

Neural Responses to Restorative Environments: An Eye Tracking and fMRI Study

JOEL MARTINEZ SOTO Universidad de Guanajuato, Psychology Department, Campus Leon, Guanajuato, 37670, México, įmartinezsoto@ugto.mx

NANNI, M., LEOPOLDO GONZALES-SANTOS, ERICK PASAYE, FERNANDO BARRIOS Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Instituto de Neurobiologia, Queretaro, 76230, México

With the aim of measure the neural responses to restorative environments, it has been developed and tested an experimental paradigm of psychological restoration suitable for neuroimaging environments. The paradigm includes conditions of psychological stress and environmental restoration. Additionally, we use fMRI coupled with the eye tracking technology to ask whether visual perception differs between scenes that are highly restorative and scenes that are less restorative. The findings support the evidence of several cognitive and emotive physiological indicators related to the stress and restoration condition.

1. EXTENDED ABSTRACT

1.1. INTRODUCTION

The neuro-architecture perspective proposes a new discipline that unites neuroscience with the experience of built environments (Edelstein & Marks 2007). The neuroscience research in restorative environments constitutes an approach useful to understand the neural basis of human-environmental transactions that promote human wellbeing. To date, little is known about the neural circuitry involved in the process of psychological restoration; so more studies are needed in order to investigate the neural correlates of psychological restoration in combination with other physiological restoration measures. These kinds of measures are useful to document this phenomenon through multiple levels of analysis and methodologies; which are convenient to ensure validity criteria for this research (Campbell & Fiske, 1959). Psychological restoration is the result of the recovery from an antecedent deficit (e.g. stress or attentional fatigue) following the exposure to a restorative environment (Kaplan & Talbot 1983). According to attention restoration theory (Kaplan 1995), restorative environments must offer a serie of perceived qualities that facilitate the restoration of attentional fatigue. These environments, usually naturals, offer a particular kind of soft stimulation that does not requiere directed attention contrary to some chaotic urban environments where the stimulus complexity represent a higher demand in the attentional resources. With the aim of explore and validate the neural basis of a psychological restoration process we proposed a fMRI methodology coupled with eye tracking. Our design considers three basic assumptions: (1) the antecedent condition from which a person might restore (e.g. affective and/or cognitive deficit); (2) the environment which the person enters during the time available for restoration (high vs. low restorative potential environments; HRP and LRP respectively) and (3) the outcomes that reflect on actual or potential changes in resources and/or components of the experience which mediate those changes (brain responses during the view of this environments and patterns of eye movements as physiological indicators of cognitive and emotional process related to psychological restoration). A paradigm suitable for neuroimaging environments, which includes a psychological stressor (stressful video) and the exposition to pictures with low and high restorative potential (LRP and HRP respectively) was developed and tested. According to this design, it was expected the activation of brain areas related to stress response. Given the exploratory character of this study, no specific predictions were made toward the brain activations involved with the exposure to LRP and HRP environments. Finally, we use fMRI coupled with the eye tracking technology to ask whether visual perception (saccades, fixations and pupil size) differs between scenes that are highly restorative (HRP, e.g. natural settings) and scenes that are less restorative (LRP; e.g. urban settings without nature).

1.2. METHODS

Participants include 24 clinically healthy male volunteers (18 to 40 years old) residing in urban communities of the Mexican state of Querétaro. They were assessed at the magnetic resonance unit of the Institute of Neurobiology, UNAM. MRI acquisition and image processing methods were recorded with the participants before and after the exposure to HRP and LRP environments. A ViewPoint EyeTracker® was used to record the eye movements (right eye) of the participants during the exposure to HRE and LRP. The restorative

influence of these scenarios was tested before and following a period of acute psychological stress induced by means of aversive movie watching with a self-report stress scale.

1.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Brain functional connectivity analysis confirmed the successful stress induction considering the psychological stressor. On the other hand, the results in general suggest the activation of different brain areas during the view of LRP and HRP scenes. Briefly, brain areas relating to novelty seeking behaviour-exploration (e.g. frontal lobe; Dafner et al. 2000), spatial information (middle frontal gyrus; Leung, Gore, and Goldman-Rakic 2002) and awareness of emotionally charged stimuli (Phillips et al. 1998) were predominantly activated during the view of HRP environments. In the case of the LRP category, the results showed brain areas activation related to approximation-avoidance emotion (right superior frontal gyrus, Paradiso et al. 1999), episodic memory, visual attention processes (precuneus, Fletcher et al. 1995), processing the geometric structure of built environments (parahippocampal gyrus; Epstein 2005) and organization of behavior (Pearson et al. 2011). Significative differences were found in the eye patterns (saccades, fixations and pupilar size) during the view of HRP vs. LRP environments. Atributed eye pattern to HRP environments as suggested by the Kaplan's attention restoration theory. Conversely, more fixations occurred in the LRP than in the HRP condition, suggesting a major attentional effort during the visual process of LRP stimulus.

2. REFERENCES

- Campbell, D.T. and Fiske, D.W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. Psychological Bulletin, 56, 81-105.
- Dafner, K., M. Mesulam, L. Scinto, D. Acar, V. Calvo, R. Faust, A. Chabrerie, B. Kennedy, and P. Holcomb. (2000). The Central Role of the Prefrontal Cortex in Directing Attention to Novel Events. Brain, 123 (5), 927–939.
- Edelstein, E. A., and F. Marks. (2007). Lab Design and the Brain: Translating Physiological and Neurological Evidence into Design. Laboratory Design Handbook. Rockaway, NJ.
- Epstein, R. A. (2005). The Cortical Basis of Visual Scene Processing. Visual Cognition 12 (6), 954–978.
- Fletcher, P., C. Frith, S. Baker, T. Shallice, R. Frackowiak, and R. Dolan (1995). The Mind's Eye-Precuneus Activation in Memory Related Imagery, NeuroImage, 2 (3), 195–200.
- Kaplan, S. (1995). The restorative benefits of nature: Toward an integrative framework. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 15, 169-182.
- Kaplan, S. & Talbot, J. (1983). Psychological benefits of a wilderness experience. En I. Altman y J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Behavior and the natural environment (pp. 163-203). New York: Plenum.
- Leung, H., J. Gore, and P. Goldman-Rakic. (2002). Sustained Mnemonic Response in the Human Middle Frontal Gyrus During On-Line Storage of Spatial Memoranda. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 14 (4), 659–671.
- Paradiso, S., D. L. Johnson, N. C. Andreasen, D. S. O'Leary, G. L. Watkins, L. L. Ponto, and R. D. Hichwa. (1999). Cerebral Blood Flow Changes Associated with Attribution of Emotional Valence to Pleasant, Unpleasant, and Neutral Visual Stimuli in a PET Study of Normal Subjects. American Journal of Psychiatry, 156 (10), 1618–1629.
- Pearson, J., S. R. Heilbronner, D. L. Barack, B. Y. Hayden, and M. L. Platt (2011). Posterior Cingulate Cortex: Adapting Behavior to a Changing World. Trends in Cognitive Science 15 (4), 143–151.
- Phillips, M. L., A. W. Young, S. K. Scott, A. J. Calder, C. Andrew, V. Giampietro, S. C. Williams, E. T. Bullmore, M. Brammer, and J. A. Gray (1998). Neural Responses to Facial and Vocal Expressions of Fear and Disgust. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences, 265 (1408), 1809–1817.

3. AUTHOR BIOS

Joel Martínez-Soto. Professor and Researcher at the Psychology Department of Universidad de Guanajuato, México. Professor Martínez-Soto is an environmental psychologist who studies the transactions to the built and natural environments that are beneficial for the human development. His main focus of interest is the application of neuroimage fMRI techniques to assess human brain responses to restorative environments.