

Glare Study of Highly Reflective Cool Roofing Membrane

Joe Fong, AIA, NCARB, BPAC, LEED AP BD+C

Senior Associate

Diagnostics Group Walter P. Moore and Associates, Inc.

WALTER P MOORE





National Institute of Building Sciences Provider #G168 BEST4 Conference Nibsbest4 • April 13-15, 2015

Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request.

This course is registered with AIA CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.





Learning Objectives

Participants will :

1. Understand the impact of solar exposures in different seasons per the building orientation

2. Identify the reflective index of roofing materials

3. Recognize the appropriate simulation tools in analyzing daylight luminance at interior and glare issues

4. Recognize the appropriate simulation tools in different design stages





EDUCA

NUNG

Enclosure Design Performance Factors





Enclosure Design Performance Defects







Integrated System Analysis

Performance Mandates

• Critical implications for the delivery of this performance mandate

O Some implication for the delivery of this performance mandate

EXAMPLES OF ENVELOPE SYSTEM DESIGN DECISIONS AFFECTING PERFORMANCE							
ENVELOPE	SPATIAL QUALITY	THERMAL QUALITY	AIR QUALITY	ACOUSTIC QUALITY	VISUAL QUALITY	BUILDING INTEGRITY	
Wall / roof / exterior floor							
Exterior surface, material properties		0				•	
Composite materials, thickness	•	0	0	0		•	
Interior surface	0	0	0	•	•	0	
Form: planar, curved	٠	0		•	0	0	
Slope, orientation	•	0		•	•	0	
Module size, shape	•	0				•	
Connection to other env. components	0	•	0	0		•	
Windows / openings							
Material Properties	٠	•		•	٠	•	
Size, shape, spacing	•	•	•	0	•		
Orientation		•	•	0	•	0	
Control systems, sunshading	0	•		0	•	•	
Control systems, heat loss		•				0	
Control systems, ventilation	0	•	•		0	•	
Frame connections, plan/section	0	•	0	0	•	•	
Access, visual and physical	•	0	0		•	•	
Expansion potential (vert/horiz)	0				0		
Change potential for access/image	0				0	0	
Color, texture, ornament	0		0		•	•	





SunPath Diagram







Glare & Daylighting Study

- Location: Dallas, TX
- Building Type: Office (High-rise)
- Orientation: Facing South

Existing Red Modified Bitumen Roofing Membrane







Daylighting & Glare Study

- Sun Path & Solar Reflectance
- Roofing Membrane Reflective Index
- SRI = 84 / Reflectivity = 69%







SunPath Studies



Spring Equinox



Fall Equinox



Summer Solstice



Winter Solstice





Illuminance Values

		RANGE OF ILLU	MINANCES			
TYPE OF ACTIVITY	CATEGORY	LUX	FOOTCANDLES	REFERENCE WORK-PLANE		
Public spaces with dark surroundings	A	20-30-50	2-3-5			
Simple orientation for short temporary visits	В	50-75-100	5-7,5-10	Concerned lighting		
Working spaces where throughout spaces visual tasks are only occasionally performed	С	100-150-200	10-15-20	throughout spaces		
Performance of visual tasks of high contrast or large size	D	200-300-500	20-30-50			
Performance of visual tasks of medium contrast or small size	E	500-750-1000	50-75-100	Illuminance on task		
Performance of visual tasks of low contrast or very small size	F	1000-1500-2000	100-150-200			
Performance of visual tasks of low contrast and very small size over a prolonged period	G	2000-3000-5000	200-300-500			
Performance of very prolonged and exacting visual tasks	Н	5000-7500-10000	500-750-1000	Illuminance on task, obtained by a combination of general and local (supplementary lighting)		
Performance of very special visual tasks of extremely low contrast and small size		10000-15000-20000	1000-1500-2000			





What is Glare?

- Glare is a measure of the physical discomfort of an occupant caused by excessive light or contrast in a specific field of view.
- Brighter luminance, larger source size, and a more-centered location in the viewing field increase probability of experiencing glare.
- First metric which considered large glare sources: the sky viewed through the window.

http://www.gsd.harvard.edu/research/gsdsquare/Publications/2010RadianceWorkshop_GlareIndices.pdf





Definitions

- Luminous Intensity
 - The luminous flux emitted from a source per unit *solid angle*
 - Units = Candela
- Illuminance
 - Surface density of incident Luminous Flux
 - Units = lux
- Luminance
 - Objective brightness
 - Units = candelas/ ft2







Illuminance

Examples				
Illuminance	Surfaces illuminated by:			
10 ⁻⁴ lux	Moonless, overcast night sky (starlight) ^[2]			
0.002 lux	Moonless clear night sky with airglow ^[2]			
0.27-1.0 lux	Full moon on a clear night ^{[2][3]}			
3.4 lux	Dark limit of civil twilight under a clear sky ^[4]			
50 lux	Family living room lights (Australia, 1998) ^[5]			
80 lux	Office building hallway/toilet lighting ^{[6][7]}			
100 lux	Very dark overcast day ^[2]			
320–500 lux	Office lighting ^{[8][9][10]}			
400 lux	Sunrise or sunset on a clear day.			
1,000 lux	Overcast day; ^[2] typical TV studio lighting			
10,000-25,000 lux	Full daylight (not direct sun) ^[2]			
32,000-130,000 lux	Direct sunlight			

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lux





Illuminance







Glare Studies (March 21 Vs. June 21)









Recommendations

- Blinds
- Tinted Film
- Canopy
- Dynamic Glass











FDUCA

NUNG

Recommendations

With or Without Canopy

BASE CASE: 146. Existing		145. Canopy Study	
Ð	61	+	
			
+			
Summary Energy Facade V Useful Illum. Clear Sky	/indow Comfort Daylight Glare Nat. Vent. Cost Overcast Sky	Tabular	
Render Date: Mar v Fidelity 21 v Camera	Falsecolor:		C DGI
pak DGI: 0		DGI: 0	
DGI: 0		Def: 0	
DGI: 0		Ded: 0	



Recommendations

• Canopy Vs. Existing Vs. Tinted Glass Vs. Dynamic Glass





One Tool Fits All?

Interoperability







Simulation Tools for Performance Analysis

	ANALYSIS						
SOFTWARE	Daylighting	Heat Gain / Loss	Thermal Bridging	Condensation	Thermal Comfort	Energy Usage	CFD
Revit / Vasari	X	X				X	
Climate Consultant	Х	Х					
Ecotect	X	X			Х	X	
Rhino / DIVA	X						
ComFen	Х					Х	
IES VE	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х
DesignBuilder	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х
Therm		Х	Х				
WUFI		Х		Х			

Conceptual / Schematic Design Stage

Schematic / Design Development Stage

Design Development / Construction Document Stage





Conclusions

- To understand the solar path and the sun angles can impact daylighting and glare
- To narrow down the areas based on the orientation, floor height, solar impact hours and seasons to optimize the computational time
- Materials properties and total numbers of light reflection bounds is crucial for the input
- No one tool can analyze all the performance factors during different design stages





Questions

- Jfong@walterpmoore.com
- 713-630-7300

THANK YOU!

