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Abstract
This report is a conceptual illustration of building construction with digital fabrication machinery. It demonstrates the purpose and potential of digital fabrication with structures fabricated of plywood. A method is prescribed and demonstrated to build a small structure in the form of a shotgun house for New Orleans, the structure and its application is evidence of the new possibilities. Most important is this report outlines a theory that all buildings can be produced with digitally fabrication as highly precise products ready for new application and diverse styles.

1. Personalized design localized fabrication
Home design and construction of high quality, low energy and low cost could be coming soon to a neighborhood near you. These homes will be built anew, replace older energy consuming houses or replace houses destroyed by natural disaster. These houses will be manufactured from data generated with CAD (Computer Aided Design) software then manufactured by computer controlled machinery. Digital fabrication is computers and CNC (computer numerically controlled) machinery from data generated in CAD software, the results are physical products of all sizes from small models to buildings. Although digital fabrication is an emerging area in the field of architecture it has yet to have an effect on the physical production of buildings outside the circles of the boutique architects.

Potential applications for digital fabrication is vast it is compact manufacturing ready for today’s energy needs. Possible is physical production of new forms of localized manufacturing with small fast machinery as a reaction to the century old concept of prefabricated construction. In contrast to digital fabrication, prefabricated housing means large factories, energy consuming ground transportation of panels and boxes and gas guzzling cranes. For a century they have been responsible for a variety of ways to produce large wood boxes from expensive startups. For the creative class digital fabrication supports the concept of mass production and customized craft also defined as mass customization\(^1\). It will make possible a variety of designs shapes and details within reach of low end home buyers.

Digital fabrication also opens the possibility for manufacturing of building products by trades within local and national reach. High tech, energy efficient products can be made offsite as custom objects with an assured fit with locally fabricated homes. With this technology will come methods to produce building chunks delivered to the site for assembly of a building complete with mechanical, electrical and solar systems\(^2\). Of greatest need is community manufacturing, this is possible and with low cost, localize digital fabrication shops. These shops can be a product of Main Street or in mobile form to be shared by many communities (Figure 1).
1.1 A digitally fabricated home

An example of a digitally fabricated home/structure was produced for exhibition at the Modern Museum of Art (MoMA) in New York City. The exhibit, entitled Home Delivery was a presentation of prefabricated housing with selected past, present and future displays. The example in figure 2 is one of five full scale homes in the exhibit. Of the five buildings three used digital fabrication as the primary means of physical production. Previous professional examples and purposes for digital fabrication have focused on complex geometrical outcomes. Alternatively the design goal here was reconstruction of a New Orleans shotgun house complete with ornamentation as a way to illustrate diverse possibilities. The structure was on display in an empty lot adjacent the museum grounds for four months - summer and fall of 2008. Both inside and out the exhibit structure had to sustain 20-30 visitors at a time while resisting the elements of nature (wind loads, rain, etc).

This attempt to apply a new delivery system to reconstruct a traditional style home is not new. In the 1930’s traditional style meant less marketing in contrast with the newer upcoming modernist of the time. General Houses Inc was one such company to produce a line of traditional style homes as was Sears and Roebuck who began selling traditional style buildings from their catalogue in 1895. Over time they featured over 400 designs many in the traditional style.

The digitally fabricated home was assembled of 5000 plywood components all held together by friction. This structure used a system of wood joining once used to construct a small cabin also of plywood. Secondary components (ornamentation, doors and windows) is also sustained by friction only in fact the core advancement in this paper has been discovery that a new layer of friction based components can be attached to the primary structure. The importance of this finding means that offsite manufacturers can fabricate from the same CAD files as one used to manufacture the primary structure with no onsite measuring. Building siding, flooring, walls and wood trim can be manufactured and cut to finished sizes offsite with assurance of fit.
Finally, the structure was not waterproof the interior was allow to take on water and drain. Exterior surfacing was left unfinished in order to demonstrate the projects structural capacity and that the structure was manufactured completely of CNC cut plywood components. In most cases each component was painted with two coats of exterior grade latex paint. Exterior dimensions are 16’-4” wide by 38’-2” feet long and 20’ high in height.

![Digitally Fabricated House at MoMA and a photo from the exhibit opening](image)

**1.2 Community based architectural production**

For centuries architects have produced floor plan drawings, elevations and now 3D models of designs for purchase by potential patrons and clients. The process of purchasing drawings is simple and intended to keep the cost of production low however, physical production can be complex. A modern day example of designs for sale extends from the production of architectural pattern books. Mostly a product of the 20th century they are tools used to assist in the building of towns. Within these well illustrated documents are architectural designs and alternative solutions that define building types and details.

Louisiana Speaks is a pattern book produced by Urban Design Associates to be used as a design tool kit for the reconstruction of New Orleans parishes post hurricanes Rita and Katrina. The book contains guidelines for building form and details when producing a Louisiana style home or commercial structures. The book is etched with many layers of detail from floor plan drawings to 3D models and 3D modeled details. The document is also inclusive of photos of existing details and building component types. Also offered in the text are methods to design and build structures for flooding and home expansion. Most important this pattern book illustrates a number of found New Orleans design styles and alternative designs within each style section. Purchased designs require that the owners hire a designer and local contractors to deliver the design. In this case the delivery methods are traditional, especially since New Orleans does not have a prefabrication factory.

Generative methods are also used to create pattern books with shape grammar logic. The Queen Anne Grammar developed by Ulrich Flemming was used to produce designs for a pattern book as part of Pittsburgh’s redevelopment initiative in the 1980’s. More recently a grammar was produced that generates house designs in the style of Alvaro Siza, defined as a Malagueira Grammar. Both grammars can be used to produce hundreds of alternative schemes opposed to traditional design methods that allow for
production of one scheme at a time. They also demonstrate that grammars can be used to generate the initial 3D shape model. Unfortunately the end result for shape grammars are design geometries the process requires construction documentation and a method of physical production.

Figure 3 Examples of designs and alternative designs from the Louisiana Speaks pattern book

2. Prefabricated home delivery

Although prefabrication is almost a century old the process is relatively new. Either from paper drawings or CAD a factory based approach to construction expects to elevate production by working in enclosed spaces. Of the many early pioneers in prefabrication the method was the message, the way the houses were delivered was as much a part of the sale as the house. Independent builders such as John Manning, a London based shipbuilder in 1830 and Sears Roebuck in 1908 published catalogues of their houses\(^{14}\). They both recognized the potential of small scale home delivery from prefabricated components and that the catalogue approach was the message. Advanced companies such as American Houses message was in advance steel framed houses marketed as high quality and durability. Perhaps one of the most influential yet not so successful prefabricated housing builders to date was Konrad Wachsmann and Walter Gropius’ General Panel Co. They produced the Packaged House for returning GIs from World War II as a panelized product. Convenience in delivery was the message, their vision was to convert factories around the country to build panels opposed to armaments. The first was the Lockheed Factory in Burbank California equipped with expensive machinery and an extensive production line that unfortunately only end up producing doors and Hollywood stage sets.

The most recent examples of prefabricated houses were those on display at the Modern Museum of Art in New York City summer 2008. Exhibited within an enclosed lot adjunct the museum were five houses including the Digitally Fabricated House discussed here. The four remaining houses were digitally fabricated wood structures, aluminum modules or wood panels assemblies. Success in home delivery ranged, the
most successful were the houses with the most machine cut parts and the houses with assemblies factored into manufacturing.

The cellophane house took advantage of manufacturing control by generating a Building information modeling. BIM is a representational tool used to track materials, construction methods and documentation; it has yet to move into the realm of digital fabrication. It also allows for generation of the building's geometry, spatial relationships between building components and material properties for all components. The software was also used by Keiran Timberlake to model and guide manufacturing of the Loblobby House in Maryland as well as the house on exhibit.

2.1 Legacy home production

Arts and craft in home building is a long tradition of production. It is seen as a reaction to the industrial mechanized industry developed in the late 1800’s. It is a method of production and a way of working in particular by hand and eye. Success in production is based on the skill level of the individual, the quality and sometimes quantity of tools and good materials. Both the factory built and onsite homes are a craft based system of production where quality is relative to skill level and experience of each worker.

For carpenters mental calculation is a function of craft based construction where mastery is governed by good calculations and relationships between parts. Skills also range in ability to mentally calculate structure, arrangement of assemblies and potential forces that could lead to failure. Craft based processing starts with design drawings (Figure 4a-d), generated in CAD, typically printed on paper for processing by a contractor (a). Next, (b) computer generated information is transferred to a material substrate by hand used to guide cutting with (c) hand held tools. Last, (d) materials are aligned, supported and physically assembled with screws nails or adhesives. This four step process is found in the production of housing in factories and onsite.

2.2 Error and waste in construction

For any manufacturing field prediction and reduction of error/mistakes in calculation and material processing are key measures of quality. Some fields have enabled error detection and correction as part of the design process resulting in elevated product quality and reduced waste in manufacturing. They believe that an inability to
detect and correct error reduces the designer’s ability to propose more radical designs. Automobile manufacturing is an integrated process of error detection and corrected during design. The automotive industry has built in measures for energy consumption in labor movement and how it contributes to error and waste. The more the worker has to move or work with heavy tools the greater the opportunity for error. Toyota introduced a plan to reduce waste through 14 manufacturing principles. Examples include lowering the wait time between component assembly, reduction in storage and supply of material in the factory, not overburdening people with heavy equipment. They are able to increase quality by removing the burdens of labor that lead to errors in the final product.

For measure in this paper waste is defined as reconstruction or overlapping of data creation by parties other than the original source—the designer—this reconstruction of information leads to errors in the design office and construction site. Figure 5 illustrates the shortcomings associated with errors and waste found in craft based construction. Wall [A] is an example of a precisely built surface where lengths b’ & k’ are exactly 120” (304.8 cm) allowing the installer to cover the wall with (144)10” square units of material. Wall [B] is an imprecise application of very precise units of material with variations in wall lengths (b’ & k’). Additional tiling is needed to correct the area of missing units of material. The results are errors along two edges of the wall and waste in cutting small sections of material to correct the error. Errors in the production of the structural surface and waste in materials can elevate cost of wall tiling, exterior siding, flooring and sheetrock, all trades outside of framing. Without error removed are waste factors from cost and material ordering.

Figure 5 Error free wall construction allowing for installation only [A] and error detection [1] & [2] and error correction (cutting) for tile installation in [B]
3. **Materializing a design: key principles**

The digital fabrication production process for the exhibit structure is called materialization. It is a method of production that makes each step in a process from design to physical assembly computable. There are five to six basic steps in the process starting with design and ending with digitally guided assembly. A materialized artifact is production starting from 3D model, the process and results are organized with the following characteristics:

1. Initial shapes start as 3D models
2. Computer functions are used to subdivide the initial shape into elements
3. Each element is generated with attachment features that related to adjacent objects.
4. 3D objects are manufactured in 2D with labels for hand assembly.

After broad design decisions are finalized (generation of the initial shape) the major challenge in the process is translating design information to construction modeling and manufacturing data. Defined as a way to materialize design a general outline of production processing is illustrated. Materialized products are designs in 3D translated to 2D for manufacturing then reassembled physically as a 3D shapes in CAD translated to information for manufacture by computer. The challenging relationship in this process is between construction modeling (b) and digital mockups (c). At this junction discoveries and limitations in geometry, materials and machines are found. Figure 6 illustrates that discovery and surprise are found between design, construction modeling and full scale modeling.

![Figure 6 a digital process of design and fabrication](image)

Materializing an artifact is a process of fewer steps and tools than steps in craft based production. Materializing steps can be as few as the three as seen in figure 7 or as many as 5 steps which was the case for the exhibit structure. In this case calculations performed in CAD (a) are used to manufacture a product with a computer controlled
device (b) and assembled by hand (c) (figure 6). For models of greater size with more steps the challenges associated with building a construction model are great. Construction modeling requires generation of as hundreds of elements CAD. Complexity in CAD is managed by early generation of a construction system defined by Mitchell predetermined library of elements can serve as part of a functional grammar\textsuperscript{18}.

![Diagram](image1)

Figure 7 a materializing process use to build a physical model of a cube

4. **CAD to construction**

Illustrated is the five steps used to build the exhibit structure rapidly, of high strength, with structurally sound detailing.

![Diagram](image2)

Figure 8 Rapid prototype models of two façade designs.

4.1 **Shape modeling**

Rapid prototyping is the first physical production of designs mostly for visual of the digital artifact. There are two parts to the design, the façade and the structure. The main function in the buildings design was to construct a basic cabin with a decorated façade applied to the face. The designs were generate from a detailed analysis of New Orleans shotgun houses and photos of houses from New Orleans. From that five basic
designs were generated of which one was designated as the initial shape for the project complete with ornamentation and detailing (Figure 8).

Figure 9 3D construction model of every structural component to be cut by a digital fabrication device.

4.2 Construction modeling

Construction modeling is a way to subdivide the initial shape into many smaller elements sized by material constraints such as material thickness, panel stock size and tool path limitations. Building a construction model follows a similar process path found in layered manufacturing. First is (1) contour of the initial shape in CAD into many uniform layers, in our case uniform layers in the X, Y and Z axis. Second (2) layered manufacturing builds on each layer precisely as a CAD/CAM operation, for the exhibit structure this meant manufacturing layers with spacing in between. Next, (3) layered manufacturing automatically assembles each newly built layer to the previously produced layer as part of the process. Construction modeling follows the same logic of assembly by integrating attachment features into each element. Last, (4) for construction modeling only, geometry is placed in a 2D position in CAD for sorting and CNC cutting. This step is not necessary for 3D printing where layers are always cut horizontally, here layers are in all three dimensions (x, y & z) (Figure 9).
4.3 **Digital mockups**

Prototyping of the construction model at full scale (mockup) with the final material and at 1:6 scale laser cut of thin boards. Construction prototyping and mockups proved to be effective way to detect and correct errors from modeling. A first stage prototype is referred to as a digital mockup used to construct a portion of the building at full scale (Figure 10). Here components are checked for interlocking strength in geometry from slots in panels with joining tabs. Second state prototyping is construction model manufacture as an iterative process of manufacturing small sections of the model working up to a complete model of the building. Figure 11 show two models laser cut and assembled as a way to detect and correct every error in the CAD model before final fabrication. Error detection was critical, while on site in NYC if one part was wrong it could have held up assembly for a number of days.

4.4 **Digital manufacturing**

Manufacturing of the structural component and some ornamentation took place in a small shop in Exmore, Va (Figure 12). The cutting spanned a variety of material types from plywood to plastic in two thicknesses ¾” (19mm) and ½” (12.7mm). A total of 600 boards were cut in less than three weeks; on average cutting as many as 30 boards per day. Boards with complex cutting paths required much more time to cut than boards of with little geometry. The manufacturing was a three step process once the two CNC machines were prepped for cutting. First is file preparation from AutoCAD models in 3D to 2D geometries organized on a sheet with boundaries for a 4’ x 8’ sheet of plywood.
These files were prepared for prototyping during the construction modeling phase. The 2D files were then altered for CNC cutting by including micro paths or short tool paths that rounded sharp edges and remove extra material for inside corners. The components were transported with the skeleton that they were cut from for complete flat pack transportation.

Figure 12 CNC wood router in Exmore Va. And loading a finished building component cut from a sheet of polyethylene onto a truck.

4.5 Assembly only construction

The final exhibit structure was composed of over 5000 individual elements each with specific purposes as structure or decoration. The order of cutting and stacking was considered prior to manufacturing, the first set of components (the foundation) had to be the on the top of the first pallet of plywood. The last component sets (the roof) had to be on the bottom of the last pallet of material (Figure 13). For structural reasons foundation components were tied to the ground plan with 40 - ¾” anchor bolts embedded in concrete. Assembly of the parts the base structure – gray ¾” plywood assembled in 18 days, the white ½” ornamentation assembled in 4-5 days. Total assembly time was approximately 23 days. Three major tools were used (1), mallets for hammering panels (2), clamps to hold assembled panels in place (3), and crow bars to align parts (4). Miscellaneous tools ranged from hand held routers used to release tools parts from the plywood skeletons and wood glue guns.

Figure 13 first of 10 bundles of plywood cut in Virginia and assembly of contouring structure from the wall to the roof
5. **Results**

The completed building was sound solid construction in spite the absence of nails or screws (Figure 14). After four months of exposure all components remained in the initial position in spite many weeks of high wind and rain. Upon the exhibits closing the structure was demolished and recycled to make way for a new skyscraper. Inspection of the structure one week prior to demolition revealed 10 warped exterior panels. It can be assumed that a year of weathering could lead to separation of the surface panel from the contours or delaminating of each ply.

A discovered value in the process was in building the prototypes of the construction models and mockups. The construction prototype challenged the buildings behavior as much as appearance material reactions in prototyping components were the same for both the model and the finish structure. An example of this phenomenon was during the assembly of panels and contours. Observed was that assembly was best when both panels and contours were assembled in even rows. Unfortunately an uneven assembly at the rear of the building caused the weight of the panels above to prevent assembly of new clear panels below. The clear panels were late in fabrication and late in installation. The panels were finally assembled after hours of wedging and manipulation of the structure with a forklift, crow bars and wedges. The same issue occurred when assembling the 1:6 prototypical model observed in the model was the same behavior and complex management of material assembly found in the full scale building.

![Figure 14 resulting fully digitally fabricated exhibit structure with all components manufactured from plywood or plastic with two CNC machines.](image1.png)

6. **Limitations and challenges**

During the production of this exhibit and in past examples the greatest demand of time and mental resources was generating the thousands of component geometries for the construction model (Figure 9). The challenge of subdividing shapes into sets of many smaller very specific elements from a whole shape is not new. A review of puzzle design and manufacturing assumes a similar process path when designing puzzle pieces. The author believes this is particular the case in non-periodic, repeating tiles. This type of tiling generates patterns of elements that are similar with parametric variations. The
article claims that prefabricated building parts are also non-periodic in nature and repeat in sets as parametric objects.

This was not the case for the exhibit structure, discovered were geometries that had a puzzle-like pattern but varied in geometries for connections between parts. For the structure an initial library of geometries was repeated, the actual final geometry cut for construction was periodic, seldom did parts repeat. For example, the finished contours in the structure varied in relationship and length in order to increase the strength of the complete structure (Figure 8). Wind loads, human loads and weather required the structure to be fabricated of interlocking geometries. This means that the structural pattern be assured to be non-repeating internal contouring was a weave of plywood components.

Last, our greatest difficulty when modeling was that when challenged physically through prototyping elements in the model had to emerge into elements outside the framework of the original library of parts. Emergence can be described as some process the software designer did not intentionally code into the algorithm\textsuperscript{21}. It can be seen as a variable outside of the boundary of the grammar requiring the computer to invent or emerge an option anew. Subdividing from the starting shapes is based on many factors such as material thickness, sizes in the x and y directions and places to connect parts to other parts. It may be possible to predict some aspects of the subdivision in order to build and reuse a parametric component. However in the case of the exhibit structure the emergence of so many new variations of the initial element lead to a switch in software from parameterized software to solid modeling. Shape grammars claim to solve this problem however a shape grammar interpreter is needed to make production possible\textsuperscript{22}.

7. **Concluding remarks**

This report presents a process of home delivery with digital fabrication machinery as an alternative to craft and factory based prefabrication. It demonstrated that community based home delivery is possible with computer controlled machines. A materializing process was also substantiated with a case study demonstrating that an interlock system of plywood parts is possible for rapid, highly precise construction. Alternatively fasteners such as metal screws, nails and liquid adhesives are suggested for real structures, however the concept of friction as a primary attachment method only adds to the strength of any structure.

Future studies will require more systems than a structural demonstration to models inclusive of plumbing, electrical and mechanical systems. Possible is precut flooring from the factory with edges and opening precut from a CAD file. Also possible is cutting of exterior siding, interior boards and ceilings for onsite assembly only. Also needed is a model of community based manufacturing illustrating the possibilities of hiring local labor to manufacture buildings with machines. Possible is the creation of new localize economies and assured integration of trades that interface with new building construction.
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