# **OHermanMiller**<sub>for Healthcare</sub>

# **Planning a Nurse Station for Clinical Function**

Most of what has been written about planning nurse stations has to do with access, traffic flow, aesthetics, and privacy for patients. That's because nurse stations tend to be thought of strictly as administrative desk areas. There are, of course, numerous important administrative tasks occurring in this environment. However, the clinically related functions that need to be performed within the nurse station can have a critical and direct impact on patient care and safety. Yet it's difficult to find anything published regarding planning for the clinical aspects of the nurse station function.

The typical nurse station conjures up images of clutter, noise, and varying degrees of disorganization to utter chaos. How many times have you revisited a nurse station that was recently renovated only to find that it has been significantly modified by the staff? Portable chart holders, letter trays, and homemade forms trays litter the beautiful new counter top. But careful examination of what is taking place in the station reveals the logic behind the clutter and chaos. Those stacks of charts by the unit secretary are indeed there for a reason other than not having been filed yet. The homemade forms trays were born out of the need to have ready access to a few critical forms during busy times of the day.

And how many times have you heard: "This station doesn't work well. If only they had consulted me before they built it."

Even if you ask the staff, do they tell you what you need to know? For example, if you ask what doesn't work well, you may hear: "This drawer doesn't open all the way," or "I don't have enough charting space."

If you ask: "What kind of station do you need?" you might get: "Make it bigger." "Build the walls higher." "Let me see everywhere."

Are these the real issues you need to hear? Or are they merely symptoms of bigger issues?

This paper is intended to be used as a guide through the planning and programming of acute care nurse stations by:

- Assisting staff in thinking through their work processes.
- Helping to prioritize functions and needs.
- Incorporating details into the plan rather than modifying the station after it is finished.

Inpatient centralized nurse stations have the highest concentration of people in the smallest footprint. This footprint is frequently determined by the architectural spaces surrounding it. For example, an Emergency Department nurse station often floats in the middle of the space. As space needs become tighter, this footprint may shrink to make room for additional space needed for patient care.



Nurse station "floats" in the center of Emergency Department floor plan.

At the same time, as the complexity of clinical functions multiply (such as in the Emergency Department) or the acuity level of the patients increase (such as in a Critical Care Unit), the clinically related functions intensify as well.

It is, therefore, vital to consider the functional areas - both clinical and administrative - needed within a nurse station while planning and finalizing the footprint.

#### **Functional Considerations**

#### 1. Functional Work Zones

#### Work Process:

No matter how large or small the nurse station may be, there are functional zones required as part of every nurse station.



Work zones for Critical Care nurse station.

Unit Secretary Zone: The unit secretary is the hub of any nurse station. Even in facilities with decentralized nurse stations, the function of unit secretary remains essential.

If you ask unit secretaries what they would like to change about their station, the overwhelming response is "Keep everyone out of my workspace! The doctors and nurses are constantly using my equipment and supplies."

#### Key Planning Issues:

When programming, review all items currently within the space and separate the supplies and equipment that are used solely by the secretary and those that are to be shared. For example, all staff commonly use an addressograph and/or label printer. These can be placed further away from the secretary's telephone and computer.

The average unit secretary workstation needs six to eight linear feet of work surface for all functions, equipment, and supplies.

Minimum equipment and supplies needed in the unit secretary's station include:

- Telephone(s)
- Nurse call console
- Form storage for quick access and visibility
- Other paper storage that can be housed in filing drawers or cabinets
- Computer and printers one to three printers are required depending on the hospital's IS system
- In and out boxes for mail and interdepartmental use
- Specimen drop-off if there is no pneumatic tube system that transports specimens

Equipment shared between unit secretary and nursing staff:

- Addressograph
- Fax
- Lab report printer
- Patient tracking board
- Tackable surfaces
- Pneumatic tubes

Nursing Staff Zone: Since the workspace is generally shared, planning the amount of workspace needed is dictated by the number of computer terminals as well as how many writing spaces you can fit into the footprint. Amount of staff varies according to:

- Size and type of unit
- Time of day
- Type of care delivery system being used by the facility

Equipment and supply needs for nursing include:

- Patient education forms
- Computers
- Charting spaces
- Telephones
- Tackable surfaces
- Reference books and policy binders
- Typical paper office supplies

Physician Work Zone: Generally speaking, an area within the nurse station is provided for physician dictation. Each dictation area should be 36 to 48 inches wide depending on whether each area has a computer. Physician workstations should be placed in an area that does not have direct access to the open spaces of the station. Privacy for the physician staff is ideal. This could be accomplished either by placing them in the back of the station or using partial-height walls as a visual and/or acoustical barrier.

Requirements for physicians include:

- Charting space
- Computer(s)
- Telephone
- Small amount of forms storage

# 2. Chart Processing and Management *Work Process:*

The charting process is a dynamic activity that occurs every time someone needs to make notes, write orders, etc., in a patient chart. Chart management within a nurse station is critical to the quality of patient care delivery. Misplaced charts can cause medication errors, delay of treatment, and

other patient safety issues.

Charting space is defined as anywhere a chart can be placed and written on. Standing or sitting is secondary to writing a quick note or needing a quick reference.



Note the ergonomics and safety issues of this charting activity.

The impact of technology has made actual charting space even more precious. Computer terminals and other pieces of equipment are demanding more workspace than ever. As long as a dual system of hard copy charts and electronic charting coexist, the dilemma of a lot of space needed for charts will continue.

#### Key Planning Issues:

Incorporating the charting process in the planning of the nurse station casework or furniture assists the staff in organizing their workflow, identifies storage and access requirements, and helps prevent the new station from becoming cluttered with extra or homemade accessories after the installation is complete.

- The chart storage rack should contain enough slots for every bed on the unit and often a few additional slots.
- Each inpatient binder needs a minimum of three inches of shelf space.

- There needs to be a designated spot or shelf for charts with orders from physicians.
- A secondary space (either at the unit secretary station or close by the nurse charting area) should be designated for charts that the secretary has handled and are ready for the nurse to review and sign.
- When planning, be sure to have all computers and other equipment identified in your plan. When possible, leave enough workspace between terminals for a spot to place a chart. At least 42 to 48 inches of surface is recommended per workspace.



*Typical charting locations in an acute care nurse station.* 

• Use of vertical space can help maximize the horizontal workspace you have to work with. For example, creating an equipment stack for printers, fax machines, etc., can free up valuable workspace.



Equipment stack, monitors, printers, and fax storage.

#### 3. Monitoring of Patients

#### Work Process:

Monitors, commonly known as cardiac monitors, monitor a variety of physiological functions. In recent years, they have become more automated as well as smaller. More patients can be monitored on a single computer screen so the actual number of monitors is decreasing. Monitors are commonly found in nurse stations within the Emergency Department, Critical Care Units, and Step-Down Units.

#### Key Planning Issues:

Some questions that need to be answered:

- Does the staff need to have ready access to the screens?
- Are there printers such as strip chart recorders?
- How many monitors will be needed?
- If new, do they have specifications that include dimensions?

# 4. Medication Preparation Areas *Work Process:*

Sometimes the architecture of Patient Care Units include a med prep area within the nurse station rather than in an enclosed medication room. In either case, there are some essential points to consider.



Typical med prep layout.

#### Key Planning Issues:

There are requirements for this space such as a hand-washing sink and a refrigerator to store certain medications. And there are functional recommendations that contribute to decreasing the potential for medication errors such as having adequate, uncluttered work space.

- A small undercounter refrigerator.
- Hand-washing sink.

- Supplies for preparing medications such as alcohol wipes, needles, syringes (single lock frequently required).
- A minimum of four feet of clear workspace.
- Shelf storage for reference books.
- Tackable surface for bulletins and guidelines.
- Medication and narcotic storage. If the facility is using an automated dispensing unit, a minimum space for each unit requires 24 inches wide by 30 inches deep.
- If an automated dispensing unit is not used, you will need to provide a double-locked narcotics container.

Many med prep areas also contain IV solutions and supplies such as tubing.

- There are different types of IVs needing storage including plain IV solutions and premixed solutions coming from Pharmacy. Careful programming will need to be done with these products.
- The ideal space for med prep is eight linear feet of space (not including IV storage or automated dispensing machines).

### **Other Issues**

**Visibility:** The ability for staff to see out of the station varies according to the function of the department and the actual location of the station.

The primary visibility functions for patients and visitors include:

- A point of contact (generally the unit secretary) that is visible from the point of entry into the unit for meeting and greeting.
- A contact point for patients and visitors who are already on the unit.
- Wayfinding during the evening and night hours when the lights are dimmed.
- In the Emergency Department, visibility is essential to major treatment and trauma rooms. Most stations are planned to be central to these functions. Keeping the counter tops in this area at stand-up height or using glass in partial-height walls will facilitate visibility.
- In Patient Care Units, the average unit has a central station typically located at the hub of two to four long corridors. Visibility to patient rooms is limited; however, there is a need for staff to be able to see activity surrounding the station.
- In Critical Care Units, visual access for observation of all patients is required. This is accomplished either by direct or remote visualization through the use of a camera/monitor

system. If remote access is used, space for the monitors needs to be planned for.

Noise Control and Privacy: Noise control, privacy, visibility, and access are interrelated issues. Privacy and visibility are often juxtaposed in the planning process. The ability for the user groups to prioritize these needs as plans progress is critical!

Nurse station privacy issues include patient confidentiality and access to staff.

- Eighty percent of the acoustics come from the floor and ceiling. Ceiling treatment and the use of a good floor covering will go a long way in this area. Planning sound absorbing surfaces in the furniture as well as the ceiling and floors will help.
- Plan work zones for each functional area. For example, placing support staff away from the unit secretary hub will keep noise away from those that must use the phones frequently.
- If you have a hard wall, placing workstations against the wall facing away from the front of the station not only decreases noise but can increase privacy.
- Patient tracking boards and patient charts should be placed in areas where the public does not have direct access.
- If you are using a modular solution for the station, the use of variable height walls can be a great help.
- Glass as a barrier allows visibility while providing a barrier to keep people from leaning into the station a solution to privacy in general as well.
- Creating small workstations with panel divisions can provide for individual privacy.

Traffic Flow: Access needs to be provided according to the traffic flow from the patient care areas while simultaneously considering traffic control from the public areas, as well as not compromising the amount of workspace inside the station. For each opening into the station, you will be giving up three feet of functional interior workspace.

Aesthetics: The aesthetics of a nurse station are more than an attractive exterior of the station. If clutter distracts the eye, all efforts toward a pleasing aesthetic are defeated. An aesthetically pleasing nurse station provides a calmer work environment as well as a less intimidating place for patients and visitors to approach. Flexibility: Since technology and related work processes seem to be changing faster than one can build a modification into the nurse station, it is wise to keep flexibility in mind when planning the station. Flexibility offers the ability to be in ADA compliance with the capability to use adjustable components. Cable management, surfaces that can be used for additional pieces of equipment, etc., need to be considered. Many people are considering a modular solution in order to keep up with this ever changing environment.

In Summary: Most nurse stations are not "just an administrative" function. Careful planning with a great deal of staff input will produce a finished product that can have the following results:

- Less chaos improved, accurate communications.
- Better ergonomics less worker stress and fatigue.
- Regulation compliance positive inspection results.
- Pleasant aesthetics positive public image.
- Modularity of design able to economically change the work environment as equipment, technology, and work functions evolve.

#### Bibliography

- David R. Porter. Hospital Architecture: Guidelines for Design and Renovation, Health Administration Press, 1982.
- 2. Janet Riezenstein Carpman, Myron A. Grant, and Deborah A. Simmons. Designs That Care: Planning Health Facilities for Patients and Visitors, American Hospital Publishing, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the American Hospital Association, 1986.
- Guidelines for Design and Construction of Hospitals and Healthcare Facilities, American Institute of Architects, 1996.

Planning a Nurse Station for Clinical Function By Linda A. Greenberg RN BSN, Herman Miller for Healthcare

#### **Planning and Designing Health-Care Facilities for Maximum Patient Value** *by David W. Goff, FACHE*

As one enters an American hospital built within the past 10 years, the appearance is that of a high-tech, state-of-the-art temple of healing that is dramatically different from its older counterpart. While much is different from the old, the basic departmentalization and space configuration of the hospital facility has not changed substantially. However, more dramatic design changes will be required in the future as lower operating costs become incr4asingly necessary.

The intent of this paper is not to infer that all capital expenditures for new and renovated hospital space have resulted in sub-optimal result, nor to offend everyone who has been involved in projects over the past several years. The intent rather is to challenge those contemplating future capital projects to re-examine the traditional process of space planning and to define more clearly the required end product.

To achieve substantial change in operating costs and functionality in new space, the traditional planning process must be dramatically changed. From the onset, those involved must focus intently on the role space design and configuration play in the efficient processing of patients through the health-care process.

For the purposes of this discussion, assume that all the reasons for contemplating a replacement facility or significant new space are legitimate. It is at this point that the organization's leaders must clearly define realistic—and extremely challenging--performance objectives for the project if the financial investment is going to be economically viable in a future of severely limited operational resources.

The primary challenge for the organization's leadership is to focus on future clinical and economic requirements of health-care delivery, rather than existing facility shortcomings. If the leadership does not shape the discussion firmly in the beginning, the process that follows may be driven by a "replacement" mentality. The process described herein will lead to a nontraditional structure that *may* cost more initially, but will more than offset its higher first cost through reduced annual operating expense.

First, leadership should establish a goal of identifying verifiable operating cost savings that offset increases in debt service and depreciation expense associated with the capital project. Although identifying this kind of savings may not always be possible, management should always be challenged to do so. .Historically, significant innovation in design and organization to reduce operating cost has not been a standard practice. It has been easier to justify cost increases and identify ways to pay for them; however, the payers of health care will not allow this pattern to continue in the future.

Key decision-makers (senior management, boards, and physician leadership) should consider adopting a clear process for planning major space changes—such as the one below--*before* there is any announcement of a decision to embark upon a major building program.

# **Preplanning process**

A. Formally define- in detail--all reasons for deciding that a new facility or space, or a renovated space, is the best option to follow. This may appear to be a redundant step,

but it is necessary as a part of this overall pre-launch exercise. It requires more than simply listing all the problems with existing space.

- B. Define the future. Any building constructed today is expected to be in service for at least the life of the financing mechanism used. Medical advances anticipated over the next 20 to 30 years definitely will affect the type of space needed to deliver services. In addition, administrative requirements will change as much or more than clinical requirements.
- C. *Look back.* Reflect upon the changes in medicine over the past 30 years, particularly as they have affected the organization's physical facilities, and calculate the cumulative cost of building and maintaining space over its useful life. Learn from past mistakes as well as from successes in space design. A detailed analysis of this historical data will prove extremely educational to the leadership as they begin to define the goals and objectives of the new project.
- D. Summarize data collected in Steps A, B, and C. and re-evaluate the original decision to build new space. Going though this formal process in advance of an announcement of a major new project will inevitably affect the goals and objectives that the leadership will adopt for new space.
- E. *Define goals and objectives of new space*. Realistic, clearly defined economic returns on capital investment established in the initial phase of the process will shape the process that follows. The financial goals adopted for the project will likely direct the leadership to consider a significant departures from traditional spatial layouts and, thus, from the facility-planning process. This increases the opportunity to evaluate innovative alternatives that may reduce space requirements and reduce operating expenses.
- F. *Follow the "cost per case" principle*. Teams of hospital employees and managers to plan the new space should be assembled following this "cost per case" principle, rather than the traditional "by department" approach to space design. The leadership may also want to consider making organizational changes in staff to reflect the importance of "cost per case" rather than the more traditional departmental focus.
- G. Develop the formal planning process that will best meet those goals and objectives.

Today's sobering reality is that economics require operational savings to accrue from the creation of new space. With a clear definition of the financial as well as clinical objectives of new space, the creative talents of the entire staff can be unleashed with the greatest probability of delivering the desired result.

## **Design concepts**

Once the pre-planning phase is complete and a conceptual and financial framework is established, the organization can identify key design concepts to be evaluated. Identifying and evaluating very different approaches to the physical environment can generate for staff an excitement in the project's design phase project that might otherwise be missing.

Although this evaluation process may be undertaken by internal staff only, often there is merit to selectively obtaining outside assistance. Although assistance can come from one consulting firm, consider contracting with a variety of consultants who have expertise in specific areas--clinical care delivery, organization, energy consumption, automation, computerization, wireless technology, etc. The key to success in this strategy is selecting consultants who accept the project goals and objectives and have demonstrated ability to deliver innovative solutions in similar settings. Experienced input from other sources assures the leadership that all reasonable efforts were made to identify and evaluate alternative ways to develop a facility that operates as efficiently as possible for the life of the building.

Although the following examples refer primarily to acute-care facilities, the framework is the same for any type of health-care facility. (This listing is not meant to be all-inclusive, but rather means to present types of facility characteristics that make a difference in both capital and operating expense categories.)

- 1. The efficient and effective processing of patients through their treatment regimens must be the dominant guiding principle for space configuration in acute care hospitals. The spatial layout of inpatient clinical units should show that treatment protocols are considered a fundamental building block of quality patient care. Space configuration must be geared towards maximizing the actual time professional caregivers spend carrying out those protocols. This probably translates into allocating a greater percentage of the total building space to the patient units.
- 2. Horizontally oriented buildings, where possible, should be pursued. Each acute-care bed level should include 80 to 160 essentially identical private rooms. These rooms should be bigger than the codes require, and, if the past is prologue, they should be designed to handle today's ICU patient effectively. Patient room layout should allow maximum flexibility in patient assignment by diagnostic grouping so that everchanging subspecialty groupings can occur routinely. In smaller facilities, the arrangement will work equally well with no geographic grouping of patients. The current pattern of grouping patients strictly by clinical subspecialty will have to be modified to increase operational efficiency. Modifications may be as simple as grouping more clinical subspecialties in an area, with the goal of approaching 100 percent occupancy on all functioning units. Closing and opening clinical units strictly based on need day-by-day should become routine. To make a sufficient economic impact on the cost per patient stay, occupancy levels must become much higher, and nursing productivity levels much more consistent. Physicians and nurses will be challenged to develop alternative care models that deliver equal--if not better--clinical care at lower operational expense.
- 3. *Reducing or eliminating non-clinical staffing* should be seriously considered at every opportunity, and the architect should design the space accordingly. Upwards of one-third of any hospital's payroll is allocated to non-clinical jobs, and establishing somewhat arbitrary reduction targets may be the best way to encourage innovative/creative thinking. Working with insurers and physician offices, redundant processes for registration/admission, insurance verification, and pre-certifications can be eliminated, saving space and payroll dollars. Likewise, using technology more

efficiently and working with insurers to streamline current patient accounting functions can result in significant reduction in staffing and space expense.

- 4. *Generous ancillary support space should be provided on the same geographic level as acute care beds* to increase the efficient processing of patients through a defined protocol. Moving patients to equipment and staff is acceptable only when it lowers the aggregate cost per stay for the patient. And cost per stay--rather than departmental efficiency--should be the dominant criteria for decisions. Hospitals must take advantage of the technological trend towards miniaturization of medical equipment and computerized linkages that allow economically feasible decentralization of services close to the patient.
- 5. *The movement of people and materials within hospitals must become much more efficient* through improved space aggregation as well as by more liberal use of escalators, elevators, moving sidewalks, automatic supply elevators, pneumatic tubes, computerization etc. The goal is to reduce time lost through people and supply movement and through inefficient patient protocol execution.
- 6. *Maximum flexibility* must be designed into new space to accommodate the inevitable medical and technological changes. Examples include larger spans and fewer columns, fewer fixed walls, ample plumbing and electrical/computer cable chases, provisions for much more wireless technology, etc. Space for non-clinical functions should be planned after the most efficient configuration for clinical space is determined`. Creative use of technology and supply distribution systems must be incorporated into the design to improve efficiency of non-clinical functions.
- 7. Allowance for the computerization of the clinical care process must be designed into of any new space. In the future, organizing, recording, scheduling, and monitoring much of the clinical care process will be computerized, and the spatial configuration of facilities must reflect this reality in ways that reduce space requirements and operating costs. The application of computerization will operationally and spatially affect every function of the efficient patient treatment process.
- 8. The concept of integrating ambulatory patients into the acute care setting must be closely examined--and possibly abandoned. Such designs have always created a dilemma for hospitals and negatively affected service efficiency and satisfaction for both categories of patients. Traditionally, the thought was that inpatients were a captive audience, and could be fitted into a schedule around ambulatory patients. Such an approach results in inefficient processing of inpatients through a treatment regimen and, concurrently, ambulatory patients getting "bumped" by emergency patients--creating dissatisfied customers who can avoid the problem by going to freestanding clinical centers. For those designing new facilities, the solution will be to recognize that a phasing from a dual service area to separate areas for each is likely.

- 9. Plan the entrances and exits of the new facility to reflect the reality of how today's patients arrive and are matriculated for services. Today's patient flow pattern is vastly different that of 30 years ago. Patients may arrive at the health-care facility:
  - A. In trauma (generally brought to the facility by ambulance.)
  - B. Sent from physician's office for evaluation and possible admission
  - C. Sent from physician's office for direct inpatient admission
  - D. For surgery the same day
  - E. To receive some type of outpatient procedure
  - F. Because they felt they had an emergent health need that could not be met any other way
  - G. As an elective patient to be admitted that day.

By designing space to enhance the processing of these various patient groups, entrances into the building and the adjacent spaces will be very different from those in typical American hospitals.

# Summary

By establishing a focused planning process as a precursor to launching a building program with clearly defined objectives, the leadership of an organization can more effectively shape and predict the outcome of the process. By communicating a clearly defined objective of new space to those responsible for planning and operating it, the organization can maximize its opportunity to design a building that accomplishes those objectives. By following this process, space utilization, configuration, and design can be major tools through which management can increase the efficiency and quality of the hospital operations in financially austere days ahead.

*The Academy Journal* is published by the AIA Academy of Architecture for Health (AAH). The Journal is the official publication of the AAH and explores subjects of interest to AIA-AAH members and to others involved in the fields of healthcare architecture, planning, design and construction. <u>www.aia.org/aah</u>

This article originally appeared in The Academy Journal, published by the AIA Academy of Architecture for Healthcare (Volume 3 – October 2000).