knowledge and judgment, informed by experiences, personal and cultural bias that operates below consciousness as emotion before emerging, cognitively like a feeling. One reads a place with the full power of Palladino’s syntheses, memories and life’s experiences. A corner stone of intuition for architects, for scientist this is now being revealed by brain imagining experimentation.

Experiencing architecture is emotive and kinesthetic, a hypothesis unfolding from the discovery of mirror mechanisms in the brain. This hypothesis is similar to an architect’s sense of empathy, but much deeper. Where empathy implies a tangential understanding of the other’s emotion – shearing a fear for another’s lost, seeing anger or evil in a face – what embodied simulation suggests is that we actually perceive through enactments with ones own body. This is a broad and complex topic, but our profound and precise ability to mimic is a good demonstration. If for example you want to show your son how to filet a fish, you say watch me (not I will explain and see how you do). More significant for architect’s is that this embodiment of actions and intentions is not only true for animate creatures, but also inanimate objects like sculpture (Michelangelo’s Slaves), paintings (Vemeer, Kandinsky, Jackson Pollack, etc.), the tension of Bernini’s Baldacchino’s twisted columns, even haphazard things touching one another (a pinecone or fallen limb on the deck). Art Historian, Prof. Freedberg and (Michelangelo’s Slaves), paintings (Vermeer, Kandinsky, Jackson Pollack, etc.), the tension of Bernini’s Baldacchino’s twisted columns, even haphazard things touching one another (a pinecone or fallen limb on the deck). Art Historian, Prof. Freedberg and Vittorio Gallese summarize in an argument revising art history, that all esthetic reaction to art is not necessarily your son how to fillet a fish, you say watch me (not I will explain and see how you do). More significant for architect’s is that this embodiment of actions and intentions is not only true for animate creatures, but also inanimate objects like sculpture (Michelangelo’s Slaves), paintings (Vemeer, Kandinsky, Jackson Pollack, etc.), the tension of Bernini’s Baldacchino’s twisted columns, even haphazard things touching one another (a pinecone or fallen limb on the deck). Art Historian, Prof. Freedberg and Vittorio Gallese summarize in an argument revising art history, that all esthetic reaction to art is not necessarily.

Figure 1 is a schematic of our contentions for architecture and the eye. I realize that these are vast generalizations in the complex biology of the eye-brain. For example, dividing into two emotive and cognitive realms, between central and peripheral vision, demonstrates our recognition of emotive content, in contrast to neutrally charged images, while in our peripheral field of view: for instance, one’s attention on the Baldacchino within the perspective of St. Peter’s Basilica. Peripheral vision contains many false alarms, yet supports vague but meaningful impressions.

Architects will appreciate this as context. This capturing of emotinal gist is precise, yet dually present. What I am proposing is a biological and geometric foundation of architecture space.

2. REFERENCES


6 Several articles play in this perspective in particular David Freedberg, and Vittorio Gallese. “ Abstract art and cortical motor activation: an EEG study.”


Education at the intersection of Architecture & Neuroscience

I. ABSTRACT
Architectural education is by nature an amalgam of multiple disciplines, all deemed necessary to prepare students for practice. Many once necessary components have already been replaced, an evolutionary necessity in virtually all majors. How does one segue yet another area of very appropriate study into an increasingly crowded curriculum? Does the panel see this as a separate track, a part of other courses, a hybrid of both, or any other method of delivery?
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I. ABSTRACT

This research demonstrates that architects and other designers can use experiential understanding of the brain deliberately to influence the characteristics of their drawings and design products. The human brain has three levels: the brainstem and cerebellum control involuntary activities such as respiration and organ function, the limbic (mammalian) system processes emotions and memories, and the neocortex plans and performs higher cognitive functions. Architectural sociologists Galen Cranz and Leonardo Chiesi, building on insights from medical researcher Jader Tolja and founder of Body-Mind Centering Bonnie Bainbridge Cohen, have tested the idea that the brainstem and limbic system can be activated through experiential anatomy to stimulate a distinct type of creativity in drawing and design (Cranz and Chiesi, 2014). Drawings produced after stimulating the neocortex with simple rhymes, and those produced after stimulating subcortical parts of the brain (the brainstem and limbic system via the kidney) evidenced theoretically predicted differences in drawing qualities. Small, straight, two-dimensional drawings morphed into large, curvilinear, three-dimensional drawings of the same objects. The earlier study with a sample of 200 in seven trials used drawings of handles and lamps, but architects wanted to know if the differences would hold at the building and urban scales. Accordingly, following the same research design, this replication study compares and contrasts sets of drawings of buildings and urban squares produced by 30 subjects in two trials.

The drawings are coded by independent researchers (Rushton and Rosen) for line quality (curved or straight), size (smaller or larger image), and three-dimensionality (shading, perspective), and number of depictions of nature (birds, trees, flowers, sun, water). The same differences are observed at the architectural scale as at the product scale.

“Experiential anatomy,” somatics, and neuroscience have direct implications for teaching architectural design, as well as for the promotion of mental and physical well-being in general. If consciousness can influence design, the design of an object, room, or place may also affect users’ consciousness. This is probably assumed by designers, and neurological evidence is a welcome confirmation. Finally, this research demonstrates that architects and other designers can use experiential understanding of the brain deliberately to influence the characteristics of their drawings and design products. The human brain has three levels: the brainstem and cerebellum control involuntary activities such as respiration and organ function, the limbic (mammalian) system processes emotions and memories, and the neocortex plans and performs higher cognitive functions. Architectural sociologists Galen Cranz and Leonardo Chiesi, building on insights from medical researcher Jader Tolja and founder of Body-Mind Centering Bonnie Bainbridge Cohen, have tested the idea that the brainstem and limbic system can be activated through experiential anatomy to stimulate a distinct type of creativity in drawing and design (Cranz and Chiesi, 2014). Drawings produced after stimulating the neocortex with simple rhymes, and those produced after stimulating subcortical parts of the brain (the brainstem and limbic system via the kidney) evidenced theoretically predicted differences in drawing qualities. Small, straight, two-dimensional drawings morphed into large, curvilinear, three-dimensional drawings of the same objects. The earlier study with a sample of 200 in seven trials used drawings of handles and lamps, but architects wanted to know if the differences would hold at the building and urban scales. Accordingly, following the same research design, this replication study compares and contrasts sets of drawings of buildings and urban squares produced by 30 subjects in two trials.

The drawings are coded by independent researchers (Rushton and Rosen) for line quality (curved or straight), size (smaller or larger image), and three-dimensionality (shading, perspective), and number of depictions of nature (birds, trees, flowers, sun, water). The same differences are observed at the architectural scale as at the product scale.

Note: This is a natural reading of the text, without further analysis or questioning.
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I. ABSTRACT

This paper describes an experiment that took place at the Digital Werkzeuge at the Fachbereich Architektur of the TU Kaiserslautern during the Winter Semester of 2015/2016. The subjects of the experiment were students of Architecture who attended the elective course “De Human corporis Fabrica – Fabricating Emotions through Architecture” in which the experiment took part. The title of the experiment, “De Humanis Corporis Fabrica: Fabricating emotions through Architecture” is a reference to the first anatomy treatise with the same name (from Latin to English, “On the Constitution of the Human Body”), in which Andreas Vesalius presents dissections of human bodies in order to explain its inner workings. The title was suitable for the experiment also because the original Latin word for fabrica can have the multiple meaning of “fabrication, constitution, construction” and the elective course had the goal to teach students of architecture to design and construct architectural settings with digital tools of design and fabrication. The overall purpose of the experiment was to evaluate the emotional reaction of subjects who would design, fabricate, assemble, and perform in architectural settings, taking in consideration the direct effect that the design elements in such settings would produce specific physical and emotional effects on the subjects, or as the subtitle suggests, “fabricating emotions through architecture.”

The ultimate goal of the experiment was the evaluation of emotional reaction to changes in the sensory perception when a user is performing the same actions in settings that have the same design but significantly different dimensions. The goal of the course was to increase students’ awareness of the direct effect that variations in the values of basic design parameters, such as scale and dimensions, produces on the human body, while conditioning movement. Psychophysiological changes in the body’s sensory perception during the performances were evaluated through the combined use of biometric technology, a Presence Questionnaire and a SAM chart. The course was attended by 4 students who participated as subjects in the Experiment. The course was offered during the Winter Semester of 2015/2016 and the experiment took place on the last day of the course, on February 14th. The Experiment had the technical support of the DKFZ – Deutsches Forschungszentrum für Künstliche Intelligenz, which provided the machinery necessary to collect the biometric data during the Experiment. The experimental results support the main research hypothesis H1: a user’s emotional response to design objects as “compelled or not compelled,” positive or negative, “aroused or not aroused” and “dominant or dominated” can be evaluated through objective measurements of emotion. The results collected through the Presence Questionnaire and the SAM charts show that the majority of subjects experienced a high level of “Presence,” “Pleasure” and “Arousal.” Most subjects describe their emotional response to the performance with the objects as “positive.” Most subjects reported to be very involved in the experiment, found the performance sensually very engaging, were very involved by visual aspects and involved by haptic and auditory aspects, while remaining aware of events around and the surrounding space. This suggests that subjects were focused on the most important aspect of the experiment which had to do with the movement of the body while performing with the objects, the kinaesthetic sense. It also suggests that they were involved by the sense of vision and hearing. This confirms a high-level of engagement and suggests that the experience was immersive, although other results show that subjects were somewhat distracted by the suit, mask, and e-health platform. Therefore, results support hypothesis H2, which suggests that the feeling of “presence” and emotional activation can be intentionally induced through the performance of the body with technological aids and with analogical models, in this case, architectural settings. Results also show that most subjects were involved in experiment and lost track of time during the performance. This suggests that the feeling of “presence” and arousal situations can be intentionally induced in real-space, but further research is necessary to understand which specific design elements are responsible for this. All subjects rated the experiment as a very good learning experience and found that performance art techniques enhanced their creativity and capacity to design. Therefore, results also support hypothesis H3, which suggests that the somatic techniques of “performance art” and “emotional design” are an effective strategy to develop corporeal awareness and stimulate the creativity of students and designers. The amount of participants in the experiment was only four which according to the quantitative research tradition could not be understood and treated as quantitative material. Although a quantitative research approach would be possible to use in such a context and eventually providing interesting results, the application of the experiment can be applied to a larger experimental context. Also, the used approach can be understood as part of the educational content of the course and as training of research methods which incorporate innovative methodologies in the teaching of design and sensitize the students to the emotional impact of design objects in the human body. The results can be seen, for that reason as suggestive, as this experiment was a pilot for future experiments which will involve more subjects and means. The experiment described in this paper shows that PQ, SAM, and biometric technology can be used to objectively discriminate arousal responses. Results confirm that it was useful to include biometric machinery in the experiment, to observe how user emotions are triggered while experiencing...
How Educational Environments Impact Learning
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ABSTRACT

Traditionally, educators have focused primarily on pedagogy and technique while designers have focused on the shaping of space and the two spheres of influence remain relatively disconnected. Recent academic and industrial research and the growing field of neuroscience, focusing on brain response and development through environmental stimulus, are breaking through this traditional barrier. As the influence and impact of learning environments on student well-being and performance is increasingly understood, more meaningful interaction between designers and educators is becoming a necessity. It is increasingly evident that without a synergy between the design of the space and the pedagogy employed within it, the user groups – both teachers and students, teach and learn in spite of, rather than supported by the space they are in.

This panel discussion addresses the challenge of environmental research in sorting through the many contributing factors to student performance – including economic, social and cultural, in order to isolate the specific impacts of the physical environment. Panelists include leading researchers in education, neuroscience and the design industry. They will discuss their current, cutting edge research projects and point to future impacts on educational design as neuroscience uncovers the direct impact of environmental stimuli on the brain.
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I. ABSTRACT

Companies have been suffering great changes over the last decades. Especially due to the changes that technology brought, workers have to do more than just simple and mechanical tasks. Moreover, companies’ strategies are not based on graphics and numbers only, but in a more holistic approach. Intangible assets like knowledge, creativity, problem solution and brand are becoming much more important. All such factors made the demand for new workplaces design increase.

On the other hand, neuro-architecture is a field that studies how the built space influences the brain. However, the challenge is to combine corporate contemporary needs with neuro-architecture. To apply neuro-architecture in a workspace is not a simple task. Architects need to consider not only the company’s culture, but also what people will be doing in each space designed. A space for working on a routine task should be different from a space for brainstorming that is different from a space for meditating or learning.

The gap that this research intends to fill is that many of the studies related to neuro-business in general or neuro-architecture were never linked to workspace design, although their great potential in this field. Neuro-marketing and neuro-leadership, for instance, can be very helpful in understanding how the workspace can affect the brain. Concepts like SCARF (status, certainty, autonomy, relatedness and fairness) can also have an architectural implication. Therefore, companies will be able to associate neuro-business and neuro-architecture strategies with workspace design and have a whole mix of tools to improve group as well as individual performance.

Some of the questions raised are: What is the relation between workspace and well-being? How can biophilia affect performance? How can workspace influence the amygdala? Is the space able to activate the limbic system and, therefore, affect memory, creativity and emotions? How can workspace stimulate concentration and learning? Can workspace design improve sociability and collaboration? This work does not intend necessarily to answer all these questions, but to investigate, inspire and maybe suggest a few answers.
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Neural-Architecture: Incorporating clinical expertise in brain-based design principles
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I. ABSTRACT
In order to accommodate the wide range of responses from common and expected to extraordinary and unpredictable, careful on-site observations and measures should be taken in real scenarios. Individuals with a neurological, psychological or psychiatric condition (along with comorbidities) can and often do experience the world through a different lens. Brain-based design principles must therefore reflect the complexity of the human condition in health and in illness. In order to advance our understanding of how architectural form may better serve human function, we must consider not only the neuroscientific data from ‘average normal’ subjects, but also the findings from clinical patients. A large body of clinical research reveals the neural interactions that underlie the human experience of design. Each person’s response to the built environment may change over the course of their life, a day, and even a second as their mental state or medical status may be influenced by external stimuli. Neuropsychiatric changes may also alter the perception or impact of design with exposure to specific environmental features. Further, we must consider how the complexity of the human condition, including co-occurring (comorbid) diseases, disorders and dysfunctions, may alter the response to design, particularly as the global populace ages, and chronic disorders become increasingly prevalent.

1.1. METHODS
Neural design principles were derived from clinical experience, literature reviews and discussions with physicians, surgeons, scientists, nurses, specialists, therapists and at several neurology, neurosurgery and rehabilitation facilities in the US, UK, Canada and China. The needs of a patient with a neurological, psychiatric or psychological condition can be difficult to articulate and understand. Changing mental, emotional and physical health status complicate their perspective on their environmental conditions. In order to incorporate this complexity, it is essential that on-site observations, focus groups and meetings bring together clinicians, patients, architects and designers to identify elements that may increase design efficacy, enhance patient outcomes while increasing operational efficiency. The typical on-site walkthrough does not reveal these complex needs. Instead, observation of patients, providers and users must be undertaken to help designers understand how the built environment may be experienced.

1.2. PROCESS
Accessible design begins to address the needs of this unique population, but are often limited to mobility issues (ramps and rails) under ADA laws. To meet the needs of a broad spectrum of neural patients in healthcare settings and in our communities, clinically informed design principles must serve those who appear unaffected to those with severe or life-threatening conditions. The complexity of the built environment must address a wide range of mental, behavioral, cognitive and physical issues including schizophrenia, post-traumatic stress, mood, neurocognitive, neurodevelopmental, neuroinflammatory, or neurodegenerative, or neuromuscular and associated medical conditions.

A review of neural science reveals the complex network systems that must be considered (Kandel et al. 2013). Yet, a pragmatic approach may be applied based upon our current understanding of neural systems, interactions and clinical practice. The physics of form (input stimuli) have been shown to yield measurable changes in sensation, thoughts and actions. These relationships, may move our thinking from a generic or standardized programming approach to a multi-user and multi-functional approach. Design that serves the clinical limits or extremes of neural patients, their caregivers and their providers is more likely to meet most people's needs. It may even go beyond the narrow minimum required of people performing generic tasks, and provide a space for delight.

1.3. APPLICATION
Our approach began by reviewing the wide range of neurological, neurosurgical, psychiatric and psychological conditions that may be encountered at a clinical neuroscience facility. Guidelines focused on how design might best support many comorbid medical, physiological, cognitive, sensory, perceptual, behavioral, and mobility conditions. Movement ability can be extremely wide-ranging from able-bodied to full dependence in a power wheelchair, and some have pain, muscle spasms or fatigue with movement. Visual and acoustic privacy used a sophisticated approach to balance clarity, intelligibility with confidentiality. Consideration was given to limited perception of surfaces, color and contrast, visual distractions, changes in thresholds and surfaces can increase fall risk in this population. Existing guidelines for lighting, based upon the average function of young adults are inadequate. Instead, lighting strategies should offer multiple adjustable zones for different visual abilities, task, and circadian needs. Altered perception or cognition may yield difficulty understanding signage or wayfinding leading to frustration and undue stress. The space needed for providers to safely examine patients with limited mobility was also considered.

I.4. CONCLUSION
Neural design principles may be applied within and beyond healthcare settings to meet the needs of the world's aging populous and the increasing prevalence of neurological disorders. Together, these changing demographics demand that we must design for more than the fit and healthy alone. In collaboration with global clinical partners, we therefore are launching a clinical network to inform neural design principles relevant to industrial, interior, architectural and urban settings.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

1.1. BACKGROUND

Ever since Roger Ulrich showed that the view from patient bedrooms to nature in hospital buildings could shorten hospitalization and reduce the use of painkillers (Ulrich 1984), the tantalizing idea that human physiology can be influenced through the perception of the environment has existed. However, as Ulrich’s study is about the view and not architectural space, we set out to investigate whether the design of a space itself could have a physiological impact as well. We theorized that one way this might come about was through the stress system, as stress basically is a recruitment of resources by the organism for a fight-or-flight behavior, and we hypothesized that an open space potentially offering a possibility for escape would result in a less pronounced stress response than would a closed space.

1.2. METHODOLOGY / PROCEDURE

We measured the immune regulatory stress hormone cortisol, released by the Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA-axis). A virtual reality version of the Trier Social Stress Test (Kirschbaum et al., 1993; Jönsson et al. 2010), in which a test person has to perform certain tasks in front of a committee, was used as a stressor. Because it was a virtual reality version of the test, it allowed for a systematic variation of the test rooms, ensuring that openness versus enclosure was the only variable. The level of cortisol was significantly lower in the open space than in the enclosed one (Fich et al. 2014). Interestingly, the most pronounced effect occurred after the stress test, and the time needed for the test persons to return to baseline was approximately twice as long for the enclosed room compared to the open one.

1.3. OUTCOME / DISCUSSION

The immediate relevance of these results lies within the working environment where stress is a growing problem worldwide, and within hospital design because of the close relationship between stress and the immune system (Segerstrom and Miller, 2004). As the part of the brain – the hippocampus – that is first attacked by Alzheimer’s dementia is a crucial part of the feed-back system of the HPA-axis, it is also possible that these results could be relevant for the design of accommodation for Alzheimer patients. Cortisol itself has a profound influence on a number of cognitive functions such as learning and memory. At the moment, our group is engaged in a four year research program that aims at investigating whether space through the stress mechanisms might have the same effect on physical stress in the form of pain as it had on psychosocial stress, try out other spatial configurations, develop cheaper and more flexible methods and investigate how or if the physiological stress response correlates with the subjective aesthetic experience.

In a broader perspective, the non-conscious mechanism controlling the release of stress hormones seems to be the quintessence of what Antonio Damasio has termed ‘emotions’, defined as ‘complex, largely automated programs of actions concocted by evolution’, in which the actions are as much directed at the body including the brain, as they are at behavior (Damasio 2010, p.109). Harry Francis Mallgrave has drawn attention to the potential of this theory in relation to architecture (Mallgrave 2013, pp. 90–117) and has underlined the need for research addressing this. “The importance of our emotional well-being cannot be overestimated by architects, if only for the reason that designers are principally engaged in constructing the habitats in which we live. Little research has thus been done on how the variables of the built environment affect our emotional life, but it might very well be demonstrated in the near future” (Mallgrave 2010, pp. 190–191).
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Further implications within the users’ experience of office space are discussed.

Size, orientation and distance from the perceiver, thus defining and shaping in motor terms their representational content. Individuals sitting at their desk also map the space around them, and the objects at hand in that very same space with their shape, cognition and action as separate domains — according to which the same motor circuits that control the motor behavior of workers map the space around them, as embodied simulation defines users’ basic relationship with space. Neuroscientific evidence is introduced, inseparably tied, as embodied simulation defines users’ basic relationship with space. Neuroscientific evidence is introduced, meaning also that perception requires action simulation — and that it is perceptually measured and confinements of our body — meaning also that perception requires action simulation — and that it is perceptually measured not only visually but through a more complex model which involves the potential actions of the worker occurring within it. These simulated potential actions thus define a motor space, since it is mapped in terms of action potentialities. Such a perspective in cognitive neuroscience describes a new model — opposite to the computational view positing perception, action, cognition and the environment as separate domains — according to which the same motor circuits that control the motor behavior of individuals sitting at their desk also map the space around them, and the objects at hand in that very same space with their shape, size, orientation and distance from the perceiver, thus defining and shaping in motor terms their representational content.

Further implications within the users’ experience of office space are discussed.

I. ABSTRACT

The subject of the presentation is the relationship between office space and its users. The empathic response to architectural settings will be addressed within the frame of the neuroscientific notion of embodied simulation and its implications for office design.

The presentation is theoretical and incorporates research from architecture and cognitive neuroscience. The field of research is limited to office environments for two basic reasons: first, the number of physical variables is limited, especially with regard to the interior scenery; second, its performative nature entails description and measurement.

The presentation frames the notion of embodied simulation within office interiors, and concludes that interiors and their users inseparably tied, as embodied simulation defines users’ basic relationship with space. Neuroscientific evidence is introduced, according to which the experience of architecture is based on a complex relationship between the body, its sensorimotor system, and architectural space.

The experience of office interiors is addressed discussing properties of the visual vs. the sensing and motor mechanisms at play during embodied simulation. It is concluded that the space around the body within our workplace is defined by the motor potentialities and confinements of our body — meaning also that perception requires action simulation — and that it is perceptually measured not only visually but through a more complex model which involves the potential actions of the worker occurring within it. These simulated potential actions thus define a motor space, since it is mapped in terms of action potentialities.

Such a perspective in cognitive neuroscience describes a new model — opposite to the computational view positing perception, cognition and action as separate domains — according to which the same motor circuits that control the motor behavior of individuals sitting at their desk also map the space around them, and the objects at hand in that very same space with their shape, size, orientation and distance from the perceiver, thus defining and shaping in motor terms their representational content.
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1. ABSTRACT

The space that you experience around yourself always has some structure, even when you are surrounded by darkness or dense fog. The otherwise “empty” space has its up and down, left and right, back and front. And when parts of the environment are visible, the structure of experience becomes more complex, articulated by what you can or cannot see from different locations. Visibility varies across locations because of the occlusion and because human vision is highly selective: sensitive to some optical patterns and blind to others. One way to describe the complex structure of spatial experience is to divide space to solid regions where different experiences are possible. This approach would allow the designer to draw maps of potential experiences for any built environment. The maps would help to predict where certain parts of the environment are perceived concurrently, and also to anticipate sequences of experiences by individuals taking different paths through the environment. I describe first steps towards constructing such a predictive model.

2. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

In the 1970s, the influential theorist of art and film Rudolf Arnheim used some core ideas of Gestalt psychology to investigate what he called “the dynamics of architectural form”[1]. He pointed out that “in perceptual experience, the spaces surrounding buildings cannot be considered empty. Instead these spaces are pervaded by visual forces generated by the architectural structures and determined by the size and the shape of their generators” (p. 30). Arnheim used a drawing by the architect Paolo Portoghesi (Figure 1) to illustrate the “perceptual fields” created by objects.

The concentric circles in the drawing represent “a field of visual forces” that “expands from the center and propagates its wave front as far into the surrounding environment as its strength permits” (emphasis by SG). A metaphor inspired by physics, this view anticipated that visual experiences could be represented as a map or a continuous field. Recipients of the inaugural Harold Hay Research Grant from the Academy of Neuroscience for Architecture, Gepshtein, McDowell & Lynn [2] launched a program of research germane to these ideas. But instead of the metaphorical “strength” of visual forces, a readily measurable quantity was employed: a metric of pattern visibility adopted from visual psychophysics and systems neuroscience. This metric takes into account the basic fact that the amount of perceptible detail in an optical pattern depends on the distance from the pattern, called the “viewing distance.”

Visibility of optical patterns had been studied previously in tightly confined laboratory conditions. A number of models of pattern visibility were advanced and tested, of which the model of Donald H. Kelly [3, 4] is the most comprehensive. In the laboratory studies, human observers typically report their perception of patterns presented on flat screens at fixed viewing distances in small dark rooms. Gepshtein et al. [2] called this the “cognitive” model of perception. For this project, the model of Kelly was generalized so the researchers could derive maps of experience in large built environments, across a wide range of viewing distances.

Gepshtein et al. called the latter format the “immersive mode” of perception. The metric takes into account the basic fact that the amount of perceptible detail in an optical pattern depends on the distance from the pattern, called the “viewing distance.”

Visibility varies across locations because of the occlusion and because human vision is highly selective: sensitive to some optical patterns and blind to others. One way to describe the complex structure of spatial experience is to divide space to solid regions where different experiences are possible. This approach would allow the designer to draw maps of potential experiences for any built environment. The maps would help to predict where certain parts of the environment are perceived concurrently, and also to anticipate sequences of experiences by individuals taking different paths through the environment. I describe first steps towards constructing such a predictive model.

For the proof of concept, the model was first validated in several paradoxical cases. In one case, visibility of patterns predictably diminished as they approached the observer. In another case, visibility dropped abruptly because of a slight change of the viewing distance, again as predicted by the model. The latter effect was enhanced when the pattern was moving, which is important when the environment contains moving parts or digital media, or when static pattern are seen in the periphery from a moving vehicle. In sum, the generalized model successfully predicted dynamics of perception in the immersive mode.

Having such a model embedded in the software for computer-aided design, the architect would be able to derive the aforementioned maps of potential experience for specific built environments. The maps would describe the most likely possibilities (or norms) of experience, rather than predict the actual experience, similar to how “competence” is generally different from “performance.” To predict the actual experience, the model should also include a metric of pattern salience, and thus account for the likely shifts of attention by the observer moving through the environment.

Further details of this study, and a list of colloquia and publications inspired by this work, are being gathered in [5]. This project triggered a series of events that led to the establishment of the Center for Spatial Perception and Concrete Experience (SPaCE) at the University of Southern California [6].
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2. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

In the 1970s, the influential theorist of art and film Rudolf Arnheim used some core ideas of Gestalt psychology to investigate what he called “the dynamics of architectural form”[1]. He pointed out that “in perceptual experience, the spaces surrounding buildings cannot be considered empty. Instead these spaces are pervaded by visual forces generated by the architectural structures and determined by the size and the shape of their generators” (p. 30). Arnheim used a drawing by the architect Paolo Portoghesi (Figure 1) to illustrate the “perceptual fields” created by objects.

The concentric circles in the drawing represent “a field of visual forces” that “expands from the center and propagates its wave front as far into the surrounding environment as its strength permits” (emphasis by SG). A metaphor inspired by physics, this view anticipated that visual experiences could be represented as a map or a continuous field. Recipients of the inaugural Harold Hay Research Grant from the Academy of Neuroscience for Architecture, Gepshtein, McDowell & Lynn [2] launched a program of research germane to these ideas. But instead of the metaphorical “strength” of visual forces, a readily measurable quantity was employed: a metric of pattern visibility adopted from visual psychophysics and systems neuroscience. This metric takes into account the basic fact that the amount of perceptible detail in an optical pattern depends on the distance from the pattern, called the “viewing distance.”

Visibility of optical patterns had been studied previously in tightly confined laboratory conditions. A number of models of pattern visibility were advanced and tested, of which the model of Donald H. Kelly [3, 4] is the most comprehensive. In the laboratory studies, human observers typically report their perception of patterns presented on flat screens at fixed viewing distances in small dark rooms. Gepshtein et al. [2] called this the “cognitive” model of perception. For this project, the model of Kelly was generalized so the researchers could derive maps of experience in large built environments, across a wide range of viewing distances.

Gepshtein et al. called the latter format the “immersive mode” of perception. The goal was to establish a proof of concept for the generalized model, by testing it in the immersive mode at the UCLA Architectural Robotic Laboratory [5].

Using two industrial robots that carried a projector and a large screen (Figure 2), visibility of periodic optical patterns was measured on the scale of architectural design. Large images propelled through space were used to map the solid regions in which specific visual features could or could not be seen. For the proof of concept, the model was first validated in several paradoxical cases. In one case, visibility of patterns predictably diminished as they approached the observer. In another case, visibility dropped abruptly because of a slight change of the viewing distance, again as predicted by the model. The latter effect was enhanced when the pattern was moving, which is important when the environment contains moving parts or digital media, or when static pattern are seen in the periphery from a moving vehicle. In sum, the generalized model successfully predicted dynamics of perception in the immersive mode.

Having such a model embedded in the software for computer-aided design, the architect would be able to derive the aforementioned maps of potential experience for specific built environments. The maps would describe the most likely possibilities (or norms) of experience, rather than predict the actual experience, similar to how “competence” is generally different from “performance.” To predict the actual experience, the model should also include a metric of pattern salience, and thus account for the likely shifts of attention by the observer moving through the environment.

Further details of this study, and a list of colloquia and publications inspired by this work, are being gathered in [5]. This project triggered a series of events that led to the establishment of the Center for Spatial Perception and Concrete Experience (SPaCE) at the University of Southern California [6].
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
I.1. BACKGROUND
Based on Salingaros theory of architecture, symmetry is a simple yet powerful tool for creating a visual order and a stable balance, and as a result, it reduces the time needed for visual perception of a space (Salingaros, 2006). In many psychological experiments, it has been proved that symmetry is detected more quickly in patterns or visual compositions concluding it, in comparison to ones that do not contain any kind of symmetry (Locher and Nodine, 1989). There are strong evidences that symmetry affects eye movements. Experiments have shown that people discern symmetry or asymmetry in a single brief fixation. It is believed that it can be detected quickly within about 0.05 second over all regions of the retina (Eberhard, 2008; Kostruba et al., 2008; Locher and Nodine, 1989). About the axis of the symmetry, there is a general agreement that “Eye fixations are usually concentrated along the axis of symmetry or the symmetrical center of the pattern” (Kostruba et al., 2008).

That symmetry has a high perceptual value was first discovered by ancient artists. It could be seen in symmetric patterns found many times in ancient architectures and arts even in objects like Persian rugs (Tyler, 2000): Locher and Nodine, (1989). These arts suggest that symmetry and repetition were among the earliest properties of an art which gives them high value. It could have different reasons. First, it could be due to the existence and repetition of symmetry in nature around us. Second, it is possible that because of the circular symmetric structure of human visual system, when viewing mirror symmetric patterns such as the ones in Persian rugs, the resonance occurs and makes them appealing (Tyler, 2003). In ancient houses of Iran, we could see these recurrences of symmetrical patterns. Those houses are still magnificent in the eyes of people, while nowadays we could see that people are not satisfied with their homes. So in this study, we focus on symmetry and the way it is understood.

I.2. METHODOLOGY / PROCEDURE
To find out the visual patterns of attention when viewing symmetrical interior of houses, we used eye tracking method. Five photos of ancient houses of Kashan city which include symmetry, have been selected. Each photo was being showed for 10 seconds on video projector to ten university students who were selected by cluster sampling method (5 left-handed and 5 right-handed ones) in IUST. The Pupil Eye-Tracker-Dev with 0.6 degree accuracy is used in this experiment.

I.3. OUTCOME / DISCUSSION
Based on the results, two patterns of eye movements are recognized in visual confrontation with symmetry in buildings. The participants who were right-handed ones, were focusing on the left half of the photos with one or three fixation points on the axis of the symmetry. Also it should bear in mind that these photos have local symmetries too. So we could see that in right half of the photos which has local symmetry, the fixations are more concentrated on the axis of the local symmetry. The experiment shows that left-handed participants were looking at the axis of symmetry and the points around it on its both sides. In other words, the concentration of fixation points is located in the middle of the image around the main axis of the symmetry (Figure I). A cartoon comparison of these two patterns is shown in figure 2. In second phase of the experiment, we repeated the process by 5 asymmetrical photos from the same houses. The number of fixations and the length of saccades were slightly higher than symmetrical photos.
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I. ABSTRACT

The intersection of interactive architecture and neuroscience expands the discipline of architecture by acknowledging a departure from the traditional notions of space and user. In this emerging context, the user is an active participant. As our world becomes increasingly connected and interactive, communication with our spaces and objects becomes progressively mediated through intelligent devices and interfaces. When considering this dynamic human factor, technology increasingly blurs the limits of the body’s territory. The emerging field of ‘sensory spatial design’ explores how we can harness human activity and potentially communicate with our environment. This paper explores the roles of Brain Computing Interfaces (BCI) and interactive architecture through an interdisciplinary research collaboration between the fields of Architecture, Neuroscience, and Dance as well as through ongoing experiments in the authors’ interactive architecture seminar.

Brain on Dance is an interdisciplinary collaboration between the University of Houston College of Architecture and the Laboratory for Noninvasive Brain-Machine Interface Systems led by Dr. Jose Contreras-Vidal. This collaboration aimed to create a real-time emotionally responsive environment controlled by a performer’s brain activity. Our research team developed a series of algorithms and graphic representations that paired the brain’s emotive state with physical movement based on Laban’s action efforts. These algorithms and graphic representations were translated to commands that controlled the hue, saturation, and intensity of the stage lighting providing a real-time interactive environment based on the dancer’s emotive and physical states. The software developed for this research forms a closed-loop system that allows the audience, performer, and environment to all become participants in the performance. (Fig. 1)

In our interactive architecture seminar, students investigate not only the physical occupation of space but also the physiological occupation of space. (Fig. 2) These conceptually complex, often abstract and intangible, dynamic human relationships are introduced to students through very concrete methods of making at 1:1. (Fig. 3) Our students imagine not only the possibilities of interaction and response, but also design the physical mechanics and the procedural logic of the systems that quantify the behavioral data. By engaging not only the predominant visual sense, but a user’s neural activity, behavior, and experience, these explorations transform architecture into a real-time medium. Exploiting a participant’s senses and behavior creates a multilayered experience that evokes time, space, memory and feeling. These projects rely on the performance of the participant and in doing so create new social relationships.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Abstract of work progress during the 6 months (January – June 2016) of research
In the first month of January 2016, a detailed literature review of relevant research papers and books was done. The literature review was done concentrating on Autism, theories explaining Autism – cognitive and neural, visual perceptual differences in Autism and sensory subtypes. The research papers related to architecture for Autism were also reviewed. The second month of February 2016, a questionnaire was prepared for interviewing specialists and two interviews were conducted and reported. The literature review was continued to include the visual properties of built environment and also identified case studies on designing for Autism. In the third month of March 2016, formalities for getting institutional and ethical clearance in India were done. Also the assessment tools for primary study were finalized as CARS 2 for assessment of severity of Autism, Sensory Profile 2 for understanding the sensory difference and PSI – SF for quantifying parental stress as a measure of behavioral response and cases of validation in the Indian context were gathered. In the fourth month of April 2016, the design parameters for healing spaces in sensory design approach were consolidated from the extensive literature review done. Formulation of design parameters was done and which it was found could be finalized only after case studies/ observation studies of Autistic children. In the fifth month of May 2016, a case study format was prepared after discussions, pilot study and reworking. The month’s work also involved the interview and interview reporting of another specialist. In the sixth month of June 2016, few case studies were done, which provided further insights into what could be the design parameters for Autistic children and also shortening of exposure period. Also, the preparation works for primary study involving initial assessments were done. The latter part of the month’s work also involved preparation of interim report as well.

Timeline with modifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Initially proposed work (as the time of application for fund)</th>
<th>Modified work schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>Interview of specialists</td>
<td>Interview of specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>Case study/ observation studies</td>
<td>Finalizing upon tools for Assessment, sensory and behavioral aspects of sample, and getting ethical clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>Formulation of design parameters (tentative)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>Formulation of design parameters</td>
<td>Preparation of case study format and interview of specialists (co-ordin.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Finalization of design parameters after case studies</td>
<td>Few case studies and preparation of interim report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be done

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Work to be done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>Initial assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>Finalization of design parameters after case studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>Initial assessment of 3 tools (CARS, PSI, Sensory Profile), exposure for one month and final assessment of PSI after one month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Final assessment after 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>December</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

A literature review of neuroscience and social science studies focused on happiness provided the content to frame six environmental factors with design implications. Three have restorative qualities: nature, light, and surprise, and three have interactive qualities: access, identity, and sociality. For each factor, selected findings are highlighted, then interpreted into potential design strategies. Both restorative and interactive experiences appear to be invaluable to human happiness, although they might not always appear compatible. Finding ways to draw the two together and integrate each into an individual's daily life is the design challenge this work is seeking to address. The focus here will be on the restorative interventions into urban and architectural design.
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AVERAGE IS IRRELEVANT.
Work and educational space design needs to be derived from different neurological workings of the brain.

Joanna Maria Helenurm

One needs to consider the extremes - particularly if they carry an extraordinary cumulative effect. "Black Swan", Nassim Nicholas Taleb

I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Experiences alter the fine details of our brains, as our bodies are constantly looking to establish equilibrium within the environment. It is crucial that institutions and work spaces of innovation would have diverse spatial conditions to cultivate many different kinds of minds.

Yet innovation incubators as well as other contemporary work spaces often follow simplistic design visions. Crude schemes may provide adequate conditions for working, but at best, only for certain people.

It would not be wrong to say that continued use of these designs is both insensitive and discriminating towards diversity, and arguably inhibits innovation.

In order to nurture our inventive capacities, we have to understand how new thinking emerges and to use these findings to create a more dynamically fertile environments.

I argue that better understanding of how different brains function, can enrich and enhance the uniqueness and individuality of the users, to improve the work environments and create the ultimate effect of which to open unexpected paths to innovation.

"There is no longer any question that brain tissues create the potential for having certain types of experiences, but there is also no doubt that the experiences especially early ones, can change the fine detail of the brain forever." (Jaak Panksepp, 2004)

Expanding the user profile of the space from the average to the spectrum of extremes, taking into account variabilities in attention, perception, and anxiety levels, we can start to define the factors that can influence the design decisions.

According to Panksepp (2004) all of consciousness was built on affective value system during long course of brain evolution. Since our energy resources are limited we can only participate in the information which is vital for our survival. Therefor our brains are wired to ignore repetitive information, and events/objects in their surrounding relationships matter more than absolutes. (Helson, 1964)

Hubel and Wiesel found that that whereas retinal neurons preferred dots, an otherwise quiescent cortical neuron would respond vigorously if and only if a straight lines at 90 degree angle was shown. That means, our perception is put together by these primary visual cortical neurons that further in hierarchy add up to contours and shapes. To analyze a space in terms of visual stimulus we can use the theory of corners from the findings of neuroscientist Irving Biederman of the University of Southern California. He found that corners and high degree curves are critical to the recognition of everyday objects. (Martinez-Conde, S and Macknik, S. L. (2013) Dark and Bright Corners of the Mind. Scientific American Mind 24, no 5, 20–22)

"Brains develop concrete perceptual structures, capabilities and sensitivities based on PROMINENT FEATURES of the rearing environment, and then are more able and more likely to see those features in the world around them." (WEXLER - LOOK UP BOOK)

Enriched environments force new neural connections and induce exploratory behavior. These spaces would be a good fit for people with traits of ADHD as they are more likely to carry dopamine D4 genes, which by nature results in personality traits of novelty seeking. From Donald Hebb we know that neurons that FIRE together WIRE together. Larger networks between neurons enable more complex and advanced thinking. Larger amounts of dopamine in the brain, is thought to be especially at an advantage of making novel connections between ideas, as he has access to a larger pool of data in the working memory. One of the most remarkable features of contemporary enrichment studies has revealed that the changes in the brain can be detected even when the enriched experience is provided to an adult or aged subject.

(Nature review/Neuroscience. VOL1 December 2000. 191-198)

Yet, not everybody is able to handle enriched environments, or excessive visual noise. At any given moment working memory is said to be able to hold 4-7 items in reserve. (Alan Baddeley 1970).

Future Work

It's about working short term memory as well as long term memory, which get fused with our subconscious brain activity.
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1. ABSTRACT
While architectural historians have often noted the connection between design sketches and finished buildings, to date there has been little in the literature that relates these drawings to discoveries in neuroscience and visual perception. This paper will present an analysis of the drawings of the great Finnish architect, Alvar Aalto, that suggests how researchers might begin to unlock the mental habits of architects and designers to discover historical patterns of thought.

Drawing on previous research that traces what I have called "modes of conception" among prominent architects, I shall demonstrate the connection between drawings, cognitive patterns, and memory in the work of Aalto, one of the most influential "form givers" of the twentieth century. Because many of his sketches survive, along with detailed biographical and eye-witness accounts of his practice, it is possible to study Aalto's cognitive patterns in detail.

I shall conclude the paper with a hypothesis about the relationship between external memory triggers, or "exogons," and drawing types (modes of representation) that draws on the research of Merlin Donald, the Canadian psychologist. This thesis will form the basis for a forthcoming book that examines architectural drawings as keys to conceptual modes from the Renaissance to the present day.
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I. ABSTRACT

It could be asserted that, for all but the last century or so in the long history of the discipline, the singular role of architecture was to provide beauty and the primary task of the architect was the pursuit of it. To be sure, Vitruvius posited his definition of architecture (M: firmness; F: commodity) in addition to beauty (or delight, D): (M + F = C + D) and his formulation influenced generations. Succeeding commentators, however, narrowed the focus. John Ruskin, arguably the most influential critic of the 19th century, defined architecture precisely as those components of a building that were not necessary from a structural or programmatic perspective. A century later, the historian Nikolaus Pevsner set forth his famous assertion that “a bicycle shed is a building. Lincoln Cathedral is a piece of architecture.” In attempting to differentiate architecture from mere “building”, Pevsner determined that architecture’s defining characteristic was “A view to aesthetic appeal.” And RobertVenturi explained the “problem” of modern architecture by perverting Vitruvius’s definition thusly: “Firmness + Commodity = Delight.”

If we accept the central role of beauty in architecture, we might also also accept the proposition that the role of architectural criticism lies in ascertaining beauty. That is no easy task, however, and its elusiveness may explain the difficulty architectural criticism has had as a professional pursuit and as an agent of architectural development or public promotion.

Now, neurobiologists use neuroimaging techniques to identify brain regions associated with processing and judging beauty. The field of neuroaesthetics and cognitive electrophysiology using event-related brain potentials provides an overall view suggesting that aesthetic experience is a multilevel process that includes visceromotor and somatomotor responses, with that visual experience of art characterized by the activation of sensorimotor areas, emotional and reward-related centers. Seminal studies demonstrating the plasticity of behavioral and neural responses to beauty after perceptual and motor training suggest important questions regarding the relationship between embodiment and aesthetics that are particularly important to the art of architecture, in which people move through space to experience design. As we learn about the distinct processes in the brain that are engaged, we can increasingly relate neural form to reveal the brain’s function in aesthetic judgments of beauty.

This movement in science offers interesting possibilities in a refreshed role for architectural criticism, incorporating an understanding of the brain’s response to beauty. Can we create an evidence-based process leading inexorably to beautiful buildings? This illustrated presentation will explore neuroaesthetics while examining the challenges of defining beauty in architecture.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
The mission of this conference is to "ignite change and unlock the potential of Neuroscience for Architecture." In this brief overview we address: 1) How the digital era is rapidly reforming craft in Architecture via new tools; 2) Neurological implications of digitally reformed design, specifically craft and experience thereof.

Craft is a highly involved demonstration of skill with origins predating language. The bodily skills associated with craft have been passed down through a lineage of mimetic learning. Homo-sapiens pass down corporeal knowledge of tool-use from generation to generation. Studies on Japanese macaques demonstrate how tools may have been neurological extensions of the body. Craft tool use is neurologically understood and appreciated similarly today as it was at the dawn of mankind. In this light the digital era has not reshaped how theorists view craft, but professionals, such as architects, who enthusiastically lend their profession to machines for better or worse. Thus the digital age ushers in a diverse range of neurological implications from craft to aesthetic experience. Aesthetic experience of craft is defined by meticulous and masterful articulation of attractive materials. Electroencephalogram (EEG) studies in art have shown that aesthetic experience may be neurologically enhanced by: 1) Pathologically gained expertise; 2) The perception of creative bodily motion; 3) Industrialization and development of digital tools has, through veils of false precision, beckoned architects to replace traditions of craft. From this point of view digital tools may be responsible for degradation in aesthetic experience.

Dilemmas surface when CAD drawings, 3-D modeling, rendering, etc. fraudulently render corporeal design methods, namely sketching and modelcraft, obsolete. The benefits of increasingly easy digital functions are favored while strengths in fabrication are ignored. Computers, as neurological extensions of the body, allow the architect innovative methods of production. Digital is a medium through which architects may merge their design ideas with material reality. This is now corroborated by the discovery of mirror neurons.

Craft is rooted in the human body through its actions and intentions. It is created through the movements of the craftsman and the architect and the contracter, between the theorist and the engineer, between the philosopher and the mason. Architectural Craft is the intimate process of engaging material reality to create objects. To craft as an architect is to toe the line between digital and corporeal, between the tool and the hand, between the digital and the body.
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I have always been drawn to working with my hands. Two years of collegiate engineering did not fulfill the wants of my hands, I transferred to Architecture. I have a multitude of construction experience. Grounded in the world of production we live in, I have been lured towards philosophy, science, and art. By keeping an open mind, I keep a creative mind. I am a knight of optimism, drawn to the dream of a better world for living.
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My father was a cabinet maker and currently a woodworking hobbyist. I have heard lively critiques of craftsmanship throughout my life. In high school I was drawn towards the arts and music. Early in college I stayed in my hometown to maintain a band. Meanwhile, I studied journalism. Detachment from hands-on visual arts left me disillusioned. Architecture afforded me the opportunity to express myself visually. Currently, I study at the Institute for Advanced Architecture of Catalonia (IAAC) in Barcelona to explore the urban role of the fablab. I will return to Kansas State for my final year of studies in the fall.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Architecture as an existential art accommodates at the same time both the living and the lived body: it houses the biological organism as well as man’s world of ideas and memories because it is inseparably linked – through our embodied existence – to the way we think and behave (Mallgrave, 2013; Pallasmaa, 2013). In this sense, architect’s design intentions are to be understood not only as a solution to functional requirements, but also as a scenario for users’ emotional experience and physical expression of being-in-the-world.

This capacity for structuring our experience of space and time is nowhere manifested as strongly as it is in the case of narrative architecture – a design strategy of gradually unfolding spaces and views, which is with human movement transformed into a sequence of time (Arnheim, 2009). By employing such design principles, architectural masterpieces by Tadao Ando and Carlo Scarpa, like the Water Temple (Figure 1) and Brion Cemetery (Figure 2) respectively, invoke in the visitor intense emotional and memorable experiences.

By embracing the embodied-enactive perspective (Thompson, 2007; Varela, Thompson, & Rosch, 1991), we examine the relationship between the experienced passage of time and narrative spatial configurations with two aims in mind: first, to shed light on underlying bodily and emotional mechanisms, and second, to highlight significance of the subjective time component in understanding how people navigate in architectural environments. Therefore, starting from the premise that architecture is a designed interaction between life and form, we describe architectural experience as originating in the (pre-reflective) architecture-body communication, where the experiencing subject is conceived as enactive, embodied, emotional, and situated agent interacting with design intentions as spatial affordances (Jelic, Tieri, De Matteis, Babiloni, & Vecchiato, 2016; Rietveld & Kiverstein, 2014).

Accordingly, in the case of Ando and Scarpa’s architectural works, the aesthetic experience of spatial narrative is identified as an anticipatory process of sense-making, where the subjective experience of time for each spatial sequence corresponds to a particular pattern of emotional activity (that can in turn modulate subsequent navigation choices), slowly building from the feelings of suspense to awe/wonder as the visitor moves through space. Thus, the perceived time dimension might be indicated as one of the key determinants of the overall quality of architectural experience.
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Thinking about effects of the environment on the developing mind and brain: the ABCD Study
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

In late 2015, eight institutes and centers of the National Institutes of Health awarded funding to support a landmark nationwide study of the adolescent mind and brain called the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study. A national consortium of over 50 lead investigators and over 50 research staff members are collaborating to conduct this study. The Coordinating Center and the Data Analysis and Informatics Center are based at UC San Diego, and 19 institutions across the US will host research sites where participants will be studied. The ABCD Consortium aims to study 10,000 youth, enrolling them at age 9-10 and following them for 10 years, creating an unprecedented data resource for scientists to share for studies of the adolescent brain. Methods the study will employ include:

- School-based recruitment (at 19 sites) of a demographically representative national sample
- Multimodal neuroimaging
- Extensive health and behavioral assessment
- Additional assessments of activities and environmental factors.
- Sampling and storage of biospecimens for genetics, epigenetics, hormones, substance use
- Novel wireless, web-based, and nanoeengineered assessment technologies

Some of the primary objectives of the study are to:

- Identify individual developmental trajectories (e.g., of brain, cognitive, and emotional development, academic progress), and the factors that can impact them.
- Develop national standards of normal brain development in youth.
- Examine the roles of genetic vs. environmental factors on development. (e.g., by analysis of data from 800 twin pairs who will be recruited as part of the cohort)
- Study the effects of physical activity, sleep, as well as sports and other injuries on brain development and other outcomes.
- Study the onset and progression of mental disorders, factors that influence course or severity, and the relationship between mental disorders and substance use.
- Determine how exposure to various levels and patterns of alcohol, nicotine, caffeine, cannabis, and other substances affect developmental outcomes and vice versa.

Neuroimaging reveals ongoing biological development of the human brain throughout childhood into early adulthood. Adolescence is a time of significant ongoing development of cognitive/intellectual and social/ emotional functions. This is an important, and often pivotal, time in the lives of young people. For many it is a time of dramatically increasing knowledge and skill - a time when youth find their intellectual and creative voices, if you will, and form strong bonds with friends and family that will support them throughout their lives. In other words, many emerge from adolescence engaged, independent, and resilient to life’s challenges. Unfortunately, though, this is also a period during which the first symptoms of many serious problems appear, such as depression, anxiety, and psychosis – and some youth become disengaged, academically and socially. It is also a time of heightened vulnerability because, though it is typical, and healthy, for adolescents to begin to take more risks at this time in life, and to become more exploratory and curious, risky behavior can lead to problems for some youth – including serious injuries and growing dependence on self-destructive activities and substances. Previous studies have documented genetic, environmental, and behavioral factors that are correlated with positive or negative outcomes in adolescents. However, there is continuing uncertainty about the degree to which these correlations reflect causal effects of genes or specific environmental factors on these outcomes. The development of effective and personalized interventions to prevent or reduce adverse behavioral-health and neuropsychiatric conditions will rely upon accurate models of the effects of genetic and environmental factors, and interactions between these, in the emergence of these conditions. Unfortunately most previous studies focusing on these questions have been limited in scope, lacked statistical power to estimate multiple parameters in complex dynamic models, and have employed diverse methods that make it difficult to compare results across studies. The ABCD initiative hopes to overcome some of these limitations.

Advantages, Opportunities, and Challenges for ABCD:

- The large cohort size (10,000), duration (10 years), and high-dimensional datasets (petabytes of imaging, genome sequencing, behavioral-health data, etc.) of ABCD create unique technical, informatics, and data science challenges but also great opportunities for discovery science.
- ABCD provides the resources to create a virtually unique, big-data infrastructure that can be leveraged in the future for large, multi-site behavioral-health, imaging, and genomics studies.
- ABCD could be dramatically enhanced by the addition of novel wireless technologies for passive, more ecologically valid, assessments of biomarkers, behavior, and environments.
- ABCD provides an opportunity to pilot and quickly validate novel assessment methods through academic collaborations and academic-industry partnerships within this high-profile study.

Focus on Environmental Effects:

ABCD represents a transformational approach, akin to the precision medicine approach, sometimes referred to as “population neuroscience.” Here a carefully constructed and well-curated, high-dimensional dataset is collected using an open-science model. This means that participants agree to broad data-sharing across the scientific community and including industry partners. One of the greatest challenges of a study like ABCD, however, is to decide what kinds of data to collect. From the ever growing biomedical toolkit, a feasible set of biomarkers has to be selected, and decisions have to be made about the best way to sample an even larger and more complex domain: human behavior. But probably the most difficult decision is to decide which aspects of the environments of our participants are impacting their development, for better or worse, and to measure them. In this talk I will describe the ABCD Study and the paradigm that it represents, and discuss the focus on environmental factors. I hope to learn from the attendees how they think learning and brain development might be impacted by the built environment, and how we might better define such effects — and the mechanisms by which they may be mediated — in our models.
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By probing the synaptic connections between nerve cells in the humble sea slug, Eric Kandel has uncovered some of the basic molecular mechanisms underlying learning and memory in animals ranging from snails to flies to mice and even in humans. His groundbreaking studies have demonstrated the fundamental ways that nerve cells alter their response to chemical signals to produce coordinated changes in behavior. This work is central to understanding not only normal memory but also dementia and other mental illnesses that affect memory.

Kandel’s research has shown that learning produces changes in behavior by modifying the strength of connections between nerve cells, rather than by altering the brain’s basic circuitry. He went on to determine the biochemical changes that accompany memory formation, showing that short-term memory involves a functional modulation of the synapses while long-term memory requires the activation of genes and the synthesis of proteins to grow new synaptic connections. For this work, the Austrian-born Kandel was awarded the 2000 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine.

As a college student at Harvard, Kandel majored in history and literature, but he was drawn to psychoanalysis after befriending a native Austrian student whose parents were prominent psychoanalysts in Sigmund Freud’s circle. Kandel went to medical school at New York University with the goal of studying psychiatry and becoming a psychoanalyst himself. But thinking that he should know more about how the brain works, he took a neurophysiology course that shifted his interest toward research into the biology of memory.

More recently, Kandel has expanded his studies of simple learning and memory in Aplysia to include more complex forms of memory storage in genetically modified mice. These studies have focused on explicit memory (the conscious recall of information about places and objects), revealing the importance of a balance of activation and inhibition in memory storage so that animals as well as humans do not store information in their memories that is not important to recall.
I. ABSTRACT
Current city living has been related to various manifestations of stress and a higher risk for mental health problems (Lederbogen, et al., 2011). Lederbogen et al. (2013) have named a set of influencing factors to urban social stress including infrastructure, socio-economic factors, noise and environmental pollution. It remains an open question, which factors of the built environment are critical to influence subjectively perceived urban stress (PUS), how these factors interact and how they can be addressed by planning and urban design in order to maximize pedestrian comfort. This talk introduces a framework of environmental factors and spatial analysis tools useful to describe and predict PUS in open public spaces (OPS). In a first step, environmental properties have been constructed for a sample of OPS in the city of Darmstadt, Germany, using the space syntax framework (Hillier & Hanson, 1984). These were paired to users’ ratings of spatial qualities such as loudness and subjectively perceived safety and stress (figure 1). Isovist vertex density has been shown to be weakly associated to users’ ratings of safety (r = 0.358, p = 0.09, Pearson), while global and citywide integration of a street segment have been shown closely related to PUS (r = 0.432, p = 0.04, Pearson) (Knöll, Neuheuser, Li, & Rudolph-Cleff, 2015). In a second step, the data has been analyzed using different types of multivariate models with the aim to predict ratings of PUS with a high explained variance and significance. Open space typologies (park, square, courtyard, streets) were found the best predictors for PUS, followed by building coverage ratio, isovist vertex numbers and syntactical characteristics. A model has been presented that uses a combination of environmental properties and achieves a predictive power of R² = 0.54 (Knöll, Neuheuser, Cleff, & Rudolph-Cleff, 2018). These results are a first attempt to predict more complex emotions such as perceived urban stress by analyzing factors of the built environment and using standard planning tools such as GIS and Space Syntax. They extend existing models that have predicted tranquility in green spaces (Watts, Pheasant, & Horoshenkos, 2014) or activities and spatial experience in streetscapes (Bielik, Schneider, Kuliga, Valasek, & Donath, 2015). The framework may be useful to architects and neuroscientists alike, who seek to identify urban configurations likely to be perceived as stressful and seek to further investigate pedestrian comfort by pairing environmental factors with geo referenced, psychophysiological effects.

Keywords: Open Public Space, Built Environment, Perceived Urban Stress, Pedestrian Comfort, Space Syntax
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Transparency as an environmental factor that influences cognitive visuo-locomotive experience in large-scale buildings
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The paper examines the relationship between the visuo-locomotive experience, the types of people’s movement and the spatial memory. Two movement tasks (exploration and navigation) and their correlation to the environmental factor of transparency are analyzed through a multi-modal perspective in a large-scale built environment, the New Parkland Hospital in Dallas.
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I. ABSTRACT

VISUO-LOCOMOTIVE EXPERIENCE | MOVEMENT | SPATIAL MEMORY

Embodied visuo-spatial interactions occur at discernible levels (Tversky, 2005): “that of the space in reach or in sight around the body, that of the space of navigation too large to be apprehended at once, and that of the space of external representations, of graphics constructed to augment human cognition.”

From the standpoint of architectural theory, it is well known that the medium of interaction between people and their architectural environment is user’s movement in space (Le Corbusier 1923). As a result, investigating user’s visuo-spatial experience is strongly connected with locomotive behaviour. As also Hoogstad (1990) summarised about the locomotive experience of an observer: Movement = Time + Knowledge x Movement, which means that an observer in motion perceives changes successively and adjusts his knowledge. This environmental knowledge acquisition, which is directly related to spatial memory, is dependent on spatial aspects as spatial perception, spatio-visual attractiveness, arousal and orientation (Altes & Steffen 1988). The main aim of our research on visual perception driven Evidence Based Design (EBD) is to:

1. Explore the visuo-locomotive behaviour of users in unfamiliar large-scale built-up spaces, and
2. Identify the environmental factors related to visual perception which are significant for spatial knowledge acquisition in that context.

In particular, we are focusing on the visuo-spatial experience of users in the context of two distinct movement tasks differing in their respective motives: (1) navigation task, involving wayfinding towards a destination, and (2) an exploration task, without any specific defined goal. We employ a range of sensors for measuring the embodied visuo-locomotive experience of users (eye-tracking, spatiotemporal gaze analysis, external camera-based visual analysis, questionnaires determining spatial ability, sketch maps) to interpret fine-grained behaviour (e.g., patterns of gaze, route preferences, movement speed and pauses), correlate it with the outcomes pertaining to spatial knowledge tasks (e.g., recall, pointing, perspective-taking, distance estimation) and at last to create a new framework for visuo-locomotive behaviour analysis targeting EBD.

A STUDY ABOUT THE IMPACT OF TRANSPARENCY TO VISUO-LOCOMOTIVE EXPERIENCE AND SPATIAL MEMORY

Movement in space is primarily governed by short-term working memory (e.g., visuospatial working memory is involved in route learning [Meilinger et al. 2008, Viswanathan et al. 2015, Garden et al. 2012]). Preliminary results from our behavioural analysis of a navigation task at the New Parkland Hospital (NPH) in Dallas (including eye tracking data, questionnaires, and orientation tasks) [Bhatt et al. 2016, 2014] support previous researches which claim that working memory benefits from navigation tasks and especially the episodic visual memory plays a crucial role in wayfinding but this is not the case in exploration travel mode [Afrooz et al. 2014, Mondschien et al. 2008]. We hypothesise that after a navigation task a user is able to recall more accurately spatial characteristics which create an overview about the 3D built environment (e.g., geometry of the layout, geometry of the scene, number of junctions), whereas after an exploration task a user is able to recall better details of his successive visual scenes, (e.g., transparency features, visual patterns, visual details of color and texture).

Specifically, we investigate the visuo-locomotive behavior of participants in two long corridors of NPH, with and without transparent elements (figure 1) during a navigation task and after that, through a freely movement experience without a goal, where a guide-experimenter is showing the way. The ongoing analysis reveals differences at the visual patterns between the two movement experiences as well as between the two physical structures. For instance, extended fixation periods was recorded and in a wider surface towards the transparent element during the exploration in comparison to the exploration one. Post-experiment interviews also indicate the role of transparency to the memory and the spatial knowledge but there was no distinction between the two kinds of movement experience: Design for navigation and exploration, which are usually two overlapping performances in large scale built-up environments, is a challenging task for designers and architects. Conducting further experiments in a controlled immersive environment, the ongoing investigations aim to extract valuable evidences from user’s visuo-locomotive experience and have an impact on EBD.
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1. ABSTRACT
Over the last years, in part due to the demographic trend towards an older population, in part due to increasing numbers of environmentally triggered illnesses, the amount of admissions, as well as the average length of stay in Intensive Care Units (ICU) has been rapidly increasing. Unlike hospitality, the healthcare sector is interested in a shortened stay in the hospitals. In an attempt to find factors for the slow recovery process and at the same time to develop countermeasures, GRAFT was invited by the Charité, one of the most important hospital and research facilities in Germany, to develop a prototype for the ICU unit of the future.

Prof. Spieß from the Charité Hospital in Berlin is involved in research about the causes and condition of “delirium”. She identified the relevance of stress factors such as noise pollution, distractions, the lack of views, of light, and of privacy as important factors that influence the healing process and patient outcome. A lack of daylight and insufficient lighting conditions, for example, generally triggers fatigue and dizziness during the day, which causes sleep disorders at night.

With this in mind two rooms were designed that are carefully tailored according to the perception of the patient, attempting to eliminate the above mentioned stress causing elements, usually present in the design of healthcare facilities. They are keeping the patient in a more familiar, soothing physical environment and within the natural circadian cycle, in order to support a faster healing process and lower remission rates.

Following consultations with specialists ranging from sleep researchers to experts in lighting and acoustics, the project Parametrische (T)Raumgestaltung was born.

The space on either side of the bed was freed up and all technical equipment was moved into a wooden headboard behind the patient. The flickering displays of the vital signs are therefore not directly visible for the patient and allow for a more relaxed experience in the ICU. A further significant decision was to remove alarm signals and sounds of vital data away from the patient into a so-called observation room that each patient can see into through a window. The patient is not distracted and petrified by the constant display of data, but at the same time can see all activities, which minimizes the feeling of uncontrollable dependence on staff and medical personal.

More than in any other spaces, the ceiling above the bed is the most visible surface. It is designed as a large-format LED media screen that bends, in order to cover as much of the field of vision as possible. A series of images, like blue skies, moving clouds or green leaves, was developed in cooperation with the media design agency Art+Com. Daylight-supporting measures that reinforce circadian rhythms during the day, indirect, warm light in the evening, and individually controllable illuminating content provide doctors and patients with a broad spectrum of possibilities, with the aim of creating a comfort-reinforcing experience of space and time. These interventions are reported to improve melatonin suppression - helping patients to stay awake and focus during the day and sleep soundly at night.

The construction of the prototypes was finished in 2013 at the Charité Campus Virchow-Klinikum in Berlin, Germany. Currently a team of scientists and doctors is monitoring the outcome and long-term effects of the rooms as part of a three-year research program. Final research data will be available in early 2017.
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The Sound of Creativity: Correlating brainwave & psychometric changes with workplace acoustics
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

The open office has been a central theme in contemporary workplaces, reasoned to provide flexibility, natural light, supervision, cost savings, transparency, democracy, and collaboration. While almost 70% of US workers occupying open plan or open seating settings, (International Facility Management Association, 2010), this design strategy remains contentious (Kim & de Dear 2013). These settings may preclude privacy and concentration, evoke stress (Evans & Johnson 2000), and even influence attention, distraction, and creative flow; indeed, there are many physical features of the workplace that may influence the neural processes involved in creative and innovative activities.

This paper reports on findings from a controlled yet ecologically relevant study that investigates how sound may influence creativity. Creativity was assessed using psychometric tests and creative tasks that have been assessed and applied in peer-reviewed publications (The Cambridge Handbook of Creativity, 2013). Frontal lobe electroencephalographic (EEG) brainwaves were recorded while consenting participants completed alternate use tests, a creative product task, and post-test surveys in an office environment that was controlled to have no visual distractions and quiet control conditions. During the five test conditions, different recorded sound files were played to provide realistic yet repeatable sounds at levels measured in actual office environments. Tasks were scored according to the published protocols to reveal the impact on creative scores (originality, elaboration, flexibility and fluency) and were correlated with brainwave algorithms reported to reflect attention, mediation, and achieving the ‘zone’ when creative ‘flow’ occurs (Csikszentmihalyi, 2008). In addition, brainwave frequencies (alpha, beta, delta, gamma, and theta) were analyzed to understand the interaction between sound and creativity scores.

Initial findings show changes in creativity scores associated with different acoustic stimuli and significant changes in alpha, beta, and gamma brainwave amplitudes during creative tasks versus inter-test intervals. These data suggest that face-to-face interaction, conversation and acoustic interruption may diminish the creative process. The experiment’s progress and findings will add to the conversation of the impact and evolution of the open office workplace.

2. REFERENCES

ASl – Architecture for Sensory Integration; Architectural Intervention in Occupational Therapy
Fallon Lebedevicz, GBA. Architects

I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

This research proposes the use of architectural intervention in Sensory-Integration (SI) clinics to facilitate the environment in which SI therapy is conducted, so that the clinic responds to the unique nature of their users and are more conducive to successful treatment. Generally speaking, SI therapy requires very controlled environments to be effective, but many clinics providing this therapy are not designed to facilitate the required treatment. This paper will discuss how architectural design can meaningfully improve treatment results, by making more careful decisions with respect to the therapy space.

Sensory Processing – In General. Sensory processing is easy to define conceptually, but hard to describe. It is often defined as the organization of sensory input (Ayres, 2005). Our senses help us differentiate between positive and negative environmental stimuli by processing sensory cues and utilizing motor skills. Some people, however, cannot accurately integrate information from their senses and are inundated by their experiences, creating sensory-processing disorders (SPD) that impact day-to-day functioning severely. These disorders can affect anyone, but most frequently affect children. Occupational therapists, physical therapists, and speech pathologists often treat SPDs in SI clinics that aim to isolate sense-specific stimuli in what is referred to as SI therapy. This therapy requires a controlled environment that can cater to each patient’s individual needs. Despite ongoing research in SI therapy, clinics continue to suffer from a lack of mindful design. One consequence is that extraneous stimuli (e.g., street noise, harsh fluorescent lights) obscure the goal of focusing on a patient’s controlled senses. The most obvious solution to this problem is to eliminate extraneous stimuli. This may be as simple as turning off a light, or providing a “quiet” area with adequate sound insulation. But what happens when you turn off a light yet there is still a strong glare shining through a skylight window, or the “quiet” space is adjacent to a street where ambulance sirens and truck horns blare incessantly? Simple solutions become less useful if the space doesn’t accommodate varying degrees of sensitivity.

Intelligent Architectural Design for SI Clinics.

Understand the Disorders and Treatment. The first step in creating a successful SI clinic is to understand the disorder, analyze the methods of therapy, and then carefully plan a space that addresses both. Since no two people exhibit sensory disorders in the same way, any clinic space must be flexible enough to cater to a spectrum of sensitivities at any given time.

The Seven Senses. SI Therapy deals with the seven major senses of the human body: auditory (hearing), visual (sight), tactile (touch), olfactory (smell), gustatory (taste), proprioceptive (muscle/joints), and vestibular (gravitational movement). The vestibular and proprioceptive senses are unique in their complexity in that they communicate with each other and involve other senses.

Over and Under-stimulation. Any disorder in the senses can usually be categorized as “hypo” or “hyper”; hypo being under stimulated and hyper being overstimulated.

Children Affected. Children are most often diagnosed with SPDs because symptoms occur between the ages of 0-7. SPDs are often co-morbid and occur with other disorders such as ADHD, Asperger’s, Fragile X Syndrome, and most commonly Autism. As a result, SPDs are difficult to diagnose definitively and to treat. Each child presents their own unique set of sensory input which forces therapist to be flexible in their approach to practice as they adapt to every child’s specific need.

Research on Architectural Design and SI Clinics. Due to the unique nature of SI disorders and limited resources regarding SI clinics, qualitative research was used to acquire a critical mass of information to inform a basis of design for architecturally programming SI clinics. The objective was to develop an architectural guideline for SI clinics catering to children ages 0–16. The research was conducted by visiting a number of SI clinics in Los Angeles, creating observation reports, conducting interviews, and taking surveys. By applying this research to architectural design, strategic interventions can be made to a typical SI clinic to address both the needs of the patient and the therapist, while addressing the nuances of sensory stimulation.

Design Criteria and Proposed Solutions

SI therapy focuses primarily on motor skills, which requires specialized gross and fine-motor gyms and unique learning environments. Most SI clinics were found to only have one or two standalone gyms. However based on observations, the clinic setting benefited most from flexibility and variety which was difficult to achieve in limited space. The proposed alternative is to design a clinic that has a multiple number of gyms varying in size and function. These gyms should be interconnected as opposed to independent of each other to maximize flexibility while providing a multitude of environments that can be easily traversed or isolated. In this case, gyms should be programmed according to the hypo and hyper sensitive child, and provide a variety of small pocket sensory spaces that can facilitate these users in addition to the larger gym spaces. With the gym as the primary space of the clinic it provides the foundation for which the remaining program can support, such as offices, waiting rooms, observations spaces, etc. In doing so, the hierarchy of programming is delineated to isolate the circulation of the occupants within the facility and ease wayfinding. Once these basic parameters are set, a more acute analysis can be applied to the materials, lighting, acoustics, olfactory sensations, etc. of these specialized spaces. However, without creating a symbiotic relationship between designated sensory zones one space can easily negate the other by causing sensory disruptions.

Conclusion.

Since SI therapy relies on controlling environmental stimuli to isolate specific senses, architecture and interior design can have a direct impact on success during treatment. Architects, researchers, and physicians must work together closely in planning these environmentally-sensitive clinics. By implementing these basic parameters we can further discover the impact of architectural design and the relationship to sensory processing therapy.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Human life is embedded in physical space, which is increasingly a built environment. Environment can challenge human performance when insufficient lighting or obstacles increase risk of falls in older adults. Conversely, environment can empower people, fostering creativity and well-being by extending people's cognitive and physical abilities (Malinin, 2016). Bi-directional performance when insufficient lighting or obstacles increase risk of falls in older adults. Conversely, environment can empower people, fostering creativity and well-being by extending people's cognitive and physical abilities (Malinin, 2016). Bi-directional space–individual interaction, therefore, involves integration of physical, cognitive, and emotional cue by the nervous system. Our interdisciplinary research examines relationships between spatial designs and cognitive and brain health in aging.

Maintaining and enhancing cognitive performance in the face of age-related declines is one of the top priorities in our aging society. Demographic studies show adults leading active lifestyles, through exercise or unstructured activities like gardening, dancing, or walking, score higher on cognitive tests than sedentary peers (Blazer et al., 2015; Bherer et al., 2015, Hertzog et al., 2008). Physically active older adults have ‘younger’ looking brains; those who engage more often in higher intensity physical activity have less age-related lesions in their white matter and adults who spend less time sitting have greater integrity of white matter near the hippocampus (Burzynska et al., 2014). Research also suggests physical activity and exercise improve brain health even when started later in life (Burzynska et al., 2015). We consider how environments for aging may be enriched to promote user physical and cognitive activity through novelty, challenge, and engagement.

We examine impacts of three environmental conditions (low-sensory, high-sensory, and interactive-stimulating) on arousal, activity, and memory. Virtual reality (VR), our chosen testing environment, provides high experimental control and ecological validity (Rizzo et al., 2004), allowing generalizations to real-life situations. VR simplifies processes of environmental manipulation and gathering real-time physiological and neurological responses to design features. Our presentation outlines specific hypotheses, related experimental setups, and preliminary results of pilot research. We also discuss opportunities and constraints of using VR with older adults, including feasibility of combining VR with real-time neuroimaging. In conclusion, our interdisciplinary project lays a foundation for systematic study of spatial designs with brain function monitoring, which may result in important guidelines for increasing physical and cognitive activity through architectural designs.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

This paper presents the rationale for and ongoing development of The Ecological Niche Construction Design Checklist, a designer’s researcher’s checklist for assessing the usefulness of potential environmental design features on cognitive and task performance during the conceptual phase of environmental design. The rationale for developing such a tool stems from a comparative integration of concepts from ecological niche construction, systems science, embodied cognition theories of mind, and Kirsh’s writings on pragmatic action, activity space, and performance design. The checklist is developed and tested via three case studies that entail designing interactive building environments. This mixed methods case study research is organized and evaluated using the Design Science Research Methodology and the Validation Square research method. Findings, lessons learned, and next steps are discussed, especially the strengths, weaknesses, and likely preferred use cases for such a method and tool.

This research contributes to the fields of architecture and neuroscience by: (a) developing a designer’s method and tool that represents possible impacts on cognition of environmental features during early conceptual design; (b) demonstrating a research framework for specifying, developing, and evaluating a cognitive method and tool; and (c) addressing a significant, emerging set of design challenges. These emerging design challenges entail degrees of complexity and interactivity that make them orders of magnitude more difficult to represent during design than traditional static environmental design challenges.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

This presentation will provide a review of the literature on wayfinding in large, complex, multi-level facilities and will describe a correlational research study that assessed the wayfinding performance of twelve subject matter experts (patient transport personnel) of varying experience in a 1.8 million square foot, complex, regional hospital facility. This presentation will also present and discuss possible underlying cognitive and perceptual mechanisms that may contribute to how humans encode spatial and navigational knowledge.

This correlational study uses a mapping task, a pointing task, and a route diagramming task in order to assess participants’ survey knowledge and procedural knowledge. This study presents a unique contribution to the fields of architecture and neuroscience because of its assessment of performance of patient transport personnel in a large, regional hospital. One limitation of existing studies is that few utilize a facility of the scale or complexity of a large, regional hospital. Another limitation of existing studies is that most participants were unfamiliar with the facilities used prior to participating in the studies. Of the participants who were familiar with the facilities used in the studies prior to participating, most had a few days to a week’s worth of exposure. In only one study did the ‘familiar’ participants have 1-2 years of exposure to a facility. But even in that case, the extent of their exposure to familiar with the facilities used in the studies prior to participating, most had a few days to a week’s worth of exposure. In only one study did the ‘familiar’ participants have 1-2 years of exposure to a facility. But even in that case, the extent of their exposure to the entire facility (as opposed to their assigned area) was unclear. Conversely, the present study uses wayfinding subject matter experts (patient transport personnel) with full-time, daily wayfinding experience throughout their respective facility ranging from 4 months to 8 years.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

The courtyard, an architectural space and outdoor place, enables the human desire for social aggregation in a protected environment as well as facilitates our biophilic* call to be in nature.

The courtyard brings a sliver of nature within the confines of a building or home. It is a protected quarter, thus safe, exposed to the elements and serves many functions. The perimeter walls of the building guard social gatherings, while allowing nature to filter through it seamlessly, and the outdoors to penetrate effortlessly, sustaining and enhancing our biophilic reconnection to nature.

In our work the inclusion in the design of courtyards – be it a single-family house with an open area (fig. 3), a condominium with a communal patio (fig. 2), or a city block such as the one we see in Barcelona’s plan Cerda (fig. 4) – provide significant architectural cues on how to articulate, distribute, and organize the enclosed spaces surrounding them. We design the framing of nature and enhance the connection with nature and its biodiversity by means of volumetric articulation and the use of apertures – i.e. windows and openings. Southern California’s modern tradition of architecture in contact with the outdoors (fig. 5) provides a fertile ground for case studies and speculative conversations about contemporary architecture and its quest for sustainability.

By presenting case studies of courtyards from our work, as well as historical and contemporary projects by others (fig. 1-6), we will extrapolate degrees of successful architectural connection with the outdoors, as places for aggregation and connection with multiple life forms.

The aim is to ignite a conversation and spark possible future collaborative research with regards to the neurological response to the social aggregation and biophilic reconnection with nature in an eco-logic vision in which human kind is part of all living organisms (fig. 7). By strengthening buildings’ relationship between the indoors and outdoors spaces in our cities, the aim is to improve human comfort, our coexistence with nature, and to amplify our awareness of the environmental crisis induced by human kind; thus prompting a shift towards its resolution. This goal is best achieved with an understanding of the neurological factors that attend this state of mind in its relationship with the built and unbuilt environment.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

This preliminary study tests three hypotheses that address these conference themes: the relationship between spaces and memory, as well as emotion, empathy and neuroaesthetics in the built environment. The first hypothesis is that images of environments primarily containing elements of biomorphic architectural design are more intrinsically memorable than images of environments that primarily contain elements of formal rectilinear minimalist design. The second is that architectural design elements are expected to impact intrinsic memorability through their effect on participants’ feeling of pleasantness. It is also expected that as visual attention to the architectural design elements increases, the intrinsic memorability of those architectural elements also increases.

To explore these hypotheses, this study compares the memorability of interior environments that are designed using a biomorphic design approach, inspired from organic shapes and forms (Kellert et al., 2008), with interior environments designed using a formal rectilinear minimalist design approach, characterized as simplified designs with “rectilinear” forms, the use of boxes, and the rejection of ornament and decoration. This study measures three things and assesses them using linear-regression and signal detection analysis. First, it measures intrinsic memorability of designed environments, using a cognitive memory task to see which types of design images are more memorable. Second, it measures participants’ points of view, using a Likert Scale questionnaire, to assess participants’ emotional responses to biomorphic design and formal rectilinear minimalist design. Third, it measures participants’ visual attention, using eye tracking technology, to identify which specific design elements the participants are looking at.

Intrinsic memorability describes how much a visual stimulus can be remembered regardless of the individual differences between observers (Bainbridge, 2013). In this study, the intrinsic memorability of designed environments will be operationalized through displaying images from interior environments. It has been shown that some images are consistently more remembered or forgotten than others (Isola et al., 2013), there is something intrinsic about the content of an image or photograph that increases or decreases its memorability. Theoretical studies have attempted to explain the biological significance of how biomorphic design positively impacts human recognition and memory (e.g. Feuerstein, 2001 and Joyce, 2007). Considering human environmental cognition processes, factors that influence intrinsic memorability of designed environments include the aesthetic and preference aspects of environmental design, as well as the contribution of visual attention in perceptions of place.

If the findings of this preliminary study show that biomorphic design positively impacts intrinsic memorability of designed environments more than formal rectilinear minimalist design, this suggests that a biomorphic design approach leads to more memorable environments. This methodology can be applied to similar questions, such as clients’ preferences for design elements, differences between demographic groups, or wayfinding cues.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

The aim of this case study is to explore and illuminate connections between residential architecture, well-being and health, in a small residential area, in Sweden. We have conducted deep interviews with residents in order to analyze connections between architecture, wellbeing and health. Perceived quality of architecture will be investigated using the structure developed by a semantic concept analysis of atmosphere as well as additional attributes defining residential architectural quality (Nylander 1998). Findings will be compared to neuroscientific knowledge about perception and spatial orientation (Sternberg, Wilson 2006). The results aim to contribute to a better understanding of the connections between residential architecture, atmosphere, wellbeing and health, with an ambition to further explore the linkages between neuroscience and the experience of architecture (Tidwell, Arbib 2013).

Research within healthcare architecture has indicated a relation between built environmental design and healing capacities (Sternberg 2009, Ulrich 1984). However, we still need to explore how residential architecture influence our wellbeing, in light of the growing knowledge of how spaces influence our emotions and physical reactions (Sternberg 2009). This case study recognizes this need, and investigates the architecture of the home and how it affects the residents’ sense of wellbeing and health.

We use the concept of atmosphere, which has been proposed as a way to describe architectural quality (Pallasmaa, Havik et al 2014, Zumthor 2006). In a previous study, this concept was adopted to perform a semantic concept analysis and the results from that analysis form the theoretical basis of this investigation. In addition, we take a standpoint in previous research that presents attributes significant for our perception of residential architecture: Materials and detailing, Axiality, Enclosure, Movement, Spatial figure, Daylight and Organization of spaces (Nylander 1998).

Furthermore we recognize the growing body of knowledge within neuroscience concerning attributes significant for our perception, and neuroscientific knowledge about “perception and spatial orientation and [...] physiological, cognitive and emotional effects” (Sternberg, Wilson 2006).

The aim of this case study is to explore and illuminate connections between residential architecture, well-being and health, in a small residential area, in Sweden. We have conducted deep interviews with residents in order to explore and analyze connections between architecture wellbeing and health. Perceived quality of architecture will be investigated using the structure developed by a semantic concept analysis of atmosphere and the additional attributes described above. Findings will be compared to neuroscientific knowledge about perception and spatial orientation (Sternberg, Wilson 2006). Health and wellbeing as perceived by the participants will be investigated by using the WHO definition of health (1948).

The results aim to contribute to a better understanding of the connections between residential architecture, atmosphere, wellbeing and health with an ambition to further explore the linkages between neuroscience and the experience of architecture (Tidwell, Arbib 2013). This knowledge would be applied in future residential architecture design processes.

Keywords: atmosphere, neuroscience, residential architecture, wellbeing & health
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The architecture is marked by a careful detailing, generic rooms for multifunction purpose and room sequencing, creating effects such as sightlines and different routes for moving and circulation. The houses also offer different levels of privacy in relation to the surroundings as well as a variety of openness and enclosure of spaces. Great effort has been put in harmonize the use of daylight in a beautiful way.
Facades of building significantly modulate EEG signals of brain cortical lobes
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Extended Abstract
Architectural objects are a part of constituent elements of the environment that significantly affect the emotional behavior of human life. Building facades are an important basic pattern for the structure of buildings and provide an impressive view of cities. This study experimentally investigated the influence of the constituent elements of the building facades on the population brain signals and behavioral reactions of human subjects. EEG signals were collected by g.tec data acquisition (64 channel electrodes) from 18 human subjects (males, 23 to 26 years old) when the façades of the buildings were presented on the screen located in front of subjects (70 cm). It should be mentioned that the facades appeared on the screen were modeled with 3d max software. The EEG signals were low pass filtered (< 250 Hz) with a fourth-order Butterworth filter, and a fast Fourier transform (FFT function in MATLAB, version 2013) was used to convert the EEG signals into different frequency bands: delta (0.5–5 Hz), theta (5–7.5 Hz), alpha (7.5–15 Hz), beta (15–30 Hz), and gamma (30–100 Hz) frequencies. We also used WICA and a Butterworth notch filter for removing eye-blinking artifacts and the 50 Hz noise, respectively. Finally, the mean power at different frequency bands of EEG signals was used to study the modulation of human brain activity. We investigated the modulated pattern of the brain by showing three categories of building facades including geometries and proportions of windows and materials used in the facades of buildings. The primary results show a significant increase in beta frequency oscillations in occipital and parietal lobes when the pleasant facades were shown on the screen (p < 0.001, t-test) and an inversely significant decrease in beta frequency oscillations when the unpleasant facades were presented (p < 0.001, t-test). Moreover, the proportion of windows with arcuate and rectangular shapes in a horizontal stretch generated an inversely relation in theta frequency oscillation between small and large proportions. Pleasant and unpleasant expressions of facades were identified according to the subjects responses however the squared windows were perceived as neutral facades.
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HXS: A journey of an architecture firm to create a human experience lab in the hub of architectural practice
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The cognitive approach towards architecture can make communication path between architecture and neuroscience. It concentrates on neuro-architecture researches. This paper investigates the effects of architectural elements of building façades on emotional behavior. We analyzed the effects of those elements in 3 categories: geometries, materials and proportions. The purpose of this paper is to distinguish the effects of building façades elements on lobes it signals of the brain.

I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
The relationship between architecture, perception and brain behavior is no longer in debate (Eberhard, 2008; Arbib, 2012). What remains a challenge is investigating these relationships, outside of academia, in the hub of architectural practice. Within the scope of practice it can be a challenge to do deep dive, generalizable research with strictly controlled conditions. What practice can, however, serve as, is a test bed for experiments within the scope of real projects, that are pilots for more systematic investigations with academic/industry partners. It can also serve as a testing ground for research that has been established in academic settings but not applied in practice.

It is with this thought that HKS, a global architecture firm, has invested in a new 200 sq ft space for rapid prototyping, sensor-based assessments, and simulations. The concept of the lab/studio has evolved over a couple of years through a series of internal experiments including:

Experiment Lvl 1: Using full scale mock-ups to get user feedback to 3-D built form prior to design. Using Full Scale Mock ups to simulate physical space and behavior scenarios to change space layout.

Experiment Lvl 2: Merging human activity data into a sequence simulation tool. Using data from field research to inform parametric planning tools that are integrated into revit platforms.

Experiment Lvl 3: Using EEG signals to manipulate physical form. Utilizing commercially available EEG hardware and building a custom interface for it with CAD software, exploring the possibilities of manipulating a digital models based on thought input.

Experiment Lvl 4: Developing a prototype for a sensory Design Lab. Tracking human responses to environmental changes in real time with continuously monitored environmental and physiological outcomes.

The idea for a physical space, a hybrid lab/studio emerged through a need to create tangible, physical, three dimensional environments which we can test with evolved sensor technology. Three critical components were initially identified:

1. Think Space: A space where designers and researchers can come together and ideate on exploration and innovation.
2. Make Space: A space where designers can create three dimensional realities based on dialogues with research teams.
3. Test Space: A space where researchers can test the efficacy of design solutions to inform new iterations.

In practice– the three spaces may overlap, just as the role of the designer and researcher may merge. However, the science of systematic inquiry must be balanced with the art of creative thinking – so where do the two meet? We will explore this question with the audience. We will also honestly share the challenges we have gone through in the creation of such a space including:

1. Framework Flexibility
2. Cost vs. Value
3. Sound proofing
4. Building walls vs. leaving open spaces
5. Understanding scale of relevance for VR- from oculus rift to full 3D immersion.

We would like to use the session to have an open dialogue with the academic and industry partners in the room to discuss how a research based "studio" can be created- one that puts human experience and response at the center- and crafts environmental and spatial scenarios around it, positioned to test a dynamic boundary between biometrics and parametrics. We also seek to understand how a lab like this, situated as it is in practice, with a "test sample" of 500+ architects/designers can benefit ongoing studies in the academic community. Our intent is to step outside inward looking investigations to creating bridges to path-breaking discoveries and innovations so we can be both test bed, and agent, for change.
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1. **EXTENDED ABSTRACT**

Recent work applying a Darwinian perspective to religious monumental architecture (RMA) has argued that by eliciting awe, churches and other RMA structures foster religious openness and facilitate social cohesion (Joye & Verpooten, 2013). Other recent work has found that feeling awe increases both belief in supernatural control (Valdesolo & Graham, 2014) and spiritual intention among people who are religious or spiritual (Van Cappellen & Saroglou, 2012). These findings led us to ask whether religious building designs might capitalize on such effects to promote or facilitate religious feeling. In order to elucidate how church interiors elicit awe and otherwise shape affective and cognitive processes, we investigated how built spaces induce awe. Specifically, we developed a rating scale for the measurement of physical properties of interior spaces in order to determine which architectural properties in an interior space can predict a sense of awe (Study 1). The scale was used to measure 24 architectural properties of 60 different interior spaces. Participants then viewed these 60 pre-rated images and reported their affective response to each. Their emotion ratings showed a predictive relationship between architectural properties and elicited emotion. Properties reflecting size, age, contour, and ornament significantly predicted a feeling of awe. The results from Study 1 guided the selection of stimuli for Study 2, in which we explored the effects of visually priming participants with photographs of high and low awe-inducing architectural interiors on time perception and spirituality, as well as the effects of priming participants with photographs of religious and non-religious building interiors on participant religiousness. Feeling awe led to an overestimation of time in a time-estimation task, confirming earlier findings that feeling awe expands one’s sense of time (Rudd, Vohs, & Aaker, 2012). This work establishes an initial understanding of cognitive processes underlying affective and social responses to the environmental cues of church interiors.
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EXTENDED ABSTRACT

We performed this study to better understand how people see their world and answer a key question: would eye tracking, a method used in cognitive science, be a useful addition to an architect’s toolkit? What might it tell practitioners and students that is otherwise overlooked? How easy is it to do?

In a collaboration between architecture, interior design, and cognitive science, we conducted an eye tracking study at the Institute for Human Centered Design, a non-profit in Boston. Our thirty-three volunteer viewers, ages 18 to 80 and from various occupations, looked at 60 images on a computer screen for 15 seconds each. Half of the images were photos or renderings of Boston buildings, interiors and exteriors. Remaining images included faces and landscapes. We tracked volunteers’ eye motions using an off-the-shelf Eye Tribe eye tracker and iMotions analysis software. Our aggregated data created compelling graphic representations: heat maps and spotlight images which revealed common looking patterns, and videos of individual gaze paths. Other metrics recorded included “Time To First Focus” on an element, and “Revisits” the number of times volunteers looked at the same area.

Results showed how astonishingly human-centric our perception is, no matter the building, viewers tended to seek out people and faces first and focus on them if present. Even architectural renderings with shadow figures of people were viewed differently from those without.

Observations also suggested that each of us looks at the world uniquely, and indicated differences between the way designers and non-designers take in architecture. Similarly, some buildings generated nearly identical looking patterns for all viewers, whereas other buildings did not.

Eye tracking, we found is a fantastic tool for understanding visual aspects of our experience. Our observations reveal potential for more research and applications. Architects can use eye tracking to see through the eyes of non-architects. Communication of design to the public, scholars, students, and clients, can benefit from knowing how viewers look at images and renderings of buildings, for example with and without people. Insights about perception of architecture can also inform teaching of architecture design, theory, history, and criticism.
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### I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Our dependence on vision is crippling our other senses. Are the blind handicapped because they cannot see? Or are we, the sighted, handicapped because our dependency on vision inhibits us from using our other senses in perception? Experiencing architecture is not merely a sighted activity. We inhabit a space with our whole being. As Juhani Pallasmaa states, “The impact of architecture on the human experience is too deeply existentially rooted to be approached solely as an element of visual design.”

Imagine a life where you would need to interpret your surroundings without the thing you depended on most – vision. What is architecture in a world without vision? How can someone move throughout space without seeing it? How might we perceive space if we ignore our dominant visual sense to focus on the other often ignored senses?

We began to address these questions through a literature search, analysis of buildings designed for the visually impaired, and interviews with blind individuals and those who work with the blind. This initial research informed the development of a set of principles for multi-sensorial design of built environments. Space. Edge. Path. Transition. Threshold. Landmark. These design principles are intended to enable people, no matter sighted or blind, to navigate spaces using multi-sensory perception. We tested the viability of these principles through application to the design of a proposed building, a “Creative Co-Lab” in which blind and sighted users would come together on the Baltimore waterfront to create collaboratively and learn about perception without vision.

Further development of this work requires collaboration between neuroscientists and architects. Validation of the design principles could be possible through testing user responses to the various types of architectural interventions. What aspects of brain function are involved in navigating architectural spaces and how does the brain respond to the variety of sensory input involved in the navigation process? What changes when visual stimuli are either augmented or replaced by other sensory inputs? Collaboration between architects and neuroscientists is required to address these questions.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

The project's objective is the application of innovative design technologies that aim in the amelioration and acceleration of the recovery process of patients at the General Hospital of Chania, Greece. The intervention regards the creation of a therapeutic environment in the recovery room of patients with severe brain injury in the Neurosurgical Clinic of the General Hospital of Chania.

At this stage, our project has been approved by the Administrative Committee of the hospital and we are proceeding with the intervention part.

Theoretical background

Previous research has shown that the environment in typical hospitals may impede or delay significantly the therapeutic outcomes and the patient’s well-being because of the exposure to stressful conditions, the lack of stimuli and isolation. However, elements like e.g. views of nature and other spatial stimuli could greatly improve the recovery process (Ulrich, 1992).

Our hypothesis is that at the crucial stage of recovery—restoration after a severe brain injury, and because of brain plasticity, reinforcing mental, physical and psychological health of the patient could be done through enriched environments. The counterbalancing spatial factors could not only surpass the negative environmental conditions but also favor positive experiences and therapeutic results.

Research Innovation

Our multidisciplinary team combines research approaches from different fields like architecture, cognitive neuroscience and computational mechanics in order to introduce a model that will upgrade the therapeutic context of the recovery room of patients with severe brain injury. Our proposal is to design and test an application—structure installed in the recovery room of the patient that will offer multisensory stimuli. The innovation relies on the fact that for the first time a multidisciplinary therapeutic context will be created, that will boost the recovery process through enriched environments.

Structure description

This is an evidence-based design intervention and concerns the design—construction of a structure that will propose varying spatial transformations depending on the activity and needs of the user.

The structure will be adjustable and will surround the patient’s bed. Its function will be based on the principles of the Therapeutic Environment Theory. Specifically, a collection of action possibilities will be presented to the patient through multisensory stimuli. An action example that could offer relaxation and better recovery outcomes is the simulation of nature through the use of spatial qualities such as organic geometrical forms, illusions of refuge—prospect and discrete nature sounds. Smooth changes in lighting depending on the time of day could give indications for the patient’s disturbed circadian rhythms. Structure transformations could give possibilities of privacy and socializing. The stimuli presented will be personalized depending on the patient’s background and preferences, to offer familiarly—positive distraction.

The efficiency indicators of the intervention will be measured through a combination of physiological records, time of recovery, amount of painkiller consumption that will be compared with those of patients that did not receive the intervention.

2. REFERENCES


Krasoudakis Antonios. Neurosurgeon, "St George’s" General Hospital Chania.

Georgoulakis Stratos, PhD candidate, TUC, School of Architectural Engineering. He holds a M.Eng. in Comp. and Information Science and a degree in Computer Science.

Kaledftira Marianthi-Eirini, architect, National Technical University of Athens.

Katikarid Anastasia, architect, Technical University of Crete.

Lykos Giorgos, undergraduate student, School of Architectural Engineering, TUC.
Neuroscience Research of Design Features in Learning Space

Stephanie Park
Gensler

1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Today, with ever-growing amount of data from all different types of research available, the field of Architecture is changing in response to the demand for solutions that have meaningful effects on occupants. There are opportunities to utilize and apply data from other fields, such as behavioral and cognitive psychology in a design process. This project strives to understand how neuroscience research can inform the design process in the future, especially in learning spaces, with the attempt to answer the following questions:

- What are the possible environmental features in learning spaces that can help inform the design process, and what types of neuroscience data can be analyzed and applied?
- How will the design process in the field of architecture change as we gain access to information on those features through neuroscience research?

In the analysis, various physical elements in a classroom setting were identified. For each element, existing studies were documented, as well as potential behavioral, cognitive or neurological studies that can be used to inform the design process.

This project was presented at the Miller Gallery at Carnegie Mellon University. At the exhibit, two stations were set up for the users to experience being in a classroom setting from a child's point of view. Each station was equipped with the photo panels and audio files that were retrieved from the Children's school at Carnegie Mellon University to provide a dynamic and enhanced experience.

Use of data in design opens a wide range of questions that make us wonder about the future of design. Discussions about this project at the Miller gallery included interesting future ideas, such as the possibility of incorporating the data in the design softwares such as the existing 3D modeling programs (Revit, Rhino, etc.). Below are some questions that might lead to interesting speculations about using data in design process in the future.

- What will happen to the users when every space is programmed for our brain and body to react a certain way?
- What will happen to the field of architecture as we discover how each of the spatial qualities affects our emotions, thoughts, performance, and actions?
- How will our brains change in response to the change in technology?
- What if we knew exactly what about a space was affecting our thoughts and actions?
- What if the building responded to our feelings or needs in real-time?
- What if we could track a person's state of health, to which the spaces responded in real-time?
- What will happen to the users when every space has an expected goal?

It is already established through organizations such as ANFA, that there is a growing interest in using neuroscience data in architecture. With the technology today, the desired knowledge in neuroscience is obtainable, and the research is accessible. However, it is important to imagine how we will apply the knowledge and research into design process. As more possibilities are explored and developed, it will facilitate the communication and translation of the data use to the practical use in architecture.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

1.1. Background

Geometry (Stanislas Dehaene 2006) and non-perceptible Euclidean geometry (Veronique Izard 2001) constitute core set of intuitions understanding environments. Psychology researchers identified patterns of comparison and spatial inference in visual problem-solving (Andrew Lovett 2012) (Charles E. Bethel-Fox 1984) which could play key role in spatial perception and navigation. We propose to study how intuitive geometric constructs can enhance human environment interaction by capturing behaviourally relevant aspects. We start from (Peponsis J 1997)’ space partitioning to axis lines, isovists, and (Turner A 2001)’ isovist of regular tessellation with grid centroids, defining visibility graphs (Batty 2013) to understand how humans can construct space syntax models describing features of spatial relations. Graph-based spatial-mental-representation explains way-finding behaviour, and methods in architecture have gained plausibility by complementing these cognitive methods (Gerald Franz 2005).

1.2. Methodology / Procedure

A cohort (N=10) aged 19 years were studied through three experiments. (1) Participants choose from given options that complements an outline shape previously shown. (2) Outline of geometric-freeform shapes are shown to construct shapes within. (3) Similar outline of complex geometric shapes are marked with two points blue (start-point) and green (end-point) and participants construct a path.

1.3. Outcome / Discussion

Results in the first experiment analysis, mode of choice show overall performance of 62% (69%–53%; simple to complex), indicating derived-constructs (Intuitive) vary from constructs through geometric principles (determined-constructs). Subsequent experiment on relations of determined-constructs to derived-constructs shows negative correlation, participants using minimal derived-constructs while opting for determined-constructs and vice-versa. It appears that the participants perhaps divided shapes into parts using nodes, edge and mid-points. In the third experiment (way-finding) the ratio of determined-constructs used is 0.5 that of derived-constructs of which, significant 81% constructs are segments and resultant-references (fusion of derived & determined).

1.4. Conclusion

Spatial perception widely varies from simple to complex forms and during navigation features are convoluted. Derived-geometry dominate determined-constructs in simple forms with egocentric behaviour while in complex there seems to be shift towards allocentric, observed in second experiment participants. In third experiment, participants are dominated by egocentric behaviour constructing convoluted features maintaining allocentric using determined-constructs. We also findvariation in intuitive geometric constructs of spatial perception through resultant-references during navigation.

Keywords: Intuitive geometric constructs, Human environment interaction, Navigation, Allocentric and Egocentric
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

abstract: Developed as a University of Maryland Masters of Architecture thesis between September 2015 and May 2016, "ANFA NYC: A Model for Collaborative Neuroarchitecture" is a design and research proposal focused on cultivating new means for the collaboration between neuroscience and architecture to occur. The proposal explores and puts forth solutions and methods in two predominant categories: those of the built (architectural) and those of the unbuilt (informational).

built: The hypothetically built portion of the proposal, located on Roosevelt Island in New York City, defines a small complex of three structures designed as a place where architects and neuroscientists can work side by side. These structures include a Neuroarchitecture "incubator" building with shared access to integral neuroimaging facilities, an adjacent private study tower, and the repurposed ruin of a 19th Century Smallpox Hospital. While providing a means for direct interaction between neuroscientists, architects, and the public, each structure applies principles of Neuroarchitecture in its design. Collectively, they generate a rich variety of environments through which Neuroarchitects can conduct research on site. Principles woven into the design of each structure include recent research in Neurogenesis, Chronobiology, Wayfinding, and Empathy, and a new synthesized concept titled "Relation."

unbuilt: The unbuilt portion of the proposal explores three methods concerning the application of Lidar technology to Neuroarchitectural practice. Predominantly used for archaeological and forensics purposes, Lidar instrumentation allows for the rapid collection of data pertaining to an environment’s space, materiality, and color. This proposal not only deploys Lidar as a graphic means, but explores the varying implications and data analysis methods for its use in Neuroarchitecture. Results concluded by these methods find the instrument’s data to be especially beneficial in the rapid creation of immersive virtual environments used in CAVE systems, an innovation to which is explored in the proposal. More importantly, the study suggests that these spatial analysis methods may allow the development of a more direct working language between neuroscientists and architects: that of data.

In concluding, it becomes evident that a stronger collaboration between neuroscience and architecture can and should be reached in a variety of both built and unbuilt ways. The results, however simplified, however intuitively obvious, may help achieve a profession, society, and legislation that more effectively understands, simply put, how we should build.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
Architectural design, and the consequent individual and social performance it situates within itself, first springs up as a response in the architect’s mind to the design task at hand. Computer aided assistive technologies for design (Bhatt, Bormann, Amor, and Beetz, 2013) have not only revolutionized the architecture of the contemporary era, but also have totally changed the ways in which architecture is conceived, designed, realized, viewed, and evaluated (Bhatt and Schultz, 2015). These digital cognitive assistive technologies have enabled the architect to perform many of their tasks easier; for instance, through a variety of tools, assistive technologies present analytical information to inform design decisions and help design and create building documentations of built forms with previously unthinkable sophistication.

Indeed, it is conceivable that in the process of cognitive assistance, assistive technologies in particular, and computer aided design tools in general, have also influenced the creative thinking and analytical problem solving process of designers. More broadly, this also has ramifications on architectural discourse, practice, and aesthetics of contemporary architecture.

In this ongoing research, an attempt is made to make an overview of various assistive technologies in architectural design that are employed by students of architecture design school. Furthermore, we also strive to critically evaluate the cognitive impact of these tools on the intuitive creative process as well as logical design thinking. This study uses the fundamental principles of human Visuo-Spatial Cognition, Cognitive Science, and Human Computer Interaction, and recent researches reviewed (Eberhard, 2008a, 2008b, 2009; Mallgrave, 2010) in these areas to analyse the influence of assistive digital tools in the design process of young architects and their designs. We also study possible approaches in informing the future design and implementation of human-centered assistive design technologies that are minimally intervening in the early creative stage of the architect.

Keywords: Assistive Tools in Architecture Design, Cognition in Design Education, Human Centered Design, Human Computer Interaction, Computer Aided Design
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

It has always been argued that the built environment affects our thoughts, feelings, and performance (De Young, 2013). However, this interrelation between the built environment and neuroscience, and the extent of how the built environment influences human performance have not been fully understood yet. As people spend more than 90% of our time indoors (Hoppe, 2002), there is a crucial need to assess the built environment and how it influences human performance and responsiveness. Few research studies explored architectural design characteristics (e.g., way-finding cues) and their effect on the emotional and psychological responses of human beings (Edelstein et al., 2008). However, most of the current research is focusing on evaluating the impact of individual design features (e.g., color, size) rather than taking a holistic approach to understand and quantify the combined impact on human experience. Hence, there is a lack of research in quantifying the influence of design features on human experience. The goal of this research is to quantify the impact of an identified set of architectural design features on human physiological states, and provide empirical evidence with further insights on the interrelations between architecture and neuroscience. Towards the vision to quantify the impact of design elements on human experience and performance, this paper reports the findings on the initial phase of the research that sheds light on the required elements of the experiment design. These elements include architectural design features (e.g., topological connections in spaces), the sensations they result in human beings (e.g., sense of spatial orientation), parameters (e.g., activation in middle frontal gyrus) and technologies (e.g., EEG) that can be used to measure such sensations that should be used in the experiments. A triangulation based approach has been followed to identify the elements of the experimental design, including a rigorous literature review, crowdsourcing based elicitation from general public and expert feedback with focus groups conducted with architects in practice. Initial findings reported in this paper suggest that architectural design features that are associated with the same physiological sensation and parameters can be grouped for the quantification phase of the research.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
The significant architectural features such as window, ceiling, floor, or wall likely influence on behavior and neurophysiological states of individuals. In particular, a recent study (Meyers-Levy, 2007) has shown that ceiling height evokes concepts of freedom or confinement that in turn influence relational processing or item-specific processing. However, the neurophysiological alterations depending on freedom or confinement are not examined yet. In this study, we investigated the degree of attention and creativity of 40 participants in various desk positions; sitting toward versus against a wall in the room, on condition that the surroundings provide reasonable saliency to the priming of concepts (freedom-versus confinement-related) without any additional installations (i.e. hanging lanterns from ceiling). During the task performance, we used a portable EEG device to measure EEGs in participants to determine how openness versus closeness of space influences behavioral and neurophysiological states. We demonstrate that desk position in a room affects attention and creativity and their EEG profiles are significantly different depending on the desk position. We suggest that this result potentially provide a guideline about how to configure workplace, conference room, private room and classroom in accordance to various purposes and thereby improving job performances at work or school.

Keywords: desk position, creativity, attention, openness or closeness, EEG
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
Performance and productivity of the task requiring creativity and attention are likely affected by distractors (e.g., people) and noises (e.g., clock ticking or phone ring sound) from surroundings, particularly in a sharing office. However, its behavioral and neurophysiological influences are not clearly investigated yet. Further, it is assumed that personal interactions and degree of distractions might be modulated by the configurations of desk position. Thus, the aim of the current study was to assess the influence of human distractors in different desk position configurations on task performance. 40 participants were instructed to perform two cognitive tasks requiring attention or creativity, while another person is working in various desk positions with reference to the desk position of the participant in the same office. During the cognitive task, their EEGs were recorded using a portable, EEG device. The influences of human distractors will be examined by gender and big five personality (i.e., openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism). Power spectrum and entropy of the EEG were estimated to investigate potential relationship between the degree of distractions and neurophysiological changes. We demonstrated that the desk positions of coworkers significantly influence performances of both tasks requiring attention or creativity. Further, the power spectral profiles are quite different depending on the desk position. We suggest that neuroarchitectural investigation can provide an insight into the most suitable positional configurations of desks in the office depending on the goal or style of job in a corporate.

Keywords: distractions, creativity, attention, desk position, EEG
I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

As a part of my dissertation topic at the Vienna University of Technology I am engaged in research with school children from one school in one urban neighborhood in Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina and two schools in one urban neighborhood in Vienna, Austria.

Research in Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina was done between October 26th and November 1st, 2015. Research was conducted with 109 children participants ages 8 to 10.

In period from April 4th until April 28th, 2016 research with school children in Vienna was done. There were 112 children participants ages 8 to 13.

The research consisted of three parts:
1. Drawing a path from home to school.
2. Drawing a favorite outdoor place to play.
3. Walk through neighborhood and visit to different playgrounds.

In all research parts discussion with each individual child was held. The voice was recorded and the discussion was written down. Walking through neighborhood and observing it was essential part of this research.

Here the focus is particularly on interpretations of school children drawings on their way from home to school. In this regard different parameters on wayfinding and attention focusing were observed.

Child was encouraged to submit the drawing when he/she thinks it is finished. It took approximately 15-30 minutes, in some cases up to 45 minutes, to do so. Children’s individual participation was encouraged, meaning it was said that their own opinion is important and that drawings should be coming from them, their own thoughts, and not their colleagues. Children had freedom of using desired utensils and freedom of expression.

It was questioned what children remember the most on the path from home to school and which elements are important to them. While being able to express their thoughts on paper, children also spoke about their environmental impression.

In interpretation of drawings the whole drawing was observed. This was done because the position of drawing on paper, color usage, drawing complexity, presence or absence of connection between elements, might show how well are children adjusted to their neighborhood.

It is questioned how form and color influence children’s attention and their capability in finding ways and orienting themselves in their neighborhood by describing environment and the spatial structure of environment in particular.

Emotions and attachment to place play an important role in remembering of sequences and places visited as well as situations and actions that trigger imagination.

During research, and by analyzing children’s drawings slight difference in the attention and environmental perception between younger and older children was noticed. Younger children pay more attention to details and use of color in their drawings than the older age group. Responses received from younger children were more descriptive and detailed in comparison to older children group.

Drawings are analyzed with the purpose of identifying parameters which would help in building and planning the child friendly environment.

Questions for further research:
Can environmental diversity and staying in nature help in brain development? Can environmental diversity help in wayfinding and orienting? What kind of stimuli benefit brain development and problem solving capabilities?
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Discussion held with each individual child about their drawings and environment they live in as well as theoretical analysis of the literature on the subject matter serve as a support in forming the conclusions.

On their way from home to school it seems that emotions play an important factor in forming a relationship with environment. Some orienting points for children were nature elements, threes ("There is that strange tree"), people ("There is that strange woman"), basically things which were out of order! For children which were traveling to school via bus or a car, other things such as bus stop, river and bridge were orienting points. Sometimes bakery was referred as orienting point or even parking poles in front of the school yard. "There is the blue fence", meaning there is school. As an orienting point children would often refer to a house where their familiar (friend, aunt, etc.) lives.

Relationship between neuroscience and environment perceived by individual is without a doubt mutual and feedback happens all the time. As much as human being is able to change the environment, environment is able to change human being.

By choosing different path from home to school, a child could change thought pattern and experience different stimuli.

Society is based on information exchange. There are always informational inputs or outputs from individual to surroundings and vice versa. By improving people correspondence with architectural, natural, and biological environment within individual, the whole system, which we are part of, could properly function.

Relationship between neuroscience and environment perceived by individual is without a doubt mutual and feedback happens all the time. As much as human being is able to change the environment, environment is able to change human being.

By choosing different path from home to school, a child could change thought pattern and experience different stimuli.

Society is based on information exchange. There are always informational inputs or outputs from individual to surroundings and vice versa. By improving people correspondence with architectural, natural, and biological environment within individual, the whole system, which we are part of, could properly function.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

As reflection is forced out of architecture schools by the realities of the workplace (Mallgrave, 2013), building design courses tend to privilege solving programmatic, functional and technical issues. This paper discusses a diverging strategy that brings neuroscience and architecture together in the design process. It describes a phenomenology-based methodology in which spatial atmospheres (Zumthor, 2006) and sensory experiences are pursued through the understanding and use of natural light, hence the protagonist in the process.

Light and vision are tightly connected: the latter has a primary role in experiencing space, but it depends on information conveyed by patterns of light, mostly originated by reflectance from physical surfaces (Albright, 2015), indicating that light becomes visible only by interacting with matter. Acknowledging our multisensory presence (Mallgrave, 2010; Pallasmaa, 2005), the intention is not to favor vision in detriment of other senses, but to use natural light as a means to achieve the intended ambiance.

The methodology relies on the rational that students can conceive a particular space – through cellular spatial experiments – and expand the concept to the entire building (Lawson, 2005), a crematorium bordering a 19th century cemetery in Recife, Brazil. Three phases are carried: (1 - NAVIGATION) based on the notion that space is comprehended only when we perceive it through embodiment (Condia, 2014), students register sensory stimuli elicited during site visits to burial and religious places; (2 - EXPERIENCE) test possible spatial atmospheres by manipulating light and massing through physical models; (3 - DESIGN) develop building design by applying prescriptive spatial ambiences and sensory experiences, including specific lighting effects, employed according to the nature of spaces they act upon: crystal veils, luminescence, atomization, procession, evanescence and environmental silence (Plummer, 2009).

As a result, design proposals have reached a strong sense of place in regards to defining introspective atmospheres, potentially favoring concentration and inner reconciliation, keys aspects in spiritual environments. We also verified that this spatially strongly relates to designs adopting regular contour orientations derived from familiar geometric shapes, perhaps mirroring the fact that our cortical system tends to favor regular visual patterns (Albright, 2015).

The merging of neuroscience and architecture seems to open way for varied studies, such as the exploration of light discussed here, which attempts to facilitate the understanding and use of this natural agent in the design process, allowing for buildings that help stimulate sight and, consequently, improve the multisensory potential of architecture. Although we dealt with step-by-step procedures, the process was reduced to previewing lighting effects through limited resources. One further exploration to possibly minimize the ever-challenging gap between designer, users and spatial experience would be the use of immersive virtual reality environments to simulate and test the intended effects in relation to the sensory stimuli they elicit upon users.

2. REFERENCES


I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
The physical environment influences mental health and inevitably well-being. While exposure to natural environments shows salubrious health benefits among those who maintain a consistent connection, little is known about how urban environments impact mental health. As urbanization increases worldwide, it is essential to understand the linkages between urbanized environments and public health. This project is guided by the research question: How do different environmental characteristics affect stress-related responses in users?

The study will guide individual (n > 30) to walk a designated route, exposing them to different architectural and environmental elements in downtown Manhattan, Kansas. Physiological biofeedback sensors, including electrodermal activity (EDA) and heart rate sensors, will be used to monitor physiological behavioral changes. GPS will provide spatial location, and a GoPro camera will provide real-time first-person experience. Data from these sensors will be integrated into a temporal-spatial analysis to ascertain correlations between architectural and environmental elements in space and associated stress responses. Upon completing the walk, participants will take a brief survey asking for their perceptions, both quantitatively and qualitatively, of the different environments they encounter on the walk.

Raw data collected from the biofeedback devices will be refined and analyzed spatially using GIS mapping software. This will allow us to visualize any associations between design characteristics and the elicited behavioral responses in order to determine the environmental characteristics that may illicit heightened stress responses. Analysis of the survey data will seek to identify any correlations between physiological and perception-based responses.

The intent of the research is to provide a foundation for further studies into how public policy can be better informed and augmented to mitigate potential public health issues caused by urban design. Results will also inform architectural and engineering decision-making processes to further improve urban design by identifying characteristics that may improve or decrease mental health of those living and/or frequenting urban environments.

2. REFERENCES
The Use and Perception of Black in Architecture

MARINA RUSSO-SCHTSERBAKOFF-KODAFOFF

1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Black is at the same time a color and not a color. It is defined as the absorption of light, the absence of electromagnetic radiation as well as the equal combination of the three primary colors. Black is loved and hated. Black can make a house almost disappear when built in a complete organic environment and emphasize a colored feature or a particular space inside a building.

The use of black in Architecture should not be perceived as a decorative feature but more as a technical and psychological tool. Beyond cultural perceptions, it always have a strong impact on people whether it be a positive or negative one.

Through different case studies we can see how the clients were reluctant to use black at first and ended up very pleased with the effect it created.

The aim is to make good use of black in order to create the intended effect and also to identify its physiological and psychological influence. We will see that we can actually produce different blacks using light, texture, reflection, etc. that will all induce various emotions.

Bridges from colors to psychology and neuroscience have been extensively researched, however, black is the opposite as it is the absence of light. How is lack perceived by the human eye and the optic chiasma? Why does it have such a strong impact on people if it is only "nothing" to the human eye? What is the difference in our optical and neuronal perception between the "color" black (used on walls, ceilings, floors...) and the black, void, total absence of lighting?

Different leads such as the reaction to obscurity and absence of daylight of the suprachiasmatic nucleus controlling the circadian rhythms, sending information to the pineal gland and resulting in the production of cortisol and melatonin will be explored.

2. AUTHOR BIO

Born in the south of France I travelled for many years. After graduated from the University of Derby, England in Architectural Venue Design and Digital Innovations I returned to France. As early as the first years of studying I was struck by the very little consideration we gave to human beings in the architectural practice. I thought buildings should be built for humans and therefore humans should be in the center of our thoughts while designing them. At the end of my studies, seven years ago, I wrote a dissertation on the following subject: "The correlation between architecture and human psychology". Five years ago I opened my own architectural firm and have carried on researching on psychology and neurosciences applied to architecture, making extensive search and use of artificial lighting, colors, volumes and numerous different features. Probably because it is unknown in France, clients are usually skeptical and reluctant to this approach but by applying what I discovered to my work and projects I have noticed clear results in human perception, well-being, emotions, cognitive behavior, sales revenues, etc. Recently I happened to use black in many of my projects, which led me to extend the research.
I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Buildings have become adaptive. They adapt to their environments with the aim to be more sustainable and to provide more comfortable conditions for inhabitants. They adapt to inhabitants to, for instance, make spaces more convenient, information rich and more useful. Adaptivity is typically achieved through the integration of ubiquitous computing technologies and the building fabric. There are many adaptations that would be described as mainstream, e.g. those that control the internal climate or lighting. There are also much more radical ideas, suggesting that buildings become mobile, change form, become affective or indeed that they become an interaction partner. Both streams have been captured in numerous publications over the last 10 years [1] [2] [3] [4]. However, there has been very little reflection on the impact on people (e.g. their perception, their behaviour, their well-being) of interaction-centric adaptivity in buildings, and this lack of investigation is also observed by Malgrave, even in the context of standard architecture [5].

This presentation focuses on the contribution that research conducted at the Mixed Reality Lab makes to the emerging space of Adaptive Architecture [6], conceptually, technically and interactionally. Generally, we approach this research by building novel architectural prototypes and interaction mechanisms, which are then studied in the lab and in deployment. This talk will present ExoBuilding [7] as one such prototype. ExoBuilding is a room-sized, mechanically actuated fabric structure, which can respond to people’s physiological behaviour, for example their respiration, their heart rate or skin conductance.

Through a first study that linked a participant’s breathing to the up and down movement of ExoBuilding, we have indicated how this biofeedback environment can trigger reductions in respiration rates and increases in relaxation [8]. A second study has shown how experiencing respiration mappings from the inside triggers much more strongly felt experiences, than experiencing the same mappings from the outside [9]. A third study (currently unpublished) demonstrates a method to influence people’s physiology through regular oscillations, following a period where participants had been in control of the movement. The possibility of such manipulations raises ethical questions in the context of more widespread use. In participatory design work we have then set out to explore how this approach can be used for the teaching of yoga with breathing at its core, studying the teacher-student relationship and how this becomes affected by interaction with the environment [10].

The presentation will conclude with a reflection on the more generalised feedback loop that is created between occupants and the adaptive building, and how we begin to see the two as interaction partners. We frame this by reflecting on embodied interaction, drawing on work by De Jaegher and Fuchs [11] [12], arguing that the biofeedback loop leads to ‘mutual incorporation’ between inhabitant and prototype and that ‘interbodily resonance’ can explain the way that both are adjusting to each other. We are discussing this in the context of Arbib’s ‘Neuroscience of the Experience of Architecture’ [13] and more broadly in the context of people experiencing architecture emotionally, i.e. precognitively.
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

In this paper, electroencephalogram (EEG) techniques were adopted to evaluate thermal comfort of human subjects. According to ASHRAE (2004), thermal comfort is defined as “condition of mind which expresses satisfaction with the thermal environment”, which suggests that thermal comfort is closely related to emotion. EEG pattern recognition and classification methods are widely used in human-computer interface, especially in emotion research (Frantzidis et al. 2010; Chanel et al. 2005), which allow identification of emotion regardless of the human facial expression, behaviour, or verbal communication. In this research, a 14-channel EEG headset was used to detect electrical activity in the brain and power density in different EEG frequency bands was collected as features. Different numbers of features were used to build LDA/SVM algorithm classifiers. This enabled classification of human subject’s mental state under different thermal conditions in built environment and the classification rates were above 90%. This new approach helps to better understand the impact of architectural spaces on building occupants. Further exploration provides possibility to improve the human-building interaction which facilitates the development of future intelligent buildings where occupants are able to control the indoor environment through this human-building interaction process.
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Architecture and the Senses: A Sensory Musing Park
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Our sensory organs are mediators between what happens in our brains, and what happens in the world around us. However, our brain doesn't directly perceive anything. Diane Ackerman describes the paradox of our brain's dependency on the senses, as a silent and dark recipient, transmitting only electrical impulses. This perspective uncovers the critical importance of our sensory abilities. If the brain is ineffective without information gathered by the senses, why aren't our environments tailored to clarify and illuminate our sensory experiences? If we can understand over time, as John Zeisel explains, how people's minds work in response to their physical environments, then those environments can be designed to support health, creativity, and life.

I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
This thesis studies the relationship of architecture and the senses. The first part of the document explores sensory characteristics and how they work. It defines their importance in allowing humans to navigate complex environments. The second part looks directly at environmental stimuli. It seeks to qualify and associate physical variables with particular sensory responses. The third part of the document studies sense-stimuli relationships and the various outcomes.

Research draws from scientific, psychological, architectural, and philosophical contributions, including work by environmental psychologist, Harold Proshansky; architects, Steen Eiler Rasmussen and Charles Moore; and anthropologist, Edward Hall. The goal of the research is to create a set of principles by which architecture can design "for the senses".

These principles are then applied to a series of architectural installations, located in the ‘Parco della Rimembranza’, in Rome, Italy. Each installation deconstructs a particular sensory experience, in order to isolate and examine the stimuli involved. The sense-stimuli relationships that comprise each installation use natural and man-made variables to activate the visitor's experience. Each installation is part of a larger constellation that can be sequenced in a variety of ways, experienced uniquely each time, and even added to by visitors and artists.

This thesis provides a framework for clarifying and enhancing the built environment. It employs the human senses as a common denominator, through which practitioners from all ends of the occupational spectrum can contribute. By cataloging and analyzing the interplay between architecture and the senses, it evaluates human experience and offers a set of standards by which architecture can contribute to the benefit and welfare of humankind.

2. REFERENCES
Dreaming Architectural Spaces into Reality
A Digital Platform for Generating Architecture Form from Cognitive Responses
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
The tools that we use to design architectural spaces have evolved dramatically over the past twenty-five years. The evolution in the technology of design tools has shifted the expected skills and expertise required in the architecture profession as well as shifting architectural aesthetics based on the evolving digital mediums. Despite the many changes in technology, in both mechanical and digital paradigms, the use of our hands and sight have continued to play the most primary role in creating a design. A change in the emphasis in design from the mastery of fine motor skills to a post-handeye generative process that uses only a cognitive practice has the power to innovate the current aesthetic and functional norms of architecture.

Quantifying the relationship between architecture and cognition for the purpose of innovating design processes is an evolution of the epistemological ideals of phenomenology and architecture that have been actively explored since the late nineteenth century by Heidegger, Husserl, Dreyfus, and Merleau-Ponty. The pursuit of evolving phenomenology to a more quantitative practice allows architectural design to be derived from an intentional creative process that removes itself from the often questionable happenstance of architectural form-making. The form-making manifesto of the “iconic” structure was a turn of the century ideal of the micro (individual) and the macro (citywide) level “brandscaping” agenda. The new architectural manifesto being realized today responds directly to the needs of society, through digital connectivity, social agency, and the functional improvement of existing environments. The digital realities of new technology and their rapid integration into society demand a reinterpretation of personal and social spatial “needs” that include improving existing environments as well as respond to the experiential values and the growing demand for systems of immediate materialization. A digital manifestation of phenomenological experiences is pertinent to a future generation whose social culture reflects a desire to visually define individualistic epistemological inquiry and address inevitable digital futures, while embracing the continued importance of real life experiences.

Using a cognition-based digital platform, designers of the future will have the ability to solely use the powerful human function of cognition to form a architectural space that creates a meaningful spatial experience for both the designer and user of an occupable form. Emotional responses to existing environments and built architectural forms trigger brain activity which can be measured using portable EEG brain scanning or stationary fMRI equipment. Using the quantitative figures from cognitive responses to architecture in conjunction with eye tracking and visual recording technology, we can begin to map a taxonomy of existing forms which prompt particular emotional recourses.

Using this architectural categorization of emotion evoking forms, we can create a computational framework which uses a series of pre-formulated shape grammars and successive geometries to create individual architectural manifestations which are formed from EEG brain activity data engendered by spatial occurrences or emotional experiences of a user. The digital manifestation of a psychological experience mediates between a personalized existential realization and the real life experience of one's surroundings. The architectural result of the cognitive tool acts as a intermediary between an emotional experience and the physical space which might inspired those thoughts.

The cognitive design tool is developed using a historical taxonomy of forms connecting neural activity with spatial conditions. Using EEG brain scanning data from a controlled set of experiences. Test subjects re-watch their set of experiences and self-report on their emotional thoughts at specific moments in order to combine both quantitative data from EEG scanning with the qualitative data of self-reporting on emotional activity. This data is used to quantify spatial instances that are captured using the visual tracking eyewear. The data from this phase is used to create the taxonomy of architecturally specific forms which link particular emotions with specific spatial conditions.

The intention of this cognitive design tool is to: a) transform our notion space by developing an entirely new tool for realizing architectural design; b) train designers to have more diligent control of their cognition by assigning form making algorithms associated with neurological stimulation; c) create meaningful and unique spaces that have not been created before due to learned aesthetics in the architecture practice today; and d) open up the practice of design to those who are limited from using their hands or sight in the design process.

The results of this design inquiry can be used to initiate a series of individualized experiences of architectural sites which respond to the visitors emotional states. This cognitive design tool is only a stepping-stone to visually articulate architecture which is responsive to the constant fluctuations of human thought and emotion.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

The importance of studying human behavior in context in the real world cannot be overstated. Kurt Lewin’s field theory (1946) proposed that behavior is a function of both the individual and its environment \( B = f(P, E) \), and stressed the importance of applying psychological knowledge to solve real-world social problems. Since the 1960s, environmental psychologists and other behavioral scientists have collaborated with design professionals (e.g., Environmental Design Research Association) in an effort to understand how people respond to their everyday physical environment and to apply their knowledge in the design of the built environment that would satisfy the users’ needs (i.e., human-centered design). More recently, the explosion of neuroscience research and the development of new measurement techniques, such as fMRI, have expanded the methods, and consequently the types of questions that can be asked, regarding the brain bases of behavior as it relates to the individual and its environment.

Architects are increasingly asked by clients to provide evidence-based designs. We argue that the best approach to understanding the relationship between the brain, behavior and environment is to use a level of explanation that is appropriate, useful and predictive for the specific phenomenon at hand. In many cases, the most useful level of explanation is behavioral; that is, with our current understanding and methodologies additional useful insight could not be gained from molecular, cellular or systems level investigations. Basic research that seeks to understand the connections between the brain and behavior and cognition is nonetheless valuable in its own right.

We seek to provoke the ANFA community through constructive discussion about practical approaches to applying scientific knowledge among the design professions. We will review the historical development of environment-behavior research and the neuroscience for architecture movement. We will discuss why and how neuroscience and psychology can contribute to our understanding of people’s interaction with their everyday built environment. Considering the impact that the built environment can have on our well-being and the educational focus of the design professions, we believe that applied research is immediately predictive for the specific phenomenon at hand. In many cases, the most useful level of explanation is behavioral; that is, with our current understanding and methodologies additional useful insight could not be gained from molecular, cellular or systems level investigations. Basic research that seeks to understand the connections between the brain and behavior and cognition is nonetheless valuable in its own right.

We seek to provoke the ANFA community through constructive discussion about practical approaches to applying scientific knowledge among the design professions. We will review the historical development of environment-behavior research and the neuroscience for architecture movement. We will discuss why and how neuroscience and psychology can contribute to our understanding of people’s interaction with their everyday built environment. Considering the impact that the built environment can have on our well-being and the educational focus of the design professions, we believe that applied research is immediately predictive for the specific phenomenon at hand. In many cases, the most useful level of explanation is behavioral; that is, with our current understanding and methodologies additional useful insight could not be gained from molecular, cellular or systems level investigations. Basic research that seeks to understand the connections between the brain and behavior and cognition is nonetheless valuable in its own right.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT
The advent of smart devices has brought about an age which allows for a great amount of personal control and an unprecedented enhancement of lifestyle based on behaviorally predictive and intuitive technology. Although still in an infant stage, this is beginning to include the environments we live in with a greater amount of controls that allow us to dictate on a personal level the preferences of our surroundings. There is yet another level of interactivity yet to be achieved, and that utilizing sensory and neural based biometrics to interact with a responsive environment capable of learning and adapting to the intrinsic needs and commands of the environment’s user. At this time there is a number of different commercially available EEG headsets which allow us to with an acceptable degree of accuracy develop an explorative interactive interface between the environment and our own neural activity. When this interactive neural technology is combined with a programmable environment, a fascinating relationship is developed where we can begin to create spaces which can be controlled not only on a cognitive level, but also can begin to learn our desires, needs and personalities on a far deeper level than behavioral based technology alone.

The goal of this discussion is to present a number of probing experiments conducted by an architectural designer which begin an exploration of this dynamic relationship between the brain and the environment. From the level of environmental cognitive controls to environmental learning based on the brain’s electrical activity, these explorations delve into investigating and studying the opportunities and advantages provided by creating a more neural-focused design and responsiveness. The experiments include studies done both in academia at the graduate level (Illustrated) and further pursuits continuing to enhance an understanding of how with the technology available to us today we can already begin having the discussion of bio-responsive design.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Globalization, increased mobility, and fast urban growth jeopardize our relationship with people and places that used to be important to us. As a result, we feel often displaced and try constructing the sense of place through a connection to the physical environment. Yet, contemporary predominance of virtual connectivity reduces attention to the physical environment and sensory engagement. This paper seeks to understand how can architecture facilitate the construction of place in a world of limited resources.

This is particularly acute in the Pearl River Delta, home to the fastest and largest extension of continuous urbanization in the world. Furthermore, the region's urban population is confined to barely 10 per cent of its territory, making it extremely dense. This fast and uneven growth is one of the greatest challenges faced by Chinese society and calls into question traditional modes of planning cities, raising the urgent need of alternative strategies.

Assuming that the construction of place has a strong affective foundation, this paper studies affect in the experience of space to identify the architectural qualities that contribute most to place attachment. The research combines insights from architecture, phenomenology, environmental psychology, and neuroscience; in order to investigate the processes associated with the perception and encoding of spatial cues that induce place attachment and enhanced use of public space.

The working hypothesis departs from the notion of 'weak architecture' (Vattimo, 1983; Solà- Morales, 1987; Pallasmaa, 2000; Casagrande, 2012) with its inherent frugality and ambiguity as a means to trigger composite emotional states (Damasio, 2010), generally less intense but more engaging, facilitating the construction of place while saving resources.

The analysis is based in the study of a site-specific architectural intervention in a public space in Hong Kong and some other cases from Taipei and Macau. In loco behavioral observations were conducted to assess movement patterns, physical and social interactions with the object. Interviews were performed to assess attention and affect. This provided insights into the identification of the spatial cues that operate within and outside consciousness and make affect and sensuousness necessary to the processes of attention, connection, and ultimately attachment to a place.
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“Listen! Interiors are like large instruments, collecting sound, amplifying it, transmitting it elsewhere.” - Peter Zumthor

Human spatial perception is a sensual experience of the world we inhabit. While we experience architecture through all of our senses by varying degrees, the process of design has long been informed by the visual at the expense of other modes of perception. This body of research and proposed design methodology aims to focus on an acoustic aesthetic to create spaces which manifest sonic phenomenon that not only evoke psychological responses for inhabitants but also induce shifts in brain states toward meditative and/or mystical experience. As humans are sonic instruments themselves through use of our hearing and vocal range, the engagement of our sonic qualities within a sensitively designed aural architecture creates the potential for a truly transcendent and immaterial experience. It is in this way that this design proposal strives to enlist the resonant natures of architectural forms to deeply engage and expand the sensory awareness of human spatial perception.

I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

I.1. BACKGROUND

Inspired by powerful sonic phenomenon manifest in architecture found around the world and specifically at the Hypogeum Hal Saflieni in Malta, this design proposal acts as a speculative exploration into the creation of aural architecture. The findings of neuroscientific research show that sonic phenomenon created by the resonant chamber of the Oracle Room in the aforementioned Hypogeum act to induce shifts in the human brain through sensory expansion (Cook, 2008). Such shifts toward meditative or trance states are a powerful effect of the influence of sound on the brain and body. Just as the captives in Plato’s cave, our sensory perception defines the limits of how we understand ultimate reality. If architecture can act as a tool to extend such limits of perception, then it may enable an extension of our understandings of the world around us. Architects have a critical role in the creation of future spaces which leverage this sonic ability to expand human sensory perception, and by proxy create spaces fine-tuned for contemporary enlightenment.

1.2. METHODOLOGY / PROCEDURE

Just as Plato sought to discover the generative forces of the universe through mathematical rationalism (Platonic Solids), this project searches for generative processes hidden within the mathematical formulae which define nature. Rather than seeking purely geometric ideals, though, this work aims the blueprints of creation through physics, specifically cymatics – a subset of modal vibration phenomenon. Through the creation of an algorithm which maps the motion of sonic frequencies, the designer is able to use sound as a generative force within the design process. The resulting 3-dimensional formal arrangements, or Nodal Structures, each represent a particular frequency mapped in space. Through this design process, the designer is enabled to use sound as inspiration not only in the poetic sense, but also through literal means as form-giver. By applying the lessons behind various sonic precedents including the Hypogeum Hal Saflieni, the designer seeks to create spaces which are hyper resonant to particular frequencies. Through a choreographed series of sonic experiences composed of disruptive sonic input along with patterning forces such as entainment, the designer could formulate spaces intended to shift brain states to specifically predetermined patterns. (Crowe, 2004). Such a tunable space would open the doors to potentially powerful sonic effects on human cognition and sensory perception.

I.3. CONTINUED TESTING

Since exploring such a generative design process, the designer continues working toward methods of acoustic testing and verification by which to drive further innovation and development. Through advanced 3D modeling processes and algorithmic design, the designer continues to probe the potential effectiveness of various spatial arrangements to manipulate resonance and reverberation. Examples of ray tracing processes explore the movement of sound within the generated forms while mathematical measurements of scale and dimension inform the potential resonant frequencies based on wavelength calculations. All of these are checked against frequencies which exhibit manipulative effects in the realms of sensation, perception, emotion, and affect, toward the aim of creating formal arrangements which act as instruments for altered mental states.
Overview of Scientific Aspects of Spatial Cognition: Significance in Architectural Design
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

1.1. SUMMARY

In order to understand the implications of spaces on human behavior and vice versa, it is first imperative to understand the process of spatial cognition and its computation in the human brain. Spatial cognition is an essential aspect of our social behavior in different spaces and also plays an elemental role in our spatial perception, psychology and memory. However, the merits of understanding spatial cognition are not limited to fields of neuroscience and social sciences, but also extend to architecture and urban planning. In this paper, I argue that it is essential for architects to understand various scientific aspects of spatial cognition in order to design spaces that invoke the spatial experience intended by the designer. Further, I investigate the current literature on various aspects of human spatial cognition and highlight the implications of these findings in the process of spatial design and planning. I also summarize the different computational models of the cognitive process developed for applications – such as robotic exploration in unmapped regions and for human interactive interfaces. The paper concludes by proposing a framework, which is predominantly driven by spatial cognition, and aims to redefine the modality of design and creative design pedagogy.

1.2. SCIENTIFIC ASPECTS

Human beings, in addition to being social species, are also spatially sensitive in a significant way. Our spatial cognition apparatus, not only assists in spatial navigation and orientation, but also influences our emotions and associative memories. This cognitive process can be argued as being of multi-modal nature, as we perceive our environment through various senses – brightness, noisiness, smell, openness/vastness, symmetry, repetition and other such design features. As we sense our environment through various modes, we also form a spatial representation of these places in our memory called the ‘spatial image’ [Loomis, 2008]. This symbolic representation commonly forms an associative memory link between the senses and emotions – called as ‘place attachment’ in psychology [Lewicka, Maria, 2011], and often measured quantitatively in Psychometric and Likert scale. Scientist Nancy Kanwisher [2009] and her lab have successfully located the region in our brains called PPA – the parahippocampal place area, which responds not only to spatial environment, but also images of scenes and places. Her paper informs how this region is significant in tasks wherein humans rely solely on layouts of spaces (and not objects or landmarks) to reorient themselves in an environment after they are disoriented. The modes of encoding structured spatial information in human brain are mainly classified as egocentric and allocentric frames of reference [Paillard, 1999]. Behavioral studies on the egocentric and allocentric cognition processes show that these mechanisms assist not only in our navigation ability, but also govern the levels of attention required for correct perception of spaces.

1.3. RELEVANCE IN DESIGN

Architectural design and planning impose significant emphasis on properties of symmetry, axial orientation, sequential alignment, optimized placement, proximity and other such characteristics for building circulation, program placement, city planning, urban landscape etc. While most of these designs emerge from an intuitive understanding and knowledge of spatial experiences, I argue that a scientific basis of developing spaces – in particular user and use specific designs would go a long way in creating efficient solutions in varied ways (e.g., spaces for visually impaired, or university design focused to enhanced learning or city planning). As a designer, I maintain that there is a significant difference in concepts and processes of cognition of places and spaces. Places form the physical attributes of environmental information like location, orientation, etc. while spaces are embedded with abstract information involving emotions, feelings etc. If we understand the process of acquisition of information of a physical place, its cognition by our innate cognitive apparatus and encoding of this perceptive information into our spatial memory, we can be better equipped to hack this process to create spatial environments that produce a seamless transition between place and space perception.

Architects and designers can also leverage the scientific observation of differences in attention demands (in different spatial environments) to instill the feel of awe and emphasis in creative architectural designs. By governing which aspects of spatial use can be designed as egocentric and which aspects can be forced to be allocentric, we can quantitatively assess successful use of spaces designed by the architect for a particular purpose. Additionally, by measuring the accuracy of spatial updating while traversing through a given space using different modalities like sound, vision, touch or blind navigation, one can measure or compare performance and behavior of users of spaces. This aspect of spatial cognitive theory is crucial not only in defining success of architectural designs for users of varied disabilities, but also provides basis for framework of quantitatively assessing design space efficiency. Using this concept of spatial updating along with computational models of neurological cognition of users in space, designers can simulate and predict the user behavior in their specific designs and correct inefficiencies. These concepts can further be used to evaluate multiple iterations of design and can be coded into computational systems designed for user specific models.

1.4. CONTRIBUTIONS

In conclusion, this paper shifts focus on significance of acquiring the scientific knowledge of spatial cognition from the different fields of neuroscience and computational science. The concept of creative intelligence is a significant one, and this paper suggests that the empirical interdisciplinary studies of spatial cognition would enhance the creative intelligence of designers especially in shaping environments.
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

The phenomenon of empathy (and its related processes) can be considered at very different levels in architecture, due to the domain’s complexity. In this presentation I am going to review these various understandings mainly from philosophical and cognitive points of view.

Along with personal empathy, which refers (in a narrow sense) to an affect-based understanding of another’s inner life, there seems to be a form of empathy directly based on the perception of objects rather than persons, namely objectual empathy. The notion of personal empathy being conceptually and explanatory prior to the notion of objectual empathy, the latter is always an indirect way of understanding other (real or hypothesized) human beings.

First, I am going to discuss five types of object-based understanding and ask whether any of them illustrates the phenomenon of objectual empathy: objects as affordances, imaginative explorations of objects, bodily projections onto objects, objects as traces of action, objects as traces of emotion. Then, I will examine the relevance of these examples to architecture. One might ask, e.g., whether the perception of an architectural drawing can engage the same empathetic abilities that are enabled by the perception of the actual building itself, whether on the side of the designer’s experience (embodied simulation of the future users) or on the user’s (ability to understand the architect’s intentions as a client in a commercial context or as a visitor in an architecture exhibition).

Finally, I would like to show that empathy can be educated through experience and teaching. In this respect, the use of images, artworks and other representational media often plays a significant role in the development of empathy. It has been argued that our experience of representations can evoke empathetic responses that our perception of the world beyond representations does not. Through learning, a high-level cognitive ability can become implicit and spontaneous, even if it was initially explicit and deliberate.

The study of empathy for the architectural object, its makers, its users, and even its representations, opens the way to future significant discoveries of great interest particularly in the context of architectural education.

Keywords: affordances, aesthetic experience, architecture, design process, empathy, representational media
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Utilizing Architectural Diagrams to Create Geometric Forms that Anticipate User Responses
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I. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

1.1. INTRODUCTION

The Diagram is an architectural design tool that operates between conceptual ideas and resultant geometry, between design intent and a building's shape. What if we could harness the power of diagramming to make a building appeal directly to human neurological processing? By inserting an honest exploration of diagram to control geometric forms via analysis of program, circulation, context, and environment, we can potentially organize architectural geometry to more effectively communicate what is believed to be important. Without expected human responses, our collective visual memories, and cognitive mapping. By the agency of contextual learning, a building's users should be able to determine their route and occupation of a space without the need to have a floorplan or view copious signage. Many architects have been experimenting with this technique without specifically identifying the neurological research implications.

In Peter Eisenman's book Diagram Diaries, theorist Robert Somol explains the unique character of what defines a diagram: “it appears in the first instance to operate precisely between form and word,” and it is primarily “a performative rather than a representational device.” To understand the potential of utilizing diagrams to create responsive forms, we can assess the classification, characteristics, and the evaluation criteria of their application. We can also evaluate both the historical utilization of this architectural technique and its implementation in more recent examples.

1.2. CLASSIFICATION

1. Analysis: Analytical diagrams are frequently created post-construction or post-concept, to either compare or explain the spatial qualities of a particular piece of architecture. Typically, these types of diagrams are in search of patterns to reveal spatial divisions or some other spatial device such as phenomenal transparency. This is primarily a mathematical exercise, evaluating standard architectural elements: column, floor, wall, and roof. Colin Rowe was a frequent user of this type of diagram, and Peter Eisenman employed a series of analytical diagrams when generating his house plans during the earlier part of his career.

2. Performance. Of greater concern to neurological research, diagrams should indicate building performance. Typically, a performance based diagram is considered during the conceptual design phase, and, optimistically, before notions of form and typology are generated. Performative diagrams can be characterized by their adherence to three main attributes:

2a. Operation. These diagrams concern the exhibition of programmatic relationships, environmental concerns (daylight, ventilation, solar gain, etc.), and circulation (pedestrian, vehicular, entry, etc.). Contemporary diagrams frequently consider zoning issues, adjacent context, views, and site features.

2b. Geometry. These are the forces that may influence a building's shape: the plasticity of form absent of meaning. This includes gestural manipulations such as “expand, extrude, inflate, branch, merge, nest, offset, bend, and skew.” Architects frequently employ arrows to indicate which geometric forces are employed.

2c. Translation. It is important for the investigation of the operative intent to inform the geometry of the building. One of the major distinctions of what defines a diagram is that it is essentially a drawing in search of a relationship between geometry and some outside force; what Eisenman calls an “external agent.” This is the attribute most important for studying human neurological interpretation for architectural practice, where there is a literal connection between the diagrammatic ideas and the form.

1.3. CHARACTERISTICS

Simplification. The simplification of the diagram is an essential step. Without it, the complexities of the diagrams begin to elicit too many intentions and interpretations. Hierarchy. The strategic determination of the sequence in which forces operate on the geometric form. Drawing Type. Diagrams may be plan, section, axonometric, or perspective; orthogonal or curvilinear, hard-lined or freehand. They can be singular, simultaneous, or sequential. Spatial Elements. Meaning can be injected into geometry via how the architecture is organized and expressed. Cognitive, Human tendencies for comfort and security.

II. HISTORICAL EXAMPLES

Panopticon. A simple and reductive idea: a central guard can view all cells of a prison simultaneously. Guggenheim New York. Wright developed a continuous loop for exhibition with an honest investigation into the conduct of a museum visitor. Carpenter Center. The centralized promenade is designed so that there is a gradual revealing of the programmatic pieces within the building.

III. CONTEMPORARY EXAMPLES

The Broad. The Architects’ investigative procedure involved a direct correlation between their concept: the “wel and the vault,” and the programmatic requirements: the display and the storage of artwork. The Spiral at Hudson Yards. Extending the adjacent High Line Park into the construction of the tower, every floor has an open terrace with park-like qualities. An office tenant may intuitively feel connected to the adjacent office floors and the High Line below. The Couch. Compiling programmatic needs can create legible forms for user groups. This project elucidates how buildings can be more acrobatic, simultaneously responding to multiple influences at one moment via versatile geometry.

IV. AUTHOR'S RESEARCH PROJECTS

Frogtown Riverside Center. An investigation into a site’s most prominent feature, a recreation path, yields a curvilinear multi-story geometry accommodating multiple user groups. ONE Archives at USC Libraries. A programmatic investigation yields distinct interpretable geometries: the archives (solid and closed), an exhibition loop, and modular research and operations spaces.

V. FURTHER RESEARCH

Testing may determine if average people can passively commit geometric diagrams into their spatial memories for using a building. There are parallel investigations into cognitive mapping and the cortical mechanisms of visual processing that could be mutually beneficial. How can we identify evaluation criteria to determine the success of this architectural technique? Possible studies could include evaluating the experiences of two groups within a building, one of which has been given the circulation or programmatic diagram. Another possibility is to test cognitive mapping by exploring the utility of color and edges to delineate clear zones and circulation paths in existing diagrammatic buildings.
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Approach to a Scientific Design Method for Programmable Schools towards ‘NeuroArchitecture’
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ABSTRACT: Parametric/Flux
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

This project synthesizes an ongoing experimental inquiry, the “Programmable School Research Project”, exploring the interaction between the brain, body, built and natural settings to inform affordable but high performance school design for Brazilian rural areas. In combination with biosensors (i.e. EEGs, physiological nanosensors) and environmental sensors (i.e. beacons, SAW, light, humidity, temperature, wind), the impact of school design on pupil outcomes could be measured on-site in both virtual reality visualizations and built settings by means of site-specific advanced design and low-cost prototyping through digital fabrication. Cutting-edge innovations from NBIC approach like algorithmic and generative design, artificial neural networks, rapid prototyping and digital fabrication, scientific visualization and acoustic innovations will allow researchers, pupils and their educational community to experience the consequences of design before it is built by testing their attitudes to specific learning tasks dealing with classroom attentiveness, privacy, socialization, wayfinding or familiarity.

METHODOLOGY

To achieve those objectives, this Project approaches and tests a scientific design method for a convergence between neurosciences and Architecture in Immersive Environments, Coordinator of Projeto Cognitus – Petróleo Brasileiro at CENPES in Rio de Janeiro. Researcher in Arts & Technosciences. Currently Principal at Nosofaco Projetos Especiais Ltda., São Paulo, Brazil.

Relevant bibliography is compiled among Spanish, Portuguese and English literature for the groundings of such an epistemological framework for programmable architecture that could inform a synthetic diagram representing a future school’s design, manufacturing and performance scientific methodology and its practical consequences, relating concepts and theoretical approaches to specific, real problems.

FUTURE IMPLICATIONS

Nowadays, immersive technologies are mostly used for virtually experiencing already built architectures, the approach illustrated here takes advantage of the generative features of algorithmic and parametric design and computer graphics, to test a bunch of possible future school designs (the candidate ‘offspring’) before a single atom is manufactured. In this way, programmable architectures could enable modifying spatial and neurocognitive parameters in real-time to check interdisciplinary correlations.

Customization of school design and educational facilities is attracting great expectations, following neurocognitive high performance levels and understanding architecture as an interpretative, responsive membrane between the human cognition and the natural environment.
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Designing bio-wearable computers to expand interactions between bodily spaces and physical environments
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1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

This research presents and discusses the Design-Neuroscience cooperation in the design of organic interactions with the physical environment afforded by wearable bio-computers. It is based on the contributions of studies related to the paradigm environment / behavior / neuroscience1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 regarding the identification and recognition of neurophysiological information relevant to design research and practice. It also discusses the Neuroscience-Design relationship in the propositional practice of the wearable biocomputer NeuroBodyMimeses addressing the interaction between the body’s user (neurophysiological signals and brain waves), the digital technological system and the physical environment by employing wearable bio-computers. 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13

According to Poissant16, interfaces are becoming more natural and may take, alternately or simultaneously, extensible, enlightening, rehabilitative and filter functions or act as agents of synaesthetic integration. In the opinion of Bureaud15, interfaces, seen as the “regency” of social visibility in physical environments, releasing it, for example, from facing confrontational situations surrounding space, and it can even mimic the visual standards of this environment. Thus, this device intends to give to the user’s visual appearance of its surface, in immediate response to the user’s neurophysiological changes due to their interaction with the user’s emotional state. In situations of increased anxiety and stress, for example, this wearable bio-computer modifies the direct relationship between the physical environment and the user’s body, from the real-time detection and interpretation of complexity, affectiveness and naturalness to an organic scale, in which the neurophysiological information (biological signals) of the users is translated as digital data. It is done to configure an interaction that responds to their emotional state in order to match their body state specifically at that moment of their interaction with the surrounding physical environment.
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As agent of stable mediations between thought and matter, thought and sensibility9, 10, NeuroBodyMimeses enlarges the notions of complexity, affectiveness and naturalness to an organic scale, in which the neurophysiological information (biological signals) of the users is translated as digital data. It is done to configure an interaction that responds to their emotional state in order to match their body state specifically at that moment of their interaction with the surrounding physical environment.

5. CONCLUSION

As “agent of stable mediations between thought and matter, thought and sensibility”, NeuroBodyMimeses enlarges the notions of complexity, affectiveness and naturalness to an organic scale, in which the neurophysiological information (biological signals) of the users is translated as digital data. It is done to configure an interaction that responds to their emotional state in order to match their body state specifically at that moment of their interaction with the surrounding physical environment.

FIG. 1A & B: NeurobodyMimeses Wearable Bio-Computer (© 2012, Zuannon & Lima Jr.)
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