

fMRI Study of Architecturally-Induced Contemplative States

JULIO BERMUDEZ, PH.D. The Catholic University of America, School of Architecture, bermudez@cua.edu Washington DC

David Krizaj, Ph.D.¹; David Lipschitz, Ph.D.²; Debborah Yurgelun-Todd, Ph.D.¹; Yoshino Nakamura, Ph.D.² University of Utah, School of Medicine¹; University of Utah, Department of Anesthesiology² Salt Lake City, UT

Within the context of contemporary neuroscience and clinical research in meditation, this pilot study uses fMRI scans to gauge and compare the neurophenomenological response that contemplative and ordinary buildings elicit from 12 subjects. The result indicates not only that there are clearly different reactions to the two types of built environments but also, and more significantly, that the phenomenological and neural correlates of the architecturally-induced contemplation share many similarities with internally-generated meditation while displaying important differences that have more in common with peak/ flow/aesthetic psychosomatic states than with meditative conditions

1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

This interdisciplinary investigation tests whether the perception of environments designed for contemplation elicit brain activations similar to those found under contemplative states. If architecture is shown to be an effective 'external method' to facilitate contemplation, then the beneficial effects of internally-driven contemplative practices (e.g., prayer, meditation) shown by recent neuroscience and clinical research [1] could be extended to long term exposure to buildings designed for that purpose. In this sense, this effort addresses a missing dimension in today's contemplative neuroscience that focuses overwhelmingly on meditative experiences gained through internally-induced (self-directed) methods, while also helping to construct an empirical foundation for evidence-based design. Our long-term goal is to investigate cognitive, emotional, and health effects of contemplative/sacred architecture on the wider population.

In order to test the hypothesis that buildings designed for contemplation elicit neuro-signatures associated with meditative states, we devised a pilot project combining a functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) based experiment and current neuroscience literature on meditative states. We recruited 12 architects and asked them to view images of ordinary buildings ('Control' Block = school, office, house, etc.) and contemplation-inducing ('Experimental' Block = temple, retreat, church, etc.) edifices while their brains were being scanned. A building was depicted through 4 images at 20 seconds each (totaling 80 sec) separated from the next set by a 40 sec recovery period (gray plate). Each Block started with a Baseline period in which a gray color plate was presented for 60 sec. There was a short questionnaire after each Block and a 20-minute Exit Interview intended to collect behavioral/psychological data. A second Control came from the published record of neuroscience research on meditation-related practices.[2]

Our data, based on regression analyses and activation contrasts between Control and Experiment in coordination with psycho-behavioral responses, show that contemplative buildings (a) induce markedly distinct phenomenological states and neural activations than ordinary buildings (measurable in the differential engagement of the Whole Brain, Frontal Lobe, Orbitofrontal Cortex, Inferior Parietal Lobule, Insula, and Cingulate Gyrus); (b) allow subjects to enter into a meditative state with diminishing levels of anxiety and mind wandering; and (c) activate subjects' cortical regions of sensory-motor and emotional integration, non-judgementality, and embodiment. Additionally, we found that depth of contemplative experience was correlated with the deactivation of major cerebral regions, noticeably the Prefrontal Cortex. This indicates that while the phenomenological and neural correlates of the architecturally-induced contemplation share some similarities with internally-generated meditation (particularly of the Open Monitoring type), they also exhibit considerable differences that find better correspondence with peak/flow psycho-somatic states and profound aesthetic experiences.[3]

This research project (a) extends our understanding of alternative means to foster contemplation at an individual and collective scale; (b) opens new avenues of investigation in neuroscience; (c) advances the scientific investigation of architecture (contemplative and otherwise); and (d) provides an empirical foundation for the impacts of centuries' old architectural traditions on human phenomenology.

2. REFERENCES

- [1] Baron-Short, E. et al. (2010) Regional brain activation during meditation shows time and practice effects: an exploratory fMRI study. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 7(1):121-7
- Brefczynski-Lewis, J. A. et al. (2007) Neural correlates of attentional expertise in long-term meditation practitioners. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104 (27): 11483-8.
- Grant, J.A. et al (2010) A non-elaborate mental stance and decoupling of executive and pain-related cortices predict low pain sensitivity in Zen meditators. PAIN 152(1): 150-6
- Hötzel, B.K. et al (2010) Mindfulness practice leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging 191(1): 36-43
- Jacobs, T.L. et al (2011) Intensive mediation training, immune cell telomerase activity, and psychological mediators. Psychoneuroendocrinology 36(5): 664-81.
- Moore, A. and Malinowski, P. (2009) Meditation, mindfulness and cognitive flexibility. Consciousness and Cognition 18:176– 186
- Slagter, H.A. et al. (2007) Mental training affects distribution of limited brain resources. PLoS Biol 5(6): e138
- Thompson, R.W. et al. (2011) Conceptualizing Mindfulness and Acceptance as Components of Psychological Resilience to Trauma. Trauma, Violence and Abuse 12(4): 220-235
- [2] Cahn, B.R.and Polich, J. (2006) Meditation States and Traits: EEG, ERP, and Neuroimaging Studies. Psychological Bulletin, 132(2): 180–211
- Farb, N.A.S. et al (2007) Attending to the present: mindfulness meditation reveals distinct neural modes of self-reference. SCAN 2:313–322
- Lutz, A. et al (2008) Attention regulation and monitoring in meditation. Trends Cogn Sci. 12(4):163-9.
- Manna, A. et al. (2010) Neural correlates of focused attention and cognitive monitoring in meditation. Brain Research Bulletin 82: 46–56
- [3] d'Aquili E.G. and Andrew B. Newberg A.B. (2000) The neuropsychology of aesthetic, spiritual, and mystical states. Zygon, 35: 1, 39-51
- Dietrich, A. (2004) Neurocognitive mechanisms underlying the experience of flow. Consciousness and Cognition 13: 746–761
- Di Dio, C and Gallese V (2009) Neuroaesthetics: a review. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 19:682-687

3. AUTHOR BIOS

Julio Bermudez, Ph.D., is Associate Professor at The Catholic University of America School of Architecture and Planning where he directs the Sacred Space and Cultural Studies graduate program. Bermudez's research focuses on architectural phenomenology and the relationship between architecture and spirituality. He has lectured, led symposia, and published articles in these areas nationally and internationally. His book "Transcending Architecture. Contemporary Views on Sacred Space" (published by CUA Press) will be released in January 2015. Dr. Bermudez co-founded the Forum for Architecture, Culture and Spirituality in 2007 (www.acsforum.org).

David Krizaj, Ph.D. is Associate Professor at the Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences and the Department of Physiology, at the University of Utah School of Medicine. He is also the Deputy Director of Research at the University of Utah John Moran Eye Center. Dr. Krizaj's expertise is on visual neuroscience and physiology. He has published in these areas extensively and is the principal investigator on projects currently supported by the NIH/NEI, the Department of Defense (TATRC) and private foundations.

David Lipschitz, **Ph.D.** is a Post-doctoral Research Associate in the Department of Anesthesiology's Pain Research Center at the University of Utah. His areas of specialty are neuroendocrinology and sensory neuroscience. He is presently supporting several research projects in these areas. Dr. Lipschitz's record include many peer-reviewed publications, experimental design, research logistics support, etc.

Deborah Yurgelun-Todd, Ph.D., is Professor of Psychiatry and the Director of the Cognitive Neuroimaging Laboratory at the University of Utah School of Medicine and the Associate Director of the MIRECC (Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Centers) in the VA Health Care System in Salt Lake City, UT. Her area of expertise is MR imaging methods, and emotional and cognitive processing. She has received many awards and recognitions and her publication record includes over 240 articles.

Yoshio Nakamura, Ph.D, is Research Associate Professor at University of Utah Department of Anesthesiology and Director of the Utah Center for Exploring Mind-Body Interactions. His expertise is on mind-body research, pain research and cognitive psychology, areas in which he has published widely. Dr. Nakamurra is currently investigating potential benefits of a novel mind-body intervention program called "mind-body bridging" in two ongoing VA projects supported by Department of Defense funding.