

National Institute of Building Sciences Provider #G168 BEST4 Conference Nibsbest4 • April 13-15, 2015

Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request.

This course is registered with AIA CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.





Learning Objectives

Participants will :

1. Learn how to link the performance of individual building enclosure components in a holistic framework to achieve high-performance buildings.

2. Explore, through built case studies, how building envelope design determines overall energy conservation and sustainability capabilities

3. Learn innovative practices for avoiding heat loss as well as moisture and air infiltration in enclosure design for healthy new and existing buildings.



4. Understand the role of building enclosure commissioning in the design, construction, and operation and maintenance of commercial facilities.



THERMAL AND ENERGY PERFORMANCE OF AUTOCLAVED AERATED CONCRETE (AAC)

Nitin Shukla, Diana Elliott, Bryan Urban, Ali Fallahi and Jan Kosny







Overview

- Background
- Experiments
- Simulation (Thermal + Energy)
- Results
- Conclusions





Background





Aerated Autoclaved Concrete

AAC is "a cementitious product based on calcium silicate hydrates in which low density is attained by the inclusion of an agent resulting in macroscopic voids and is subjected to high pressure steam curing ..." *





*According to ASTM C1386 Standard Specification for Precast Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (AAC) Wall Construction Unit



Aerated Autoclaved Concrete

 Invented in mid 1920s by Swedish Architect

Advantages

- Lighter weight
 - 20% the weight of concrete
 - Up to 80% air by volume
- Lower transport cost
- Easier to shape with tools onsite
- Good acoustic properties
- Insulating and energy savings?









Building Energy Codes Provide thermal mass credit for certain weight classes of concrete. These may fail to represent the insulating benefits of lightweight concrete.





Thermal Mass Credit in ASHRAE 90.1 and 90.2 & IECC

IECC Insulation Requirement for a Mass Wall (From Table R402.1) (ICC 2012)

Climate Zone	Mass Wall R-Value ¹		
1	3/4		
2	4/6		
3	8/13		
4 except Marine	8/13		
5 & Marine 4	13/17		
6	15/20		
7&8	19/21		

¹ Second R-value applies when more than half of the insulation is on the interior of the mass wall.







Data Gaps Exist Thermal properties of some types of lightweight concrete were not well represented in the literature.





AAC Concrete Strength Classes

Concrete Class Strength (MPa)	Nominal Dry Bulk Density, kg/m ³	Density Limits, kg/m ³	Average Drying Shrinkage, %		
AAC-2	400	350-450			
	500	450-550			
AAC-4	500	450-550			
	600	550-650			
	700	650-750	≥ 0.02		
AAC-6	600	550-650			
	700	650-750			
	800	750-850			
ermal conductivity issing from the lite	/ measurements rature				





Experiment





Experimental Approach

- Receive batch of 14 AAC-4/500 samples from manufacturer
- Dry samples in thermal chamber until weight reaches equilibrium
 - We used 52.5 °C and 5% RH to prevent condensation on the isothermal plates during testing
- Weigh samples (to measure density)
- Measure thermal conductivity in Heat Flow Meter Apparatus
- Weigh samples to confirm moisture content has not changed







Thermal Conductivity of Concrete vs. Density



SEDUCATION SECOND



Simulation





Simulation Challenges 3D heat transfer not easily modeled in whole building simulation tools. Material descriptions must be 1D layers.





Equivalent Wall Theory

 Represent 3D assemblies as a series of fictitious 1D material layers that produce the same thermal response









3D Simulation of Concrete with Mortar Joint







Simulation Cases

- DOE Reference Building for Mid-Rise apartment building
- ASHRAE Climate Zones 4 and 5
 - New York and New Jersey
 - Cold winters, warm summers
- 5 Wall Configurations
 - 3 CMU configurations
 - 2 AAC configurations







Exterior Wall Configurations

Concrete Masonry Units

- 200mm CMU + 63mm XPS
- 250mm CMU + 63mm XPS
- 300mm CMU with vermiculite core

Aerated Autoclaved Concrete

- 250mm AAC
- 300mm AAC









Wall Configurations with Equivalent Layer Simulation Properties

Wall Assembl	у	Thickness (mm)	Conductivity k (W/m·K)	Density (kg/m ³)	Specific Heat (kJ/kg·K)	Surface-to- surface R-SI (R-value)
200mm (CMU +	25.4	0.015	1600	0.04	2.37
63mm XI	PS	25.4	0.043	1600	0.04	
		25.4	1.019	1600	0.62	
		25.4	0.175	1600	3.17	
250mm C	CMU +	25.4	0.015	1600	0.05	2.39
63mm XI	PS	25.4	0.044	1600	0.05	
		25.4	1.003	1600	0.66	
		25.4	0.155	1600	4.27	
300mm (CMU	25.4	0.259	1600	2.38	0.59
with vern	niculite	25.4	0.120	1600	1.33	
core		25.4	0.130	1600	0.99	
		25.4	0.225	1600	3.35	
250mm A	AC	250.8	0.114	450	0.84	2.09
300tm m A	AC	301.6	0.114	450	0.84	2.51







°C

Interior South Wall Surface Temperatures on a Winter Day











Annual Cooling Loads



Conclusions

- Data gaps in the thermal conductivity of AAC were identified
- This resulted in lack of acceptance of lightweight AAC in thermal mass credits
- We measured thermal conductivity of concrete samples to fill the data gap. Results matched theoretical expectation fairly well.
- We used the data to simulate thermal performance of AAC and CMU wall cases in several climates.
- AAC wall systems performed comparably to insulated CMUs, suggesting that thermal mass credit may be appropriate for lightweight AAC-4 blocks.

Thanks!

